COVID-19 and the heart: what we have learnt so far
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ABSTRACT
Since the outbreak of COVID-19 or coronavirus disease caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 from Wuhan, China, the cardiology fraternity’s interest has been drawn towards the pandemic with a high case fatality rate of 10.5% and 6% in patients with heart disease and hypertension, respectively. One of the postulated mechanisms for this high fatality rate is the possible abundance of ACE type 2 receptor in the cardiovascular system that strongly binds with the spike protein of COVID-19 and helps internalise into the cell resulting in acute cardiac injury (ACI). More than 7% of cases with COVID-19 are reported to have this type of ACI. A tenfold rise in mortality has been observed in patients with COVID-19 who experience a rise in high-sensitivity (hs)-troponin. More than 15% of cases with COVID-19 experienced different types of arrhythmias. All these statistics denote how important cardiovascular pathology is in patients with COVID-19. Controversies of renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system inhibitors usage in patients with COVID-19 and meticulous handling of case with acute coronary syndrome categorically stresses cardiology to bust the myths hovering around and set a standard guideline to counterfeit the fatality with timely diagnosis and treatment of COVID-19–induced ACI. In this review, we sought to summarise the current evidence of COVID-19-associated cardiac injury and suggest the implications for its proper diagnosis and treatment.

INTRODUCTION: ACUTE CARDIOINJURY IN PATIENTS WITH COVID-19
As the lung being the prime site of pathology in patients with COVID-19, the heart scores second as a target organ of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). The reason may be an abundance of ACE type 2 (ACE-2) receptor in the heart, which helps the virus get easily internalised into the cells. 1 2 The scRNA-seq data from the human heart showed that more than 7.5% of myocardial cells have positive ACE-2 expression. 3 In addition to the heart and lung, ACE-2 is expressed in the intestinal epithelium, vascular endothelium and the kidneys, providing a mechanism for the multiorgan dysfunction that can be seen with SARS-CoV-2 infection. 4 6 ACE-2 receptor has a strong binding affinity to the surface spike protein of COVID-19 which after binding gets activated by type 2 transmembrane protease receptor and thus internalises into the host cell (figure 1). 1 2 3 6

Acute cardiac injury in patients with COVID-19
Various putative mechanisms (figure 2) 7–8 of acute cardiac injury (ACI) in patients with COVID-19 have been put forward as depicted in the schema below.

Acute COVID-19 cardiovascular syndrome
Inflammatory response in the form of systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS)/cytokine storm inciting dysregulated immune response 9 and inflamed plaque rupture leading to coronary artery thrombosis or spontaneous coronary artery dissection 10. Culminating in acute coronary syndrome (ACS).

Oxygen supply–demand mismatch due to hypoxia leads to ACS, especially type 2 myocardial infarction (MI). 8

Microvascular injury as a result of microvascular thrombi formation in continuum with disseminated intravascular coagulation or vasospasm or dysregulated immune response that surges in after viral response culminates into ACS

Direct cardiotropic myocardial Injury: SARS-CoV-2 induces cellular level damage by inducing oxidative stress and intracellular acidosis causing mitochondrial damage, hence promotes cardiac myocyte apoptosis.

SARS-CoV-2–induced ACE-mediated damage: owing to abundant ACE-2 receptor in cardiovascular disorder, florid SARS-CoV-2 internalisation is promoted culminating into severe COVID-19. SARS-CoV-2 subsequently induces hyperstimulation of the ACE-1 pathway that incites vasoconstriction, inflammation, fibrosis and proliferation promoting adverse myocardial remodelling in addition to acute lung injury. On the other hand, SARS-CoV-2 inhibits the cardio-protective ACE-2 pathway comprising angiotensin 1–7 effect in the form of antifibrotic, antiproliferative, anti-apoptotic and vasodilatory property. Ultimately, SARS-CoV-2 brings up all the substrate required for heart failure. The manifestation of COVID-19 on the cardiovascular system represents a spectrum as depicted below.

Acute myocarditis (including fulminant variant).
ACS: MI type 1, 2, non-ST-elevation MI (NSTEMI), unstable angina.
Arrhythmias (supraventricular tachycardia/ventricular tachycardia/ventricular fibrillation (VF)).
Heart failure with reduced and preserved ejection fraction (HFrEF/HfPEF), cardiogenic shock.
Stress-induced cardiomyopathy.
Acute pericarditis with or without tamponade.
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Thromboembolic complications: arterial thromboembolism, deep vein thrombosis, intracardiac thrombus, microvascular thrombi, pulmonary embolism, stroke.

The thrombotic complication has been noted as a part of acute COVID-19 cardiovascular syndrome (ACovCs) in patients with severe COVID-19, which results due to substantial coagulation activation. This coagulation cascade is activated by SIRS. Endothelial dysfunction and procoagulant milieu are created by cytokine release/storm induced by virus invasion.\(^9\) The procoagulant milieu is further exaggerated by hypoxia. Contrary to traditional belief, pulmonary vasculature thrombosis, both micro and macro in COVID-19, are mostly due to in situ pulmonary thrombosis rather than embolic phenomenon.\(^9\) Albeit different pathophysiology, the warranted parenteral anticoagulant (heparin) therapy as prophylaxis and therapeutic option still holds true.

