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ABSTRACT

Certain cancer therapies, including radiation therapy and some types of chemotherapies, are associated with increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and events. Some of these effects such as those presented by anthracyclines, radiation therapy, cisplatin, as well as those presented by hormone therapy for breast cancer—usually taken for many years for some breast and prostate cancers—are long-lasting and associated with cardiovascular events risk more than 20 years after cancer treatment. Cardiovascular testing, diagnostic assessment of suspected cardiovascular symptomatology, as well as laboratory tests for CVD risk factors are imperative. The early recognition and treatment of CVD processes that arise in survivorship years is pivotal, with specific attention to some CVD processes with specific suggested treatment modalities. Preventive measures include adequate screening, the use of medications such as ACE inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers and/or beta blockers, statin therapy and aspirin in persons who warrant these medications, as well as therapeutic lifestyle modifications such as exercise/physical activity, weight loss and appropriate diet for a healthy lifestyle. Periodic follow-up with a good primary care physician who understands the risks associated with cancer therapy is important, and referral to onco-cardiology for further management of cardiovascular risk in these survivors is based on a patient’s cardiovascular risk level and the type, amount and duration of cancer therapies received during the patient’s lifetime.

INTRODUCTION

Heart disease and cancer are the first and second leading causes of death in the USA and the world overall. Nonetheless, there have been dramatic improvements in cancer therapies, which have led to significant improvements in cancer survival such that in 2014, the American Cancer Society reported that there were over 14 million cancer survivors in the USA. That number is projected to increase to close to 19 million by year 2024. Next to cancer recurrence or progression and second malignancies, the leading cause of death in cancer survivors is cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) due to the intense oncological treatment which these patients have received. Furthermore, the risk of cardiovascular morbidity is shown to be higher than that of tumour recurrence in these cancer survivors. Many decades after diagnosis, cancer survivors had 15-fold increased rates of congestive heart failure (CHF), 10-fold higher rates of CVD, 9-fold higher rates of stroke and higher incidence of cardiovascular risk factors, compared with controls. Cancer-related cardiovascular morbidity and mortality is attributed to cancer therapy with chemotherapies and radiation therapies (tables 1 and 2, respectively), and risks may persist up to 45 years after therapy.

This review aims to focus on the particular cancer therapies associated with CVD in cancer survivors, their initial diagnosis and management, as well as measures to prevent this risk in survivors in order to best ensure cardiovascular longevity after cancer treatment.

DISCUSSION

A number of cancer therapies have long-term cardiovascular sequelae, leading to higher rates of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in cancer survivors. These include chemotherapies such as doxorubicin and cisplatin, hormone therapies—usually administered long term for a total duration of 5–10 years in the case of breast and prostate cancers, as well as radiation therapy. The anticipated long-term cardiovascular issues in this population include cardiomyopathy/heart failure, hypertension, dysrhythmias and autonomic dysfunction, valvular heart disease, coronary artery disease (CAD) and pericardial diseases.

CVD associated with chemotherapy, hormone and targeted therapies in cancer survivors

