High-risk periodontal pathogens contribute to the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis
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ABSTRACT
Periodontal disease (PD) is generated by microorganisms. These microbes can enter the general circulation causing a bacteraemia. The result can be adverse systemic effects, which could promote conditions such as cardiovascular disease. Level A evidence supports that PD is independently associated with arterial disease. PD is a common chronic condition affecting the majority of Americans 30 years of age and older. Atherosclerosis remains the largest cause of death and disability. Studies indicate that the adverse cardiovascular effects from PD are due to a few putative or high-risk bacteria: Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans, Porphyromonas gingivalis, Tannerella forsythia, Treponema denticola or Fusobacterium nucleatum. There are three accepted essential elements in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis: lipoprotein serum concentration, endothelial permeability and binding of lipoproteins in the arterial intima. There is scientific evidence that PD caused by the high-risk pathogens can influence the pathogenesis triad in an adverse manner. With this appreciation, it is reasonable to state PD, due to high-risk pathogens, is a contributory cause of atherosclerosis. Distinguishing this type of PD as causal provides a significant opportunity to reduce arterial disease.

BACKGROUND
Bacteraemia with germs from the oral cavity was well documented in a publication in 1954. The landmark study indicated systemic spread of oral microbes occurs frequently. The per cent incidence found was 40% with periodontal cleaning, 35% with dental extractions, 24% with brushing and up to 17% with mastication.1 The spread of these oral bacteria throughout the body happens quickly. This results in acute and chronic inflammatory responses. There are three accepted essential elements in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis: lipoprotein serum concentration, endothelial permeability and binding of lipoproteins in the arterial intima. There is scientific evidence that PD caused by the high-risk pathogens can influence the pathogenesis triad in an adverse manner. With this appreciation, it is reasonable to state PD, due to high-risk pathogens, is a contributory cause of atherosclerosis. Distinguishing this type of PD as causal provides a significant opportunity to reduce arterial disease.

The most common were Pg and Aa. Sixty-four per cent of those atheromas had two or more pathogens. Only one of the atheroma from a patient without PD demonstrated any oral pathogens.3 In 2011, 42 carotid endarterectomy specimens were analysed for oral pathogen DNA. Every atheroma had at least one pathogen, and many had multiple pathogens. Again, the most common bacteria were Pg and Aa.4 Oral pathogens create bacteraemia, and those bacteria, especially the high-risk microbes, are frequently associated with atherosclerotic lesions.

The American Heart Association (AHA) stated after an extensive review of the literature that PD was independently associated with arteriosclerotic vascular disease (ASVD). This relationship was demonstrated with level A evidence. They discussed in their statement several plausible mechanisms by which PD could be associated with arterial disease. One explanation involves systemic inflammation, which can occur with periodontitis. This has been documented by increased levels of biomarkers such as high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and interleukin 6. PD has been associated with the stimulation of the innate immune system via toll-like receptors (TLRs). TLRs can trigger the activation of nuclear factor κB (NF-κB), which can create increased levels of adhesion molecules stimulating endothelial dysfunction as well as increased inflammatory cytokines. The possibility of LPSs and heat-shock proteins coming from the PD pathogens causing an autoimmune-type reaction from T and B cells was mentioned. Another potential mechanism discussed was direct arterial damage from the bacteria in the blood stream. They acknowledged that there are studies showing PD therapy has improved biomarkers of systemic inflammation and even surrogate indicators of subclinical arterial disease. However, due to the fact that there is no definite evidence to support the claim that treating PD decreases cardiovascular (CV) events, the AHA went on to comment that PD could not be considered causal of ASVD.5 From a clinical perspective, there is a significant difference between being associated with versus being causal of a disease. Optimal management of an associated condition may not impact the end disease, whereas such management of a causal condition would have a favourable effect on the end disease. Since the AHA generated their statement about the relationship of PD to ASVD, new evidence has emerged which argues PD caused by the high-risk pathogens, Aa, Pg, Tf, Td or Fn, can enhance elements of the atherosclerosis pathogenesis triad. Due to this scientific knowledge, PD caused by these putative bacteria can be considered causal of ASVD. This is a significant clinical...
distinction, which means PD due to these organisms should be optimally treated to mitigate the risk of ASVD.