As per Murphy \textit{et al.},\(^{10}\) interleukins (ILs) have a key role to play in the pathophysiology of HFrEF and HFP EF in myocarditis.\(^{10}\)

- IL-1 signalling contributes to the pathogenesis of heart failure by inducing both systolic and diastolic dysfunction.\(^{10}\)
- Systolic function is impaired through uncoupling of both L-type calcium channels and adenylyl cyclase to β/β-adrenergic receptors, resulting in desensitisation to endogenous or exogenous β/β agonists.
- Diastolic dysfunction occurs due to impaired calcium reuptake by the sarcoplasmic reticulum through downregulation of phospholamban and sarcoplasmic reticulum calcium-adenosine triphosphatase.\(^{10}\)

Figure 1 Three-step SARS-CoV-2 virus internalisation in host cell. SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; TMPRSS2, type 2 transmembrane protease receptor.

Adapted from Rabi \textit{et al.}\(^6\)

\(\text{► Thromboembolic complications: arterial thromboembolism, deep vein thrombosis, intracardiac thrombus, microvascular thrombi, pulmonary embolism, stroke.}\)

\(\text{The thrombotic complication has been noted as a part of acute COVID-19 cardiovascular syndrome (ACovCs) in patients with severe COVID-19, which results due to substantial coagulation activation. This coagulation cascade is activated by SIRS. Endothelial dysfunction and procoagulant milieu are created by cytokine release/storm induced by virus invasion.}\)^{9}\(\text{The procoagulant milieu is further exaggerated by hypoxia. Contrary to traditional belief, pulmonary vasculature thrombosis, both micro and macro in COVID-19, are mostly due to in situ pulmonary thrombosis rather than embolic phenomenon.}\)^{9}\(\text{Albeit different pathophysiology, the warranted parenteral anticoagulant (heparin) therapy as prophylaxis and therapeutic option still holds true.}\)

\(\text{As per Murphy \textit{et al.},}\)^{10}\(\text{interleukins (ILs) have a key role to play in the pathophysiology of HFrEF and HFP EF in myocarditis.}\)

\(\text{► IL-1 signalling contributes to the pathogenesis of heart failure by inducing both systolic and diastolic dysfunction.}\)^{10}\n
\(\text{► Systolic function is impaired through uncoupling of both L-type calcium channels and adenylyl cyclase to β/β-adrenergic receptors, resulting in desensitisation to endogenous or exogenous β/β-agonists.}\)

\(\text{► Diastolic dysfunction occurs due to impaired calcium reuptake by the sarcoplasmic reticulum through downregulation of phospholamban and sarcoplasmic reticulum calcium-adenosine triphosphatase.}\)^{10}\)
MECHANISM OF MI IN COVID-19

ACI has been observed in 6–7% cases of patients with COVID-19.11

MI type 1 and type 2 have been proposed in patients with COVID-19 owing to

- Inflamed coronaries vulnerable to plaque rupture12 leading to thrombosis or spontaneous coronary artery dissection (figure 3A) and

- Demand–supply mismatch (figure 3B) in coronaries resulting from hypoxia, increased core body temperature, decreased cardiac contractility and increased heart rate,12 respectively.

In COVID-19, the pattern of troponin release (rise or rise and fall) in the context of a clinical presentation of type 1 or 2 MI, myocarditis or cytokine/stress-related cardiomyopathy is not well defined.7

The incidence of stress-related cardiomyopathy has been on rising trend during COVID-19 pandemic than the prepanademic area due to psychological, social and economic stress associated with COVID-19 pandemic rather than COVID-19 disease itself.13 Takotsubo syndrome is also known as stress-induced cardiomyopathy; though incompletely understood, putative mechanisms include epicardial spasm, microvascular dysfunction, direct adrenergic-receptor-mediated myocyte injury and systemic vascular effects that alter ventricular-arterial coupling. In stress-induced cardiomyopathy, the role of acute stress-induced sympathetic overactivity leading to catecholamine surge and subsequent myocardial dysfunction in the form of regional wall motion abnormality14 (transient apical ballooning) not following any coronary arterial territorial pattern is the rule.

ACI is characterised by marked cardiac troponin elevation accompanied by ST-segment elevation or depression on EKG, with normal epicardial coronaries.13 This stresses upon the fact that it is not the routine atherothrombosis rather inflammation ignited plaque rupture or coronary artery dissection that culminates into ACI as one of the putative mechanisms.