Cardiomyopathy/heart failure

Various chemotherapeutic agents have been implicated in causing cardiomyopathy with both systolic and diastolic dysfunction, which could eventually progress to clinical heart failure (table 1). The most notable chemotherapeutic class of drugs implicated in this process is anthracyclines (particularly doxorubicin). Anthracyclines are particularly known to increase the risk of cardiomyopathy with systolic dysfunction and heart failure by generation of free radicals, leading to cardiac cell damage. As such, more than 50% of all patients exposed to anthracycline chemotherapy will show some degree of cardiac dysfunction 10–20 years after chemotherapy and 5% will develop overt heart failure with up to 60% mortality. The risk of cardiomyopathy associated with anthracyclines varies based on a variety of factors, including the type of anthracycline (doxorubicin carries higher risk than epirubicin or liposomal doxorubicin), the route and speed of administration, concomitant radiation therapy or other cardiotoxic chemotherapy, concomitant cardiac risk factors, old and young age and female sex. For cancer survivors, it is noteworthy that the risk of anthracycline-induced cardiomyopathy exhibits a bimodal age distribution, with lower doses of anthracyclines affecting older as well as younger patients, with up to 32% of patients in the younger age distribution presenting with left ventricular dysfunction at some point after anthracycline administration.
### Table 1 Chemotherapeutic classes and associations with short-term and long-term cardiotoxicities, diagnostic modalities and management/prevention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemotherapy cardiotoxicity</th>
<th>Major culprit chemotherapeutic classes (incidence)</th>
<th>Diagnostic methodologies</th>
<th>Management/prevention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiomyopathy (with systolic and/or diastolic dysfunction)</td>
<td>• Anthracyclines*&lt;br&gt;• Monoclonal antibodies*&lt;br&gt;• VSP inhibitors*&lt;br&gt;• Alkylating agents&lt;br&gt;• Antimetabolites&lt;br&gt;• Proteosome inhibitors*</td>
<td>• Echocardiography&lt;br&gt;• Myocardial strain imaging by echo&lt;br&gt;• Cardiac MRI&lt;br&gt;• MUGA/RNA&lt;br&gt;• Biomarkers: troponin, BNP, newer biomarkers†&lt;br&gt;• Possible role for genetics</td>
<td>• ACE-I/ARB&lt;br&gt;• Beta blockers&lt;br&gt;• Deferoxamine¶&lt;br&gt;• Possible role for statins&lt;br&gt;• Possible role for ranolazine&lt;br&gt;• Serial LVEF/biomarker monitoring&lt;br&gt;• Discontinue chemotherapy, then reinstitute with LVEF recovery&lt;br&gt;• Long-term consideration for ICD and possible heart transplantation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischaemia</td>
<td>• Antimetabolites (vasospasm)&lt;br&gt;• VSP—inhibitor TKIs (Mab and Smol)—arterial thrombosis&lt;br&gt;• Antimicrotubule agents (arterial thrombosis)&lt;br&gt;• Alkylating agents*&lt;br&gt;• Angiogenesis inhibitor—arterial thrombosis</td>
<td>• ECG&lt;br&gt;• Troponin&lt;br&gt;• Stress test§&lt;br&gt;• Coronary angiography&lt;br&gt;• Cardiac MRI</td>
<td>• Nitrates for coronary spasms&lt;br&gt;• Aspirin for thrombosis risk&lt;br&gt;• Limited data for other antiangiinal agents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombosis</td>
<td>• Alkylating agents—venous&lt;br&gt;• Angiogenesis inhibitor—arterial&lt;br&gt;• VSP inhibitors—venous and arterial&lt;br&gt;• Histone deacetylase inhibitors—venous&lt;br&gt;• Immunomodulators—arterial&lt;br&gt;• Hormonal therapy (tamoxifen)—arterial/venous ‡</td>
<td>• Doppler ultrasound&lt;br&gt;• CT angiography&lt;br&gt;• Other concern as for ischaemia above</td>
<td>• Unfractionated heparin&lt;br&gt;• Low molecular weight heparin&lt;br&gt;• Fondaparinux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>• VSP inhibitors/targeted therapies*&lt;br&gt;• VEGF trap&lt;br&gt;• Alkylating agents*&lt;br&gt;• Interleukins&lt;br&gt;• Monoclonal antibodies&lt;br&gt;• All-trans retinoic acid (differentiation syndrome)</td>
<td>• On-site blood pressure checks&lt;br&gt;• Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring</td>
<td>• Amlodipine&lt;br&gt;• ACE-I/ARB&lt;br&gt;• Other antihypertensive regimens as third-line agents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotension</td>
<td>• Interferons&lt;br&gt;• Interleukins&lt;br&gt;• Monoclonal antibodies*</td>
<td>• On-site blood pressure checks&lt;br&gt;• Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring</td>
<td>• Intravenous fluids&lt;br&gt;• Midodrine (if normal LVEF)&lt;br&gt;• Discontinue chemotherapy if in shock, then reinstitute when stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysrhythmias</td>
<td>• Interleukins&lt;br&gt;• Interferons&lt;br&gt;• Angiogenesis inhibitors (bradycardia)&lt;br&gt;• Antimicrotubule agents (bradycardia)&lt;br&gt;• Histone deacetylase inhibitors&lt;br&gt;• Non-VSP inhibitor small molecule TKIs&lt;br&gt;• Arsenic trioxide</td>
<td>• ECG&lt;br&gt;• Telemetry</td>
<td>• Beta blockers&lt;br&gt;• Propafenone&lt;br&gt;• Antiocoagulation with low molecular weight heparin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTc prolongation</td>
<td>• Arsenic trioxide&lt;br&gt;• Histone deacetylase inhibitors&lt;br&gt;• Small molecule TKIs&lt;br&gt;• Monoclonal antibodies*&lt;br&gt;• Angiopeptin*&lt;br&gt;• Non-VSP inhibitor small molecule TKIs&lt;br&gt;• Monoclonal antibodies*&lt;br&gt;• Angiopeptin*</td>
<td>• ECG&lt;br&gt;• Telemetry&lt;br&gt;• Other concern as for ischaemia above&lt;br&gt;• Echocardiography&lt;br&gt;• Cardiac MRI&lt;br&gt;• Cardiac CT&lt;br&gt;• Other antihypertensive regimens as third-line agents&lt;br&gt;• Beta blockers&lt;br&gt;• Propafenone&lt;br&gt;• Antiocoagulation with low molecular weight heparin&lt;br&gt;• Replete electrolytes (K/Mg)&lt;br&gt;• Serial ECG monitoring&lt;br&gt;• Discontinue other QTc prolonging drugs, where possible&lt;br&gt;• Discontinue chemotherapy agent, if significant risk of torsades&lt;br&gt;• Pericardiocentesis&lt;br&gt;• Pericardial window&lt;br&gt;• Pericardial stripping (with constriction)&lt;br&gt;• Colchicine (if no interaction with chemotherapy)&lt;br&gt;• NSAIDs (if normal blood pressure and LVEF)</td>
<td>• Discontinue chemotherapy, then reinstitute with LVEF recovery&lt;br&gt;• Long-term consideration for ICD and possible heart transplantation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Associated with long-term cardiotoxicity; does not necessarily apply to all agents within each mentioned drug class.
† Long-term toxicity as a function of protracted use.
‡ Neuer biomarkers with possible future promise include: serum galectin-3, ST-2, glycogen phosphorylase BB (GPBB), heart-type fatty acid-binding protein (H-FABP) and high-sensitivity C reactive protein (hs-CRP).83–88
§ Stress test by Single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT)—myocardial perfusion imaging, Fluorodeoxyglucose—FDG—Positron Emission Tomography (PET) scan and stress echocardiography. Of questionable utility, particularly if ischaemia is related to coronary vasospasm.
¶ Deferoxamine particularly cardioprotective against anthracyclines.
Concern for chemotherapy—drug interactions with drugs that affect the cytochrome P-450 system (diltiazem, digoxin, amiodarone), as well as other QTc prolonging antiarrhythmics (flucainide, ibutilide, dofetilide, sotalol).83–88
Armidipine is a very effective first-line agent for TKI-induced hypertension and proteinuria; ACE-I/ARBs are also useful (in addition to amloidipine) for proteinuria associated with these agents.
\( s\)AARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; ICD, implantable cardioverter defibrillator; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MUGA, multigated acquisition scan; NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; RNA, radionuclide angiography, TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor; VSP, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) signalling pathway.
Trastuzumab is a monoclonal antibody which targets the Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor-2 (HER-2) receptor. The incidence of trastuzumab-associated cardiac dysfunction is about 5–10% with 2–3% incidence of clinical heart failure. However, used in combination with docorubicin, trastuzumab leads to >7-fold increased risk of heart failure. Unlike anthracyclines which lead to type I irreversible myocyte destruction and cardiac dysfunction, trastuzumab cardiac dysfunction is not dose related and is mostly known to lead to reversible ErbB2 signalling-mediated type II cardiac dysfunction without ultrastructural changes. On the other hand, more recent studies have suggested that cardiotoxicity associated with trastuzumab progressively increased during 3–5 years after the end of treatment and can persist many years after the conclusion of the therapy, thus strongly suggesting that it is not always reversible as initially proposed.