Recent publications indicate that the formation of atherosclerosis is dependent upon three essentials: serum lipoprotein concentration, endothelial permeability and lipoprotein binding in the intima. If a medical condition can influence all of these critical elements in a manner favouring the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis, it can be concluded to be a contributory cause of ASVD. A contributory cause does not require that all those who possess the contributory cause experience the disease, nor does it require that all those who are free of the contributory cause be free of the disease. It also means the contributory cause may not be necessary to experience the disease. PD is independently associated with ASVD; substantial evidence and mechanistic understanding now exist that strongly suggest PD, due to the above high-risk pathogens, is a contributory cause of ASVD. Herein, we present a summary of this evidence and understanding. Based on these findings, PD resulting from high-risk pathogens should be considered causal of ASVD.

**PATHOGENESIS, PART 1: SERUM LIPOPROTEIN CONCENTRATION**

Atherogenic lipoprotein particles are heterogeneous, containing various amounts of cholesterol. Each particle has an apolipoprotein B (ApoB) on its surface. Wilkins et al. recently demonstrated that ApoB concentration in the serum is more predictive of the pathogenesis of ASVD than serum cholesterol concentration. In addition to this study, previous studies have also shown the best lipoprotein predictor of cardiovascular disease risk is ApoB. There is a direct positive and independent association with ApoB concentration and small, dense low-density lipoprotein (sd-LDL) concentrations. Therefore, an essential element in the pathogenesis of ASVD, namely ApoB concentration, is increased with elevating levels of sd-LDL. Secretory phospholipase A2 (sPLA2) modifies LDL into sd-LDL. The activity of sPLA2 has been shown to increase in subjects with high-risk periodontal pathogens Aa, Pg, Tf and Td. The higher the burden of these pathogens, the greater the activity of sPLA2. Generalised aggressive PD is associated with the putative pathogens Pg and Aa. It is demonstrated that patients with generalised aggressive periodontitis have twice the concentration of sd-LDL compared with subjects without PD. This essentially means the concentration of ApoB is doubled. PD due to high-risk pathogens can increase the plasma concentration of ApoB, which will promote the pathogenesis of ASVD.

**PATHOGENESIS, PART 2: ENDOTHELIAL PERMEABILITY**

Formation of an atheroma requires monocytes along with lipoproteins to penetrate the endothelium. It is the accumulation of lipoproteins in the intima that creates the core of the atheromatous lesion. The permeability of the endothelium is a critical factor in the pathogenesis of ASVD. Dysfunctional endothelium becomes more permeable. It is proposed that endothelial dysfunction is the initial biological malady leading to ASVD. PD can generate endothelial dysfunction by several mechanisms. The high-risk gram-negative pathogens (Aa, Pg, Tf, Td) generate LPSs, which trigger the innate immune system. LPSs stimulate TLRs, which are ubiquitous and present in endothelial cells. TLR signalling activates the genetic transcription factor NF-κB. This in turn leads to elevated levels of endothelial cellular adhesion molecules, which are ubiquitous and present in endothelial cells. TNF-α is known to induce increased endothelial permeability via its effect on tight junction proteins. Chronic periodontal infections with high-risk pathogens can lead to increased permeability of the endothelium via the innate immune system.

Several other mechanisms exist by which PD can generate dysfunctional and permeable endothelium. Endothelial integrity depends on its endogenous capacity for repair. Persistent exposure to inflammation leads to senescence of endothelial cells and their detachment, with consequent increased permeability. This adverse injury can be repaired by replication of adjacent endothelial cells or by formation of new mature endothelial cells from endothelial progenitor cells. The high-risk PD pathogen (Aa) produces a toxic protein known as leukotoxin (LtxA). This toxin has been shown to generate endothelial apoptosis along with impaired proliferation and increase in endothelial adhesion molecules. These adverse effects of LtxA will lead to increased penetrability of the endothelium.

PD can impair the structural coupling of endothelial cells. Cadherins proteins lock endothelial cells tightly together. Any disruption of endothelial cadherin will result in endothelial dysfunction and enhanced permeability. The PD pathogen Ftn possesses a surface adhesion molecule called FadA. There are two forms of FadA: one is a non-secreted pre-FadA consisting of 129 amino acids and the other is a secreted mature FadA (mFadA) consisting of 111 amino acids. Pre-FadA is anchored in the Ftn membrane, and mFadA is exposed on the surface of the bacteria. Together, they form a high molecular weight complex that can attach to endothelial cadherin, which causes a relocation of cadherin away from the cell-cell junctions. This results in an endothelium so permeable that even bacteria can pass. High-risk PD pathogens can increase endothelial permeability via multiple mechanisms.