There is no standard value to differentiate acute versus chronic myocardial injury. If the first troponin level is >99th percentile, then an increase of at least 50% of the 99th percentile or a change >20% may be considered acute.15 16 A cardiac troponin result above the 99th percentile upper reference limit without a rise and/or fall over a period of serial measurements is characteristic of chronic myocardial injury.17

In accordance with Zhou et al.,18 Troponin I did not elevate at the beginning of the infection rather rise was noted in patients with an increase in severity of COVID-19. Troponin I is mainly a marker of multiorgan failure and pulmonary hypertension associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) more than a marker that identifies patients with acute myocarditis. The elevation of troponin during hospitalisation is an important marker of prognosis and should be taken as a red flag and remain alert for severity despite normal initial level at admission.18 19

Hence, a rise in troponin possibly may be just a marker of hyper-inflammatory phase/cytokine storm inducing ARDS and pulmonary hypertension leading to right ventricular strain, and an important prognostic marker even in absence of ACS/myocarditis. Thus, troponin should not be only taken as a single surrogate marker for depicting ACI due to COVID-19.

CHINESE EXPERIENCE WITH TROPONIN AS A DIAGNOSTIC AND PROGNOSTIC TOOL IN COVID-19

Analysis of a series of 52 critically ill patients by Yang et al., in China with COVID-19, revealed myocardial injury (high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I (hs-cTnI) >28 ng/L) in 29% of the patients.20

Analysis of a second Chinese single-centre retrospective report by Shi et al showed of the 416 patients hospitalised with COVID-19, approximately 20% of the patients had an acute myocardial injury (cTnI >0.04 μg/L), especially in older
individual with multiple comorbidities. Even after adjusting baseline characteristics and medical comorbidities in the study, acute myocardial injury experienced higher mortality.\textsuperscript{21}

A multicentre Chinese retrospective study by Zhou \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{18} showed patients hospitalised with COVID-19, myocardial injury (cTnI \textgtr 28 ng/L) was observed in 1\% of survivors and 59\% in non-survivors.

A meta-analysis using data from China under Lippi \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{22} revealed abnormal cTnI values (>99th percentile) in 8–12\% of the patients hospitalised with COVID-19, and elevations were associated with more severe disease with poor prognosis. The mean difference in cTnI value was 25.6 ng/L (95\% CI 6.8 to 44.5) between those with (n=123) and without (n=218) severe disease.\textsuperscript{18} A tenfold rise in mortality has been observed in those with elevated hs-troponin I.

As per Shi \textit{et al},\textsuperscript{21} more than half of the patients having cardiac injury with a rise in troponin experienced in-hospital death, indicating that COVID-19–induced cardiac injury is associated with major adverse clinical outcomes. However, the exact mechanism of cardiac injury among these patients with COVID-19 remains uncertain, but as discussed earlier, various putative mechanisms have been put forward to explain the etiopathogenesis of ACI and related biomarkers.

**CARDIAC INJURY IN PRE-EXISTING CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE IN COVID-19**

ACI in patients with COVID-19 was observed more in hypertensives and those with coronary heart diseases indicating the fact that pre-existing cardiovascular disorders are more prone to ACI. Approximately 30\% and 60\% of the patients with cardiac injury had a history of coronary heart disease and hypertension, respectively.\textsuperscript{21} This implies renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) activation in abundance has some important role to play with SARS-CoV-2 ACE-mediated damage leading to hyperstimulation of ACE-1 pathway that incites vasoconstriction, inflammation, fibrosis and proliferation promoting adverse myocardial remodelling in addition to acute lung injury.
Elderly patients with underlying diseases are more likely to be infected with SARS-CoV-2 and tend to be severely ill, especially those with hypertension, coronary heart disease and diabetes. The prevalence of comorbidities, that is, diabetes, cardio-cerebrovascular disease (CCVD) and hypertension among patients with COVID-19 is 9.7%, 16.4% and 17.1%, respectively. Likewise, case fatality rate (CFR) of diabetes, CCVD and hypertension among patients with COVID-19 was 7.3%, 10.5%, and 6% respectively as per a meta-analysis of six published studies from China. This relative surge in CFR in comparison to other comorbidities emphasises the role of ACE receptor abundance in cardiovascular disorder and ACE-mediated damage by SARS-CoV-2, in the pathophysiology of patients with severe COVID-19.

ROLE OF NT-proBNP IN ACI

Patients with severe COVID-19 who have higher N-terminal-pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) levels were found to be of old age with high levels of systematic inflammatory markers. Patients with higher NT-proBNP (above 88.64 pg/mL) level had a lower cumulative survival rate. After adjusting for potential confounders in separate modes, NT-proBNP presented as an independent risk factor of in-hospital death in patients with severe COVID-19.26

The elevated NT-proBNP in these cases was believed owing to the cardiac complications resulted from complex interactions among pre-existing conditions, relative ischemia, upregulation of the sympathetic system, systemic inflammation and direct pathogen-mediated damage to the cardiovascular system.26 27

The surprising fact is that the cut-off value of NT-proBNP (above 88.64 pg/mL) to predict the adverse outcome of severe COVID-19 has been found to be lower than the threshold, which was used to diagnose heart failure (450 pg/mL for people aged <50 years, 900 pg/mL for people aged 50–75 years and 1800 pg/mL for people aged >75 years). It was suggested that the prognostic effect of plasma NT-proBNP in patients with severe COVID-19 could not fully ascribe to heart failure induced by the virus or hypoxia.26

Hence, even in the absence of elevated filling pressures or clinical heart failure, one may come across a rise in NT-proBNP (lower cut-off than in heart failure) in severe COVID-19, which definitely carries a prognostic value on disease outcome besides diagnosing and prognosticating HFpEF/HFrEF in patients with COVID-19 ascribed to a higher cut-off values.26

It would not be unfair to label hs-troponin and NT-proBNP as a prognostic marker of severe COVID-19 in addition to its role as a diagnostic marker in ACI.