The risk of heart failure with reduced systolic function has been reported to be as high as 4% with bevacizumab, a monoclonal antibody which inhibits vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) A. In this case, concurrent use of taxanes, capecitabine and anthracyclines does not significantly increase the incidence or relative risk of heart failure. However, prior use of anthracyclines may cause the left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) to be decreased at baseline. In a randomised controlled trial of capecitabine in combination with bevacizumab for treatment of metastatic breast cancer, 50% of the patients who developed CHF/cardiomyopathy had a baseline LVEF of <50% and all of these patients had previously received anthracyclines.

Other class of drugs associated with long-term heart failure in cancer survivors includes VEGF signalling pathway (VSP) inhibitors such as sunitinib and sorafenib. Sunitinib has been associated with reduced LVEF with an incidence of 4.1%. However, the incidence of LV dysfunction is variable among different studies varying from as low as 0% to as high as 28%. A small study of patients treated with sunitinib showed a mean reduction of 5% in LVEF, with an initial 2% reduction from baseline followed by 1–5% reduction per cycle in subsequent cycles. Furthermore, the incidence of LV dysfunction in patients on sorafenib is 4.7%, without any noted difference between sorafenib and placebo. On the other hand, when compared with other VEGF inhibitors, pazopanib has a relatively higher incidence of all grades of heart failure at 6.1%, while vandetanib and ramucirumab have the lowest incidences of heart failure in this class of drugs. Although some studies suggest complete recovery after treatment, the total reversibility of heart failure associated with these VEGF inhibitors has been called into question in other studies. As such, patients with cancer having received these therapies may have to live with LV dysfunction as survivors.