**PATHOGENESIS, PART 3: LIPOPROTEIN BINDING IN INTIMA**

The third requirement for the pathogenesis of ASVD is binding of the lipoproteins in the intimal layer of the arterial wall. Perfusion studies with labelled lipoproteins show most lipoproteins that penetrate the endothelium diffuse through all the layers and efflux on the adventitial side. The numbers of retained lipoproteins in the intima are several orders of magnitude less than the number of particles that diffuse through the arterial wall. Therefore, retention of lipoproteins in the intima is a vital step in the pathogenesis of ASVD. The type of lipoprotein captured in the wall is not as important as the quantity of lipoproteins retained. Binding occurs via electrostatic forces to proteoglycans found in the extracellular matrix (ECM) of the intima. Proteoglycans have negatively charged areas, which bind to positively charged areas of amino acids on ApoB. There are two sites of attachment on ApoB-100, with one of those exposed only in sd-LDL particles. There is one site of attachment on ApoB-48.

Smooth muscle cells (SMCs) have two phenotypes. One is the contractile phenotype found in the medial layer of the arterial wall. The other is the synthetic phenotype that migrates from the medial layer to the intimal layer. Synthetic SMCs generate a proteoglycan-enriched ECM in the intima. Studies demonstrate that synthetic SMCs are the first intimal cells present in locations destined to develop ASVD. Microbial infection is known to stimulate genetic transcription of contractile SMCs to synthetic SMCs. PD due to the high-risk pathogen Pg has been shown to influence the levels of angiopoietin 1 (Angpt1) and angiopoietin 2 (Angpt2) in SMCs. E. gingivitis creates higher levels of Angpt2, which stimulates a genetic transformation of SMCs to the synthetic phenotype. Zhang et al.

concluded this is another mechanism by which periodontitis is associated with ASVD. PD has the potential to increase the binding of lipoproteins in the intima by enhancing the quantity of proteoglycans in intimal ECM.

**DISCUSSION**

PD due to high-risk pathogens may facilitate the three critical steps in the pathogenesis of ASVD (figure 1). Therefore, it is reasonable that PD, due to the high-risk pathogens (Aa, Pg, Td, Tf, Fn), be considered causal of ASVD on clinical grounds. Since ASVD is a complex multifactorial disease process, PD due to high-risk pathogens is a contributory cause. This means such PD is neither required nor sufficient for the pathogenesis of ASVD. It is necessary to elevate the distinction of PD to causal, as opposed to simply associated, for clinical management purposes. Causal classification requires therapy to mitigate the risk of its effect. In this case, it means PD due to these high-risk microbes must be treated effectively to reduce the risk of ASVD.

As is often the case in science, a new realization creates a list of unsolved issues. In this case, one obvious question is how to objectively identify the pathogens. The definition of PD must include a diagnosis of the specific underlying pathogens causing the PD. There are numerous studies that have demonstrated the CV risk from PD is driven by the pathogen burden as opposed to only clinical exam findings such as pocket depth, bleeding on probing and bone loss. The clinical oral health examination is important and must remain an important component of the diagnosis. However, from a CV standpoint, there must be an objective assessment of high-risk pathogen burden as part of the definition of PD. There are several DNA-based oral pathogen tests available to assess high-risk pathogens. However, many dentists are not familiar with these tests, and there is a substantial cost involved. Understanding that high-risk PD pathogens are causal of ASVD places an onus upon our dental colleagues to continue their efforts to develop affordable, reproducible, objective testing for high-risk PD pathogens.

An additional substantial issue following the recognition of PD due to high-risk pathogens as causal of ASVD is: how is it successfully managed? There is sparse data addressing the most effective way to manage such disease. One randomised prospective study of 101 patients with PD tested the effectiveness of scaling and root planning (SRP) alone versus SRP plus systemic antibiotics. There were two antibiotic arms: metronidazole (MTZ) 400 mg three times per day or MTZ+ amoxicillin (AMX) (500 mg three times per day) for 14 days. In addition, half of the patients in each of the three arms of therapy rinsed