RAAS blockers in COVID-19

As mentioned in the schema (figure 4), SARS CoV2 uses the ACE-2 receptor to get entry into host cell resulting into downregulation of ACE-2 receptors and hyperstimulation of ACE-1 pathway leading to vasoconstriction, inflammation, fibrosis and proliferation promoting acute lung injury and adverse myocardial remodelling. 26–30

INTERPLAY OF SARS-COV-2 AND RAAS; PROPOSED THEORY AGAINST RAAS BLOCKERS USE IN COVID-19 26–30

RAAS blockers: ACE inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers (ACEIs/ARBs) block the ACE-1 pathway and hyperstimulate the ACE-2 pathway which in turn leads to the upregulation of the ACE-2 receptors (figure 4) readily available for more internalisation of SARS-Cov-2 culminating into overwhelming severe COVID-19 as a result of the high load of viremia in host cells.

Therefore, initiation or continuation of ACE/ARBs (RAAS blockers) may promote severe COVID-19 as per this theory. Initiating other class of antihypertensive in COVID-19 should be the preferred strategy until and unless there is a serious compelling indication of ACEIs/ARBs as in acute MI or heart failure as per one of the prevailing schools of thought against the use of ACEIs/ARBs in the present context.

PROPOSED THEORY IN FAVOUR OF RAAS BLOCKERS IN COVID-19 28–30

RAAS blockers block the ACE-1 pathway resulting into the upregulation of the ACE-2 receptors and hyperstimulation of the ACE-2 pathway (figure 4) elucidating the cardioprotective role of angiotensin 1–7 in the form of its antifibrotic, antiproliferative, anti-apoptotic and vasodilatory property (figure 4).

Hence, the initiation of ACE/ARB may be beneficial in downregulating the ACE-1-mediated damage by SARS-CoV-2 which may be just at the cost of more internalisation of the virus by upregulating ACE-2 receptors, which is still to be proven in clinical grounds.

CHINESE CLINICAL EXPERIENCE WITH RAAS BLOCKERS

Recently, Zhang et al31 studied the ‘Association of inpatient use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor blockers with mortality among patients with hypertension hospitalised with COVID-19’ and concluded that inpatient use of ACEIs/ARBs was associated with a lower risk of all-cause mortality compared with ACEIs/ARBs non-users. It emphasised that even in the presence of possible confounding variables, it was unlikely that in-hospital use of ACEIs/ARBs was associated with an increased mortality risk or any harm hence advocating its safety.

Li et al32 studied 362 patients with hypertension of case series of 1178 hospitalised patients with COVID in regard to the association of renin–angiotensin system inhibitors with severity or risk of death. The in-hospital mortality with hypertension was 21.3%. The percentage of patients with hypertension taking ACEIs/ARBs did not differ between those with severe and non-severe infections (32.9% vs 30.7%; p=0.645) nor did it differ between non-survivors and survivors (27.3% vs 33.0%; p=0.34). This study emphasised that ACEIs/ARBs are not associated with the severity or mortality of patients with COVID-19.

The advocacy of societal guidelines of not discontinuing ACEIs/ARBs in COVID-19 is a consensus statement rather than based on clinical trials. Albeit this fact on the clinical ground is further supported by studies by Zhang et al31 and Li et al32.

The tug of war between RAAS positive and negative effect theory has come to an end as Mancia et al33 unearthed the fact that ACEIs/ARBs did not affect the risk of contracting COVID-19. Supporting the same school of thought, Reynold et al34 revealed that none of the antihypertensive classes including ACEIs/ARBs had any positive association with SARS-CoV-2 positivity and worsening of COVID-19 disease. Though all the studies right from Zhang et al until Reynold et al are observational in nature with limitation of few possible confounders, the common truth unearthed is ‘RAAS blockers are safe in COVID-19’ further supported by the fact that these observational studies include a large number of participants from a different race, ethnicity and geography.

Exogenous supplement of rhACE2, the recombinant human ACE-2, helps in relieving lung injuries in several acute pneumonia experimental models and also exhibits the ability to prevent angiotensin II–induced hypertension, myocardial hypertrophy, diastolic dysfunction and myocardial fibrosis.28

Additionally, soluble ACE-2 can neutralise the spike protein on the surface of the SARS-CoV-2, thus inhibiting the entry of viruses into host cells. Exogenous supplement of rhACE2 may be a good way to prevent and treat COVID-19.\textsuperscript{28} Human trial is warranted in the future to establish its efficacy and safety.