CAD and cardiovascular events

The risk of cardiovascular events is higher years after cisplatin (a potent alkylating agent) therapy, is mostly related to elevated risk of cardiovascular risk factors and endothelial dysfunction associated with the drug and the fact that cisplatin can be detected in the blood up to 20 years after treatment. Delayed cardiovascular toxicity, including acute myocardial infarction, and cerebrovascular events have been reported with cisplatin. Similarly, a study by Haugnes et al. showed 5.7-fold higher risk of CAD and 3.1-fold higher risk of myocardial infarction with cisplatin-based regimens, compared with surgery alone in a median observation time of 19 years (range: 13–28 years).

Some hormone therapies usually taken for up to 5–10 years after initial breast cancer treatment are also associated with elevated cardiovascular events risk. A 2013 meta-analysis of randomised trials of third-generation aromatase inhibitors as alternatives to tamoxifen involved ~34 000 patients and showed higher risk of cardiovascular events (OR=1.20; p=0.030), compared with tamoxifen monotherapy. In the same study, the absolute risk of cardiovascular events with either drug was low at 4.2% with aromatase inhibitors and 3.4% with tamoxifen. On the other hand, tamoxifen and raloxifene caused a doubling in the rate of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism compared with placebo (relative risk 1.9 (1.4–2.6); p<0.0001 in the prevention trials). The findings were similar in a study of 5408 hysterectomised women randomly assigned to tamoxifen versus placebo. On the other side, men with baseline history of CAD-induced CHF or myocardial infarction treated long term with androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer had excess risk of cardiovascular events and mortality.

Hypertension

In addition to a threefold increased risk of LV dysfunction and heart failure, the monoclonal antibody bevacizumab exhibited a fivefold increased risk of hypertension, while causing a 30% risk reduction in progression-free survival events in patients with HER-2-negative metastatic breast cancer. Many tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) VSP inhibitors also cause hypertension, which is a common mechanism by which VSP inhibitors can cause long-term consequences, including renal dysfunction, myocardial ischaemia/infarction, strokes, as well as LV dysfunction with possible heart failure. All of these issues can persist for years after treatment has ended. Furthermore, hypertension is a late adverse effect of cisplatin in about 20–50% of patients post-combination chemotherapy. Cisplatin causes vascular endothelial damage with significant oxidative stress and vasoconstriction associated with VEGF pathways, thus leading to long-term hypertension. It also carries the risk of acute kidney injury with proximal tubular injury, oxidative stress, inflammation and vascular injury in the kidney, which could then lead to hypertension long term. Exemestane, an aromatase inhibitor for treatment of hormone receptor-positive breast cancer, is also associated with higher long-term risk of hypertension and subsequent cardiovascular events.

Metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular risk factors

Cancer survivors overall have a greater risk of cardiovascular risk factors. Indeed, the prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors was notably higher in cancer survivors compared with their siblings in the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study of 8599 survivors (52% male) and 2936 siblings (46% male). In this study, survivors were more likely to take medications for hypertension (OR 1.9 95% CI 1.6 to 2.2), dyslipidaemia (OR 1.6 95% CI 1.3 to 2.0) and diabetes (OR 1.7 95% CI 1.2 to 2.3), compared with their siblings.

Cancer drugs also increase the risk of cardiovascular risk factors and subsequent CVD. In a population of patients with testicular cancer, cisplatin was associated with higher rates of hypertension, dyslipidaemia with hypertriglyceridaemia, elevated Body Mass Index (BMI) and insulin resistance compared with control patients with the same disease treated surgically without chemotherapy. In addition, 5–10-year duration of aromatase inhibitor endocrine therapy for hormone receptor-positive breast cancer treatment is associated with dyslipidaemia, particularly lowered High Density Lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol with long-term cardiovascular consequences. In the Arimidex, Tamoxifen, Alone or in Combination Trial (ATAC) study, anastrozole use was associated with higher incidence of
dyslipidaemia, with similar findings for letrozole in the Breast International Group Trial (BIG) 1–98 trial.