---

**Figure 1**  Atherogenic triad in the presence and absence of high-risk periodontal pathogens. Column A: Illustration of the atherogenic triad. (1) Lipoprotein concentration in the serum, with each lipoprotein containing ApoB. (2) Dysfunction and permeability of the endothelium which allow monocytes to adhere to the endothelium and to penetrate into the intima along with lipoproteins. (3) Binding of ApoB to proteoglycans derived from migratory SMCS, as well as conversion of monocytes to macrophages, which become foam cells. Column B: Illustration of how high-risk periodontal pathogens adversely enhance each of the three elements causing greater atherogenesis. (1) In the presence of high-risk pathogens, the concentration of ApoB is increased. (2) In the presence of high-risk pathogens, the endothelial dysfunction and permeability are enhanced by LPS. (3) In the presence of high-risk pathogens, the binding of lipoproteins is enhanced by increased migratory SMCS, which enrich the intima with proteoglycans. ApoB, apolipoprotein B; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; LPS, lipopolysaccharides; sdLDL, small dense LDL; SMCS, smooth muscle cells.
with 0.12% chlorhexidine two times per day for 2 months. Follow-up testing of pathogens was concluded at 1 year. The study found there was more effective elimination of high-risk pathogens with the addition of antibiotics and antimicrobial rinse. However, they point out the study might have been underpowered and any conclusions should be interpreted with caution.\textsuperscript{34} One other randomised placebo-controlled clinical trial has been done comparing the effectiveness of SRP alone with SRP with the antibiotics MTZ and AMX. This study examined 58 non-smoking type 2 diabetics. They were treated with SRP alone or with MTZ 400 mg and AMX 500 mg three times per day for 14 days. Follow-up DNA evaluation for high-risk pathogens was concluded in 1 year. The patients treated with antibiotics had greater reduction in three high-risk pathogens: \textit{Tf}, \textit{Td}, \textit{Pg}. Again, this is a very small study, and results need to be interpreted with caution.\textsuperscript{35} Issues with management extend simply the initial therapy of high-risk pathogens. What is the most effective manner in which to maintain periodontal health? There is no significant published study, we are aware of, that addresses this pertinent issue. Studies evaluating management would also need to clarify the percentage of success, the side effects of therapy, the cost of the management and ultimately the return on investment in reducing the mortality and morbidity of ASVD. Currently, there are no large clinical trials generating a definitive answer for the effective management of PD due to high-risk pathogens. This is fertile research ground for our dental colleagues. Realising PD due to high-risk pathogens as causal of ASVD demands such investigation.

It should be clear that one reason the AHA’s meta-analysis was only able to prove an independent association of PD to ASVD as opposed to a causal relationship is due to the paucity of studies including periodontal burden in the definition of PD.\textsuperscript{5} This remains a significant issue, as the studies currently published analyse the relationship between PD and ASVD.\textsuperscript{36–38} When the component of high-risk pathogens is included in the diagnosis of PD, there is evidence that treatment reduces CV risk. The carotid intimal media thickening (cIMT) change is a marker of change in CV risk. Treatment of high-risk pathogens effected cIMT in a positive manner.\textsuperscript{39} With the current scientific evidence that it is the high-risk PD pathogens which drive the CV risk, it is imperative that study protocols include specific pathogen burden as an inherent element in the definition of PD and that the therapeutic impact examines the change in high-risk pathogen burden. One would anticipate the results of such studies would be compatible with high-risk pathogens being a contributory cause of ASVD.

ASVD remains the number one cause of mortality and morbidity in this country.\textsuperscript{40} Current literature supports that it is more cost-effective to prevent ASVD than to treat its complications.\textsuperscript{41} Arterial disease has a common core cause, which is inflammation.\textsuperscript{42} There are a multitude of conditions that can generate arterial inflammation. The list includes: lipids, smoking, hypertension, insulin resistance, vitamin D deficiency, obstructive sleep apnoea, obesity, diet, physical inactivity, psychosocial issues, oral health issues, systemic inflammatory conditions such as rheumatoid arthritis, lupus, genetic influences, and systemic infectious disease and gut dysbiosis.\textsuperscript{43–45} We now have evidence that PD can cause systemic inflammation and can also adversely affect the three essential mechanisms in the pathogenesis of ASVD. It is necessary to classify PD due to high-risk pathogens as a cause of ASVD. This type of PD is a medical condition with a dental solution. At present, our dental colleagues have not elucidated a definitive way in which to successfully manage this type of PD. The pathway is clear for justifying that PD due to high-risk pathogens is causal of ASVD.\textsuperscript{38} Once our healthcare partners in dentistry have identified effective management of this type of PD, such intervention offers significant potential for reducing the impact of ASVD.\textsuperscript{38}
Self assessment questions (True/False)

1. Level A evidence demonstrates that periodontal disease is independently associated with atherosclerotic vascular disease.
2. A contributory cause does not require that all those who possess the contributory cause experience the effect, nor does it require that all those who are free of the contributory cause be free of the effect.
3. Periodontal disease due to high-risk pathogens can play a direct role in the triad of conditions influencing the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis.
4. High-risk periodontal pathogens cannot influence lipoprotein serum concentration or endothelial permeability.
5. The high-risk periodontal pathogen Porphyromonas gingivalis can promote the conversion of contractile smooth muscle cells into migratory synthetic smooth muscle cells, which can enhance the binding of lipoproteins in the intima.
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### Answers

1. True
2. True
3. True
4. False
5. True