Figure 4  SARS-CoV-2–induced ACE-mediated damage and role of ACEI/ARB in COVID-19. ACEI, ACE inhibitor; Ang I, angiotensin I; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; ATIR, angiotensin II type 1 receptor.
ARRHYTHMIAS IN PATIENTS WITH COVID-19

In a recent report from Wuhan by Wang et al., 16.7% of hospitalised and 44.4% of intensive care unit patients with COVID-19 had arrhythmias. Arrhythmia is induced by hypokalemia in COVID-19 disease; this results due to the interaction between SARS-CoV2 and the RAAS system, which is a matter of concern indeed. 35 Alone or complex interplay of dyselectrolytemia, electrically unstable inflamed myocardium, LV dysfunction, myocardial ischaemia, hypoxia and acidosis due to acute lung injury may be the putative mechanism behind the origin of arrhythmias.

Even in some anecdotal cases of COVID-19 with late myocardial dysfunction, cardiopulmonary arrest with pulseless electrical activity or VF has been reported during the recovery phase of their pulmonary illness. Almost all forms of supraventricular and ventricular tachyarrhythmias have been documented. Although less discussion on bradyarrhythmias has been observed so far, we cannot deny its presence looking into the pathophysiology of COVID-19 on the basis of anecdotal experience.

Drug-induced arrhythmias

QTc-prolonging drugs like chloroquine (CQ)/hydroxychloroquine (HCQ), azithromycin, lopinavir, ritonavir, which are used as trial therapeutics in COVID-19 alone or in combination, are to be used with caution owing to their Torsades de pointes generating potential.

Tisdale score, a QT prolongation predicting tool developed by Tisdale, 36 can be quite handy regarding judicious use of QT-prolonging drug in the background of the prevailing clinical scenario. Tisdale has assigned scores 1–5 with a maximum risk score of 21 with the score assigned as per the below-mentioned parameters.

- Age ≥68 years, and females and use of loop diuretics: Score 1 for each.
- Serum potassium ≥3.5 mEq/L, admission QTc ≥450 ms, patients with acute MI: Score 2 for each.
- Use of one QTc-prolonging drugs, sepsis, heart failure: Score 3 for each.

Note: Additional three points have been assigned for use of two or more QTc-prolonging drugs, which imply we get a sum making a score of 6 if the patient is taking two or more QTc-prolonging drugs.

According to the risk score assigned, the risk level has been classified as follows:

Low risk: ≤6 points
Moderate risk: 7–10 points
High risk: ≥11 points

Suggestion: (based on anecdotal experience and scientific utility of Tisdale score)

QT-prolonging Therapeutic drug for COVID-19 is not advisable to start if any of the following is encountered.

- Congenital long QT syndrome.
- Baseline QTc >500 ms if QRS <120 ms.
- Baseline QTc >530 ms if QRS >120 ms
- Abnormal serum levels of potassium, calcium and magnesium.
- Tisdale score more than or equal to 11, that is, high risk.

After initiating any QT-prolonging therapeutic drug in COVID-19

- If the Tisdale score is in between 1 and 10 (low–moderate risk) and QTc increases by 60 ms then risk vs benefit has to be outweighed regarding the QT-prolonging drug continuation in patients with COVID-19.
- When the Tisdale score is between 1 and 10 (low–moderate risk) and absolute QTc value is >500 ms (if QRS <120 ms) OR Absolute QTc is >550 ms (if QRS >120 ms), then risk versus benefit has to be outweighed regarding the continuation of QT-prolonging drug in patients with COVID-19.

Note: ECG to be done at baseline and 2–4 hours post first and second doses of the drug then daily until the drug is continued.

Clinical stages of COVID-19

COVID-19 can be classified primarily into three stages as depicted below:

Stage I (Early infection)
The early infection stage comprises viral response with mild constitutional symptoms: Fever >99.6°F, dry cough, diarrhoea, headache and signs such as lymphopenia, prolonged prothrombin time, increased D-dimer and raised lactate dehydrogenase.

Stage II (Pulmonary phase)
It indicates host inflammatory response primarily affecting lungs with symptoms such as shortness of breath and air hunger, and signs such as PaO2/FIO2 ≤ 300 mm Hg, abnormal chest imaging, transaminitis and low normal procalcitonin.

Stage III (Hyperinflammation)
It is a continuum of host inflammatory response of the pulmonary phase representing cytokine dysregulation/storm. The patient may show features of ARDS, shock/SIRS, ACI and heart failure. Lab findings elucidated in this stage are a rise in inflammatory markers, which include serum, lactate dehydrogenase, IL-6, D-dimer and ACI markers like troponin, NT-proBNP.

Clinical course in COVID-19

In a retrospective multicentre cohort study, 18 191 patients (135 from Jinyintan Hospital and 56 from Wuhan Pulmonary Hospital) were studied, of whom 137 were discharged and 54 died in hospital. Table 1 summarises the clinical parameters of these patients. ACI, as per Zhou et al., 18 inflicts at a median of 15 days from the onset of illness in non-survivors. Death due to COVID-19 was at a median of 19 days from the onset of illness. Patients who survived were discharged at a median of 22 days from the onset of illness in survivors.

In this study, potential risk factors like older age, high sequential organ failure score and d-dimer >1 μg/mL were taken as a poor prognostic marker in the early stages of COVID-19.