Pericardial disease

Similar to radiation therapy, there have been cases of pericardial and endomyocardial fibrosis linked to busulfan therapy usually exceeding 600 mg and occurring 4–9 years after treatment. CVD associated with radiation therapy in cancer survivors

Radiation therapy has been shown to significantly reduce rates of cancer recurrence and mortality due to cancer, but is associated with irradiation of surrounding organs, other than the area of tumour. Chest wall radiation as seen in patients with lung cancer, lymphoma, oesophageal cancer and breast cancer is associated with irradiation to the heart, especially when confined to the left chest wall as in left-sided breast cancer. Radiation-induced heart disease which occurs in up to 30% of patients post-chest wall radiation therapy includes a myriad of cardiac abnormalities, including valvular heart disease, pericardial disease (pericarditis, pericardial effusion and constriction), conduction disturbances and arrhythmias and cardiomyopathy, which can occur up to 20–30 years after radiation therapy (table 2).

Autonomic dysfunction is a cardiovascular sequela of radiation therapy that has more recently come into recognition. In their study of 263 Hodgkin lymphoma survivors referred for stress testing at a median interval of 19 years post-radiation therapy (range: 1–55 years), Groarke et al showed elevated resting heart rate and heart rate recovery (HRR) in a multivariate analysis (ORs: 3.96 and 2.52, respectively), which worsened with time from radiation. They also showed that abnormal HRR was associated with all-cause mortality (age-adjusted HR: 4.60).

CAD is another major cardiovascular problem associated with radiation therapy. Higher doses of radiation were associated with increased risk of major coronary events in women treated for breast cancer. This risk began within the first 5 years after radiation therapy and continued until the third decade afterwards. Of note, this particular study was based on older radiation techniques involving electron beam radiation therapy with completion of anthracycline regimen seems reasonable. Speckle tracking echocardiography for detection of LV myocardial strain is a novel cardiac imaging technique assessed by echocardiography, which has been shown to be a very specific predictor of cardiac dysfunction in patients with cancer receiving chemotherapy. Echocardiography in this setting should assess for pericardial disease, LV or right ventricular dysfunction and/or valvular heart disease. Of note, inducible perfusion abnormalities on SPECT perfusion imaging (or lack thereof) are not always correlated with the presence or absence of CAD. The role of the inexpensive and easily accessible coronary artery calcium CT and scoring, as well as coronary CT angiography in screening for radiation-induced CAD, is of utmost interest.

Brain natriuretic peptide and troponin as cardiac biomarkers have shown useful predictability for cardiotoxicity during and around the time of chemotherapy, but their use as predictors of cardiac disease in cancer survivors is yet to be defined.

Presentation and diagnosis

Typical cardiovascular concerns in the survivorship years include left ventricular systolic and diastolic dysfunction, CAD, tachyarrhythmia or bradycardia, valvular heart disease, pericardial disease (including effusion and constriction) and carotid artery disease, all with associated cardiovascular events risk and subsequent morbidity and/or mortality. As such, cancer survivors and their clinicians should be watchful for cardiovascular symptoms (figure 1). Cardiovascular risk factors, such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus and dyslipidaemia, are also of importance in the survivorship years as they could lead to overt CVD and associated complications (the risks of which are magnified after cancer therapy), in addition to systemic manifestations with related comorbidities. As such, the utility of establishing good primary care at the very least, with regular follow-up visits and laboratory testing with or without imaging in the cancer survivor, cannot be overemphasised. Annual ECGs should be obtained, along with annual blood tests to assess renal function and electrolytes, liver and thyroid functions and glucose/haemoglobin A1c, lipid profile, blood haemoglobin and haematocrit levels as useful initial assessments.

Some patient groups with prior or higher future risk of cardiovascular events require regular follow-up visits with a cardiologist—preferably an onco-cardiologist well versed in cardiovascular expectations relating to cancer therapies. For cardiovascular imaging studies, the echocardiography consensus statement recommends evaluation based on signs and symptoms as stated above, and a surveillance echocardiogram with strain imaging 6 months post-anthracycline therapy, then beginning at 5 years after cardiotoxic cancer therapies in high-risk patients, 10 years otherwise; and functional non-invasive stress testing within 5–10 years of completion of chest radiation therapy. It is noteworthy that the study by Cardinale et al showed that 98% of cardiotoxicities associated with anthracycline therapies occurred within the first year. As such, screening with echocardiogram with myocardial strain assessment 12 months after completion of anthracycline regimen seems reasonable. Speckle tracking echocardiography for detection of LV myocardial strain is a novel cardiac imaging technique assessed by echocardiography, which has been shown to be a very specific predictor of cardiac dysfunction in patients with cancer receiving chemotherapy. Echocardiography in this setting should assess for pericardial disease, LV or right ventricular dysfunction and/or valvular heart disease. Of note, inducible perfusion abnormalities on SPECT perfusion imaging (or lack thereof) are not always correlated with the presence or absence of CAD. The role of the inexpensive and easily accessible coronary artery calcium CT and scoring, as well as coronary CT angiography in screening for radiation-induced CAD, is of utmost interest.