Based on prolong viral shedding which has been recorded up to 20 days in survivors can help formulate the period required for isolation and exploration of potential therapeutics.

Treatment and essential consideration

At present, no drugs or vaccines are available for the treatment of COVID-19. At this time of the pandemic, a way to resourcefully control COVID-19 and the spread of pandemic is drug repurposing. Several interventional treatments, some even at experimental stages, for COVID-19 are being advocated without clear efficacy and safety considerations. The drugs that are being tried for the treatment of COVID-19 include antimalarials, antivirals, IL inhibitors, interferons, kinase inhibitors, corticosteroids 37 (figure 5: optional), etc. We discuss a few of these drugs in this section.

A case report from China showed treatment with methylprednisolone, immunoglobulin and other supportive measures improved significantly fulminant cardiomyopathy (left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF): 27%) with cardiogenic shock due to SARS-CoV-2 in a 37-year-old man. LVEF returned to 66% and markers of cardiac injury including NT-proBNP returned to normal values. 38

CQ and HCQ

CQ or HCQ has antiviral activity. The entry of SARS-CoV into the cells is inhibited by interfering with glycosylation of its cellular ACE-2 receptor. In addition, HCQ inhibits T cell activation, expression of CD145 and cytokines release. Studies until now on HCQ alone or with azithromycin are inconclusive in reducing viral shedding and time to clinical recovery. Treatment with these agents has adverse events like hypoglycemia, QT prolongation and increased risk of Torsades de pointes, a potentially life-threatening arrhythmia. Hashem et al recommended to use CQ and HCQ rationing until high quality randomised clinical trials clarify their role in the treatment or prevention of COVID-19. 

Antiviral agents

Antivirals like ritonavir, ribavirin and lopinavir have been used globally against SARS-CoV-2. In one of the studies done in China, it was found that favipiravir was more potent in antiviral action than that of lopinavir/ritonavir without any significant adverse reactions.

Lopinavir/ritonavir

Lopinavir (a protease inhibitor) disrupts viral replication, and ritonavir increases the half-life of lopinavir by inhibiting cytochrome P450 3A. Cao et al randomised 199 hospitalised patients with COVID-19 and lopinavir/ritonavir combination did not accelerate clinical improvement or decrease mortality at Day 28 when compared to standard care alone. There were some limitations as it was open-labelled and had confounder like the use of glucocorticoid. Evidence on the efficacy and safety of lopinavir/ritonavir in patients with COVID-19 is still limited and controversial.

Chong et al shared their experience on the treatment of COVID-19 in which HCQ was added in 11 patients after starting lopinavir/ritonavir. Five patients had new events of prolonged QTc after starting HCQ which normalised with discontinuation.

WHO discontinued trials on lopinavir/ritonavir as they showed little or no reduction in the mortality of hospitalised patients with COVID-19. This drug should not be used in ACovCS as the risk of arrhythmias may increase because of pre-existing cardiac structural or functional abnormalities, concomitant ventricular arrhythmias or a prolonged QT interval at baseline.

Remdesivir

Remdesivir, a broad-spectrum antiviral drug, inhibits RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, a protein essential for viral replication. Wang et al showed that remdesivir/CQ was highly effective in vitro. One of the preliminary results reported earlier time to recovery of 11 days (95% CI 9 to 12), in remdesivir group (n = 538) when compared with 15 days (95% CI 13 to 19) in the placebo group (n = 521) and HR for death was 0.70 (95% CI 0.47 to 1.04). They concluded that remdesivir was superior in reducing the time to recovery in hospitalised patients with COVID-19 who had evidence of lower respiratory tract infection. On the contrary, a randomised trial from China did not find statistically significant clinical benefits but had a reduction in time to clinical improvement in those who were treated earlier with remdesivir. Early treatment was necessary in non-human primate models of SARS and MERS, which argues use of remdesivir earlier in patients with COVID-19. This needs confirmation with large multicentered and multiraced study.

Immunomodulators

Marked elevations of IL-6 and other inflammatory markers demonstrating cytokine activation may indicate the role of IL-6 inhibitors like sarilumab, siltuiximab and tocilizumab in ACovCS and severe COVID-19. Thus, tocilizumab may partially rescue SARS-CoV-2-associated immune dysregulation. Tocilizumab seemed to be an effective treatment and a new platform for a therapeutic strategy for severe COVID-19. With the use of tocilizumab in patients with COVID-19, there was a normalisation of CT scan changes, reduction in inflammatory markers and need for oxygen, and reduced ventilation. The average duration of hospitalisation was 13.5 days with no obvious side effects observed during the study. However, there were many limitations in the study done by Alattar et al it being retrospective, the use of multiple interventions, and the lack of verification of serum IL-6 levels before and after tocilizumab. Preliminary reports on tocilizumab are encouraging and ongoing randomized controlled trials are needed.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical parameters in survivors</th>
<th>Period of illness (on average)</th>
<th>Duration (average)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>Day 1–Day 12</td>
<td>12 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>Day 1–Day 19</td>
<td>19 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
<td>Day 7–Day 19</td>
<td>13 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU admission</td>
<td>Day 12–Day 18</td>
<td>7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stermic corticosteroid</td>
<td>Day 12–Day 19</td>
<td>8 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARS-CoV-2 RNA positive</td>
<td>Day 1–Day 20</td>
<td>20 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge</td>
<td>At Day 22</td>
<td>After 22 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical parameters in non-survivors</th>
<th>Period of illness (average)</th>
<th>Duration (average)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>Day 1–Day 13</td>
<td>13 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>Day 1–Day 15</td>
<td>16 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
<td>Day 7–Day 19</td>
<td>13 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU admission</td>
<td>Day 12–Day 19</td>
<td>8 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stermic corticosteroid</td>
<td>Day 13–Day 19</td>
<td>8 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARS-CoV-2 RNA positive</td>
<td>Day 1–Day 20</td>
<td>20 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive ventilation</td>
<td>Day 15–Day 19</td>
<td>5 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis</td>
<td>Inflicted on Day 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARDS</td>
<td>Inflicted on Day 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute kidney injury</td>
<td>Inflicted on Day 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary infection</td>
<td>Inflicted on Day 17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>Occurred at Day 19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; ICU, intensive care unit; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.
trials (RCT) will help clear the place of it in the treatment of COVID-19. It is recommended that before starting tocilizumab latent tuberculosis should be ruled out.

ACE-2 activators
The ACE-2 activators may be a possible therapeutic strategy to treat COVID-19. ACE-2 is abundantly expressed in the heart, lungs, brain, blood vessels, central nervous system and macrophages. The loss of ACE-2 enhances susceptibility to heart failure. Likewise, a decrease in ACE-2 brings on pneumonia and its activation decreases the inflammatory response in the lungs. When ACE-2 is activated, it will prevent binding of SARS-CoV-2 to ACE-2 and check entry into the cell and prevents fibrosis and lung injury by promoting the effect of the enzyme on different organs. Xanthenone or diminazene aceturate are some examples of ACE-2 activators that are being used in cattle to treat trypanosomiasis.

Corticosteroids
In a recently conducted landmark RECOVERY trial, patients with COVID-19 were randomised to receive oral or intravenous dexamethasone and to receive standard of care to look at the 28-day mortality. Dexamethasone usage resulted in lower 28-day mortality among those who were receiving either invasive mechanical ventilation (29.3% vs 41.4%; rate ratio, 0.64; 95% CI 0.51 to 0.81) or oxygen alone (23.3% vs 26.2%; rate ratio, 0.82; 95% CI 0.72 to 0.94) but not among those receiving no respiratory support. treatment guideline of NIH recommends the use of other forms of corticosteroid if dexamethasone is not available.

Others
Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) was used in selected cases with refractory shock or ventricular arrhythmias caused by ACovCS. Case reports have described the successful rescue of patients with cardiogenic shock with the use of ECMO. In one of the case reports, a 69-year-old patient who had respiratory distress, hypotension and cardiogenic shock was rescued in 5 days with the use of ECMO. So, if the facility is available then a trial with ECMO can be done in patients who present with ACovCS and cardiogenic shock.

Convalescent plasma
The convalescent plasma from recovered patients with COVID-19 has been approved recently by the FDA. Shen et al studied five critically ill patients with COVID-19 with convalescent
plasma. The study noticed an improvement in clinical status. But being a small uncontrolled case series requires further validated randomised clinical trials to support this notion.61

Adjunctive therapy43:
► Antithrombotic therapy
► Vitamin C, D
► Zinc supplement
Some other drugs like ivermectin,62 inhibitors of glucosylceramide synthase,63 bevacizumab64 are under trial for treatment of COVID-19 and ACovCS.

SUGGESTIONS IN THE MANAGEMENT OF PATIENTS WITH CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE IN THE COVID-19 ERA
The management of patients with cardiovascular disease in the COVID-19 era has to be focused on how to diagnose as early as possible and treat the patients according to the best available strategy, while not sacrificing the safety of healthcare providers. Here are the suggestions based on the risk versus benefit approach.
► Along with appropriate cardiac management of COVID-19 confirmed and suspected cases, consideration of maximum safety of healthcare workers has to be equally emphasised and prioritised based on risk versus benefit approach on behalf of both the patients and healthcare workers.
► Unnecessary invasive and non-invasive cardiac testing (EKG, transthoracic/transesophageal/stress echocardiography, cardiac catheterisation) should be avoided until deemed necessary weighing benefit versus risk to both patients and health workers.
► Transesophageal and transthoracic echocardiography or urgent catheterisation if deemed necessary should be performed after being equipped with full personal protective equipment (PPE) in patients with COVID-19.
► In cases of ST-elevation MI (STEMI) in patients with COVID-19, thrombolysis using streptokinase/tenecteplase should be the preferred modality of treatment except in high-risk cases.
► High-risk cases of STEMI (eg, GRACE score >140) should be taken to catheterisation lab (cath lab) for primary angioplasty if cath lab is fully equipped with proper PPE and COVID-19 infection control management plan including safe transfer without/minimal potential exposure risk to healthcare workers.
► Non-STEMI cases should be taken to cath lab with full PPE, once two consecutive RT-PCR (reverse transcriptase PCR) for the COVID-19 genome is negative.
► Electrophysiological study and ablation to be performed with full PPE in cases of life-threatening arrhythmias.
► Pacemaker, implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD), cardiac resynchronising therapy (CRT) with or without ICD should be implanted using full PPE weighing risk versus benefit as in other cardiac emergencies.
► Considering the high prevalence of pulmonary thromboembolism in patients with severe COVID-19, adequate deep vein thrombosis prophylaxis and management of acute pulmonary embolism with anticoagulant as per standard guideline is imperative.
► Fluid restriction to be advocated in all cases with COVID-19 heart failure.
► Pneumococcal and influenza vaccines to be administered to patients with cardiovascular disease not infected with COVID-19 to prevent secondary infection in the future if one contracts COVID-19.
► Telemedicine consultation to be provided to patients with a cardiovascular disorder with minor issues or those who can be seen in the outpatient department.