Brain natriuretic peptide and troponin as cardiac biomarkers have shown useful predictability for cardiotoxicity during and around the time of chemotherapy, but their use as predictors of cardiac disease in cancer survivors is yet to be defined.

Treatment of CVD in cancer survivors

Many of the treatment modalities for various CVDs in this population are as for that of the general population. Nonetheless, a number of major issues are worthy of note regarding the treatment of CVD among cancer survivors.

There is a small window of opportunity that exists in the treatment of anthracycline-related cardiomyopathy, after which the chances of full LVEF recovery are much reduced. In the study by Cardinale et al the possibility of full LVEF recovery steadily decreased with time and was 0% if heart failure therapy was initiated 6 months after the end of chemotherapy among patients who developed heart failure after anthracycline-based chemotherapy. As such, close monitoring with prompt recognition and institution of heart failure therapies such as ACE inhibitors and beta blockers is necessary to counteract the lifelong risk of heart failure in this population.
### Cardiac effects (prevalence)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardiac effects</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Screening/diagnosis</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pericardial disease (6–30%)</td>
<td>Most common manifestation of radiation-induced heart disease and a diagnosis of exclusion. Occurs due to fibroux exudates to the pericardial surface, fibrotic changes to the parietal pericardium. Acute pericarditis is often self-limiting. Chronic pericarditis is often effusive–constrictive</td>
<td>Diagnosis of exclusion after other causes of pericardial disease have been ruled out</td>
<td>Anti-inflammatory drugs for pericarditis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericardial disease (acute or chronic)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Echocardiogram</td>
<td>Pericardectomy for large effusions or tamponade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericardial effusion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cardiac MRI</td>
<td>Pericardial window for recurrent pericardial effusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericardial constriction</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cardiac CT</td>
<td>Pericardial stripping for constrictive pericarditis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease (up to 85%)</td>
<td>Due to capillary network/epicardial coronary arteries damage and sustained inflammation via NF-κB. Usually occurs ≥10 years post-radiation therapy. Involves the LM, ostial LAD and RCA. Lesions are longer, smoother, concentric and tubular. Incidence increased by standard CV risk factors</td>
<td>Stress echocardiography (could also screen for other causes of RIHD, other than CAD) or stress perfusion imaging</td>
<td>As for CAD in patients not treated with radiation: medical therapy, percutaneous coronary angioplasty and coronary artery bypass graft (challenging surgical due to fibrosis of pericardium and mediastinum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microvascular CAD</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cardiac CTA</td>
<td>Aggressive CV risk factor modification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrovascular CAD</td>
<td></td>
<td>Possible role for coronary calcium screening</td>
<td>Serial monitoring with timing of surgery as in ACC/AHA guidelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valvular heart disease</td>
<td>The mean time interval of 12 years. Diffuse fibrosis of the valvular cusps or leaflets, with or without calcification; no post-inflammatory changes noted. Left-sided valves &gt; right-sided valves. Initial regurgitation related to valve retraction &gt; later stenosis related to thickening/calcification</td>
<td>Echocardiogram</td>
<td>Valve replacement is preferred over valve repair which is associated with worsened valve disease and heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 10 years (26% AI, 39% MR, 16% TR and 7% PI)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cardiac MRI</td>
<td>Consider TAVR, if mediastinum and cardiac anatomy is not amenable to open heart surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 20 years: (60% AI (16% Aortic Stenosis (AS)), 52% MR, 26% TR and 12% PI)</td>
<td></td>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>Permanent pacemaker as indicated for high-degree A–V block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduction system abnormalities</td>
<td>Not very common. Tachycardias can be persistent and is usually a result of autonomic dysfunction, similar to denervated hearts. Persistent tachycardia could increase the risk of tachycardia-induced cardiomyopathy</td>
<td>Telemetry/ambulatory Holter monitor</td>
<td>ICD as indicated for life-threatening arrhythmia, sudden death or secondary prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A–V nodal block (including high-degree block)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Consider subepicardial approach for device implantation, if there is subcutaneous involvement of thoracic radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bundle branch block (RBBB &gt; than LBBB)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fascicular block</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tachycardias</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged QTc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiomyopathy</td>
<td>Due to increased fibrosis in all three layers of the ventricular walls (epicardium, myocardium and endocardium). May lead to restrictive cardiomyopathy and rarely to systolic dysfunction. In addition, fibrosis of the right ventricle is usually more extensive than of the left ventricle</td>
<td>Echocardiogram</td>
<td>Slow upward titration of ACE-I, beta blockade and aldosterone inhibitors in patients with reduced LV systolic function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilated dysfunction &gt; systolic dysfunction</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cardiac MRI</td>
<td>Optimise risk factors for diastolic dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right ventricle &gt; left ventricle</td>
<td></td>
<td>Possible role for biomarkers (BNP, troponin)</td>
<td>Exercise training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inotropic support, VAD and heart transplantation, as indicated*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Consider heart transplantation for the small group of patients with biventricular dysfunction, calcified cardiac skeleton, prior open heart surgery and/or restrictive/constrictive haemodynamic status.

ACC, American College of Cardiology; AHA, American Heart Association; AI, aortic insufficiency; BNP, Brain natriuretic peptide; CAD, coronary artery disease; CTA, CT angiography; CV, cardiovascular; ICD, implantable cardioverter defibrillator; LAD, Left Anterior Descending artery; LBBB, left bundle branch block; LM, Left main coronary artery; MR, mitral regurgitation; NF-κB, Nuclear Factor-κB; PI, pulmonic insufficiency; RBBB, right bundle branch block; RCA, Right Coronary Artery; RIHD, Radiation Induced Heart Disease; TAVR, transcatheter aortic valve replacement; TR, tricuspid regurgitation; VAD, Ventricular Assist Device. |
Another treatment matter worth noting is that the cancer therapeutic agents such as bevacizumab and TKIs administered for extended periods of time, as well as cisplatin that causes lifelong risk of hypertension; do so by causing endothelial dysfunction and vascular stiffness through already described mechanisms. The endpoint is a decrease in Nitric Oxide (NO) levels leading to systemic vasoconstriction and blood pressure elevation. As such, agents that stimulate NO production (such as nitrates) or those that counteract vascular stiffness (such as dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers) might be more useful in adequately controlling blood pressures in this population, compared with other antihypertensive medications.

Radiation-induced valvular heart disease is yet another treatment-related affair which the treating physician should be aware of in cancer survivors. These can occur within the first 5 years, up to the third decade post-radiation therapy. The mechanism of radiation-induced heart disease is an inflammatory process that causes acute upregulation of proinflammatory cytokines and adhesion molecules in endothelium (comprised in cardiac valves and vessels), which then recruits inflammatory cells to sites of endothelial injury. Furthermore, late effects of radiation therapy are caused by ionisation of water molecules, with radiation-induced chronic free radical production. As such, in patients post-chest wall radiation therapy who require heart valve replacement, it is generally advisable to consider the use of mechanical rather than bioprosthetic heart valves prone to inflammation and injury with subsequent rapid deterioration.

Autonomic dysfunction should be considered in patients with sinus tachycardia of unidentifiable aetiology and prior history of chest wall radiation. These patients should be managed as for autonomic dysfunction in the general population, starting with beta blockers. The management of CAD, pericardial diseases, tachyarrhythmia or bradycardia is mostly similar to the rest of the population based on guidelines. The suspicion for constrictive pericarditis should be high in a patient with prior history of chest radiation therapy (particularly prior to the millennium) presenting with cardiovascular complaints.

Prevention and management

The iron chelator, dexrazoxane, has been consistently shown to aid in the prophylaxis or prevention of anthracyline-induced cardiotoxicity in the past. This is the only drug approved for preventing anthracycline-related cardiotoxicity; and when used, it is concomitantly administered with anthracyclines. Other prophylactic measures in this setting include the use of liposomal doxorubicin and other anthracine agents such as epirubicin or anthracine-like agents such as mitoxantrone, rather than doxorubicin. More recently, many of the standard heart failure agents (ACE inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) and beta blockers) have shown some promise both in the prevention and in the treatment of chemotherapy-induced cardiotoxicity. Furthermore, 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitors (statins) have recently shown reduced cancer-related mortality and have been associated with more stable LVEF and lower incidence of heart failure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Considerations</th>
<th>Notes/Methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVD risk factors particularly associated with cancer therapy</td>
<td>Metabolic syndrome, dyslipidemia, diabetes, hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD associated with cancer therapy</td>
<td>Cardiomyopathy with systolic and/or diastolic dysfunction, coronary artery disease, endothelial dysfunction, myocardial ischemia, valvular heart disease, pericardial disease, conduction abnormalities, heart blocks, pericardial disease, autonomic dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Chest pains, dyspnea (with rest and exertion), palpitations, dizziness or light headedness, syncope, lower extremity edema, and some other non-specific symptoms such as fatigue, abdominal fullness, loss of appetite, neck fullness, nausea, some non-specific symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaging</td>
<td>Electrocardiography (ECG) Echocardiography Cardiac MRI Stress testing (by SPECT, PET or Echocardiography) Coronary angiography Cardiac CT angiography Coronary calcium CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab tests</td>
<td>Renal liver and thyroid function tests, electrolytes, glucose/hemoglobin A1c, lipid profile, blood hemoglobin and hematocrit levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications†</td>
<td>Dexrazoxane** Ace Inhibitors Beta blockers† Statins* Aspirin use*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventive Measures</td>
<td>Tobacco cessation Heart healthy diet Exercise and physical activity Maintain ideal weight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Preferred for hypertension management in the setting of autonomic dysfunction
** During cancer therapy
† For treatment and prevention
‡ Minimal data