SUMMARY
The COVID-19 has become the major health issues on the globe with its high fatality rate. Cardiovascular manifestations of ACovCs including acute cardiac injury ought not to be underestimated in these patients. An evidence-based approach incorporating early detection and management is crucial in the management of these patients with essential consideration based on risk versus benefit analysis. Being COVID-19 a novel and rapidly evolving science, more insights and updates are expected in near future, so complete coverage of all the evidence and facts is one of the limitations of this review. Inspite of this, it has attempted to summarise most of the important facts related to etiopathogenesis, clinical course, diagnosis and management of the ACovCs/ACI.

The focus of future research should try to unearth the exact etiopathogenesis of ACovCs to nail down efficacious and mortality reducing treatment. Large multicentred, multiethnic, multicasted, RCT are required to examine accurately and more precisely, the facts and evidence that we have collected so far to formulate realtime mortality reducing management.

Main messages
► Acute cardiac injury in COVID-19 and the role of troponin and NT-proBNP.
► RAAS blockers (ACEI/ARB) and arrhythmias in COVID-19.
► Treatment and essential consideration in COVID-19 era.

Current research questions
► How can acute cardiac injury be differentiated from multiple organ dysfunction syndrome–induced myocardial injury?
► Role and safety of RAAS blockers in COVID-19 via RCTs
► Exact mechanism of etiopathogenesis and mortality reducing therapeutics in acute cardiovascular COVID-19 syndrome.

Key references
Self-assessment questions

1. Acute cardiovascular COVID-19 syndrome including acute cardiac injury (ACI) is seen in COVID-19.
   True
   False

   A. Acute myocarditis.
   B. Acute coronary syndrome (ACS).
   C. arrhythmias (SVT/VT/VF).
   D. Heart failure/cardiogenic shock.
   E. Stress-induced cardiomyopathy.
   F. Acute pericarditis with or without tamponade.
   G. Thromboembolic complications: arterial thromboembolism, deep vein thrombosis, intracardiac thrombus, microvascular thrombi, pulmonary embolism, stroke.
   H. All of the above.

3. The risk of mortality increases by tenfold in patients with high hs-troponin (high-sensitivity troponin) and carries prognostic importance in COVID-19.
   True
   False

4. Tenfold rise in mortality is seen in which of the following biomarker in COVID-19.
   A. High-sensitivity troponin.
   B. Lactate dehydrogenase.
   C. CK-MB.
   D. Myoglobin.

5. Even in the absence of elevated filling pressures or clinical heart failure, one may come across rise in NT-proBNP (lower cut-off than in heart failure) in severe COVID-19 which carries a prognostic value on disease outcome besides diagnosing and prognosticating heart failure in COVID-19.
   True
   False

6. The cut-off value of NT-proBNP to predict the adverse outcome of severe COVID-19 has been found to be
   A. Less than that of heart failure.
   B. More than that of heart failure.
   C. Same as that of heart failure.
   D. Ten times more than that of heart failure.

7. Large observational studies by Zhang et al., Li et al., Mancia et al. and Reynolds et al. have a common inference that patients with COVID-19 should continue RAAS inhibitors as it is associated with the same notion endorsed by American and European Society Guidelines.
   True
   False

8. As per large observational studies by Zhang et al., Li et al., Mancia et al., Reynolds et al., RAAS blockers (ACEI/ARB) in COVID-19.
   A. Should be discontinued.
   B. Should be continued.
   C. Increases the risk of contracting COVID-19.
   D. Increases severity of COVID-19.

9. Ongoing various trials are underway to prove efficacy, mortality and morbidity reducing the capacity of various drugs like remdesivir, tocilizumab, HCQ, but until date, the race is still on to find the definite treatment strategy in patients with COVID-19 except few evidence of early virus clearance from the body by aforementioned drugs.

10. Which of the following drug has mortality reducing benefit?
    A. Ivermectin
    B. Tocilizumab
    C. Hydroxychloroquine
    D. None of the above
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