Figure 1 Considerations for assessment, management and prevention of chemotherapy-induced cardiovascular disease (CVD) in cancer survivors.

Though not yet validated for reducing radiation-induced heart disease, newer radiation therapy techniques have focused on altering the radiotherapy field and/or avoidance/shielding of the heart in order to reduce excess cardiac irradiation. These are achieved by modulating the dose in surrounding organs with intensity-modulated radiotherapy, DIBH and gated techniques, prone positioning and 3D conformal radiation therapy. The proton beam technique is purported to offer great potential to minimise cardiovascular events risk by keeping the mean heart dose at ≤1 Gy.77

Aerobic exercise has been shown to ameliorate doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity in animal models,78 and reduced the incidence of major CAD and CVD events, as well as heart failure in Hodgkin lymphoma survivors.79 As such, prevention is pivotal and should involve weight management, physical activity/exercise and smoking cessation, as well as management of dyslipidaemia, diabetes, hypertension (with the use of ACE inhibitors/ARBs and/or beta blockers), aspirin use and revascularisation as required.

In a study by Hancock and colleagues, a significant proportion of patients who suffered a fatal Myocardial Infarction (MI) because of radiation-induced CAD had no prior symptoms of angina or heart failure.80 Unfortunately, there are no accepted guidelines for comprehensive cardiovascular screening of radiation-induced heart disease, and the conundrum lies in the timing, frequency and duration of screening. Future research efforts should aim at better identification of this subset of patients. Certainly, symptomatic evaluation of patients with concerning cardiovascular complaints is warranted.

CONCLUSION
Cancer therapeutics has come a long way towards potentially curing and oftentimes prolonging the life of patients with cancer. As such, the number of cancer survivors in the USA is on the rise. These benefits associated with cancer treatments are sometimes ameliorated by CVD and CVD events as the primary non-malignant cause of death in cancer survivors. Certain chemotherapies, radiation therapy and hormone therapy are associated with CVD in the cancer survivorship years, some lasting more than 20 years after treatment. Knowledge and awareness of these agents and associated cardiovascular risks, as well as diagnosis of CVD and management in these settings, are of utmost importance. It is imperative that the oncology team and the primary care physician work together in the care of the cancer survivor to help detect cancer recurrence as well as monitor for late/long-term effects from acute treatment, including CVD. Prevention of CVD in the first place is even more paramount and referral to cardio-oncology is made based on initial or subsequent risk assessment.

Current research questions
- Can statins be used to reduce the risk of radiation-induced CAD?
- Is coronary artery calcium a good screening modality for radiation-induced CAD?
- Is hypertension associated with chronic use of bevacizumab reversible?
- Can prophylactic use of an ACE inhibitor or angiotensin receptor blocker just prior to start of bevacizumab therapy be used to prevent proteinuria associated with bevacizumab?
- What is the efficacy of atenolol versus metoprolol or other beta blockers in treating radiation-induced autonomic dysfunction?

Key references
Self assessment questions

Please answer true (T) or false (F) to the below statement.

1. A 30-year-old woman with a history of breast cancer who had received a cumulative dose of 600 mg/m² of epirubicin over 6 months is more at risk for chronic anthracycline cardiotoxicity than a 40-year-old man with a history of Hodgkin’s lymphoma who had received a cumulative dose of 300 mg/m² of doxorubicin over several years as a teenager.

2. In the list of cardiovascular risk factors such as diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidaemia and cigarette smoking, the most important cardiovascular risk factor contributing to higher risk of chemotherapy-induced cardiomyopathy is hypertension.

3. The risk of radiation-induced cardiac disease begins within the first year and lasts up to 45 years or more after radiation therapy.

4. The severity of sinus tachycardia and sinus node dysfunction as a complication of radiation therapy in cancer survivors worsens many years after radiation therapy.

5. Apart from anthracyclines, other chemotherapies known to cause cardiomyopathy include monoclonal antibodies, tyrosine kinase inhibitors, proteasome inhibitors and antimitabolics.
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