Quadruple therapy for symptomatic spontaneous duodenal ulcer disease
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Abstract

Aim—To investigate Helicobacter pylori eradication in duodenal ulcer patients with a new regimen, lansoprazole 30 mg daily for one or four weeks plus twice daily tetracycline 500 mg, clarithromycin 250 mg, and metronidazole 400 mg.

Background—Spontaneous duodenal ulcer is regularly associated with H pylori, and permanent cure follows eradication of this bacterium. Numerous treatments have been proposed and none is ideal, possibly because of primary or acquired antibiotic resistance. Quadruple regimens with proton pump inhibitor therapy and three antibiotics offer promise as the most effective therapy.

Methods—From November 1995 all patients with spontaneous duodenal ulcer were offered quadruple therapy. A month after completion a carbon 14 urea breath test (UBT) was performed. Sensitivity of H pylori to the antibiotics used was tested in 1992–3, 1996, and 1999.

Results—A total of 331 patients were treated; 313 attended for a UBT, of which 299 were negative (95.5%). Of those patients who had an endoscopy with positive urease test immediately before treatment, 95/101 (94.0%) on lansoprazole for one week and 116/121 (95.8%) on lansoprazole for four weeks had a negative UBT. H pylori antibiotic sensitivity did not change.

Conclusion—This regimen produced some of the best results yet seen and may be generally recommended as first line therapy.
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The discovery that spontaneous duodenal ulcer is regularly associated with Helicobacter pylori infection such as direct urease testing and histology on gastric biopsy specimens, and carbon 13 and carbon 14 urea breath tests (UBTs), may be temporarily negative in patients who are taking or who have recently taken antibiotics, bismuth, and acid suppressing drugs.1 2 Though H pylori is very sensitive to a variety of antibiotics in the laboratory they do not always help patients. Amoxicillin alone is ineffective in treatment, though H pylori is universally sensitive to this agent. Combinations of drugs are required with problems of tolerability, variable efficacy, and alleged or actual penicillin sensitivity. Whether laboratory sensitivity testing is useful in guiding treatment of individual patients is contentious, particularly when multiple antibiotics are used.2 10

Often early claims of high effectiveness of treatment schemes cannot be confirmed later. Bismuth, tetracycline, and metronidazole for two weeks was the standard therapy initially,11 12 but is now considered obsolete because of low efficacy and poor tolerability by patients.12 There was a need for better treatment and it was decided to test the use of a novel combination of drugs in routine patient management.

Important questions were whether the use of three antibiotics conferred additional benefit over two, whether prolonged lansoprazole therapy was better than just one week, and whether double dose lansoprazole was necessary.

Subjects and methods

Bishop Auckland General Hospital serves a mixed rural and urban population of 150 000 in north east England, of whom less than 0.5% are from ethnic minorities. There has been an open access endoscopy service for 10 years, and currently 2300 patients are gastroscoped each year. About 70 adult patients with spontaneous duodenal ulcers are identified annually under the care of the single handed gastroenterologist. From January 1992 all patients with spontaneous duodenal ulcer were offered eradication therapy, and the results were assessed by carbon 14 UBT.13 14 From November 1995 all patients were considered for the quadruple therapy described.

The diagnosis of duodenal ulcer was established by gastroscopy or unequivocal barium meal, and all patients had symptoms consistent with this diagnosis. Endoscopic antral gastritis, gastric antral erosions, duodenitis, and duodenal erosions were often seen in the study group.
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Table 1 Results of antibiotic sensitivity testing, % sensitive by E test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>1992–96</th>
<th>1999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarithromycin</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metronidazole</td>
<td>98 (81% without anaerobic phase)</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, the presence of oesophagitis, gastric ulcer, or oesophageal or gastric cancer excluded patients.

Either no specific anti-\textit{H pylori} therapy had been given, or it had been shown to have failed by subsequent positive UBT (eight patients). No patients had been taking aspirin or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs before treatment. None of the patients were pregnant and women in the childbearing years were advised to avoid pregnancy by taking appropriate precautions. Where patients had a gastroscopy performed immediately before treatment a direct urease test was taken and they were analysed as a specific subgroup.

Usually treatment was prescribed from the hospital but if primary care doctors prescribed they were asked to confirm this in writing in every case. No patients reported previous intolerance to any of the antibiotics used, but one stated that vaginal thrush regularly complicated any antibiotic use, and she was offered additional fluconazole treatment as prophylaxis. Two patients reported diarrhoea after previous lansoprazole therapy. Pantoprazole 40 mg daily for one week was substituted and both had successful treatment.

All patients were treated with lansoprazole once daily and were given one week’s treatment with twice daily tetracycline 500 mg, clarithromycin 250 mg, and metronidazole 400 mg.

The first 127 were given lansoprazole 30 mg daily concurrently with antibiotic treatment and then for a further three weeks (LTCM2 group). The next 137 were given lansoprazole 30 mg daily only for the week of antibiotic treatment (4 \times 1 group). All subsequent patients were given lansoprazole for a month as initially. The patients were evenly matched on the two treatments. In the LTCM2 group the median age was 57 (range 17–90) with 30% women. In the 4 \times 1 group the median age was 58 (22–87) with 26.2% women. Between 1–2 months after completion of all treatment patients were asked to attend fasting for a carbon 14 UBT. An oral dose of 0.2 MBq carbon 14 urea was given in a small quantity of water without any test meal. Breath samples were collected in a trapping agent at 20 and 30 minutes and the highest count was taken as the result, with a cut off point of 0.75% dose/mmol carbon dioxide \times body weight.

Results

The results are shown in fig 1. A total of 331 patients with spontaneous symptomatic duodenal ulcer were prescribed quadruple therapy; 222 actually had a gastroscopy with positive direct urease test immediately before entry into treatment. Eighteen patients did not attend for the UBT, 13 in the LTCM2 group and five in the 4 \times 1 group. One of these was known to have stopped treatment at one day because of vomiting, but the others are not known to have defaulted from therapy and none sought further medical attention for dyspepsia. Altogether 313 attended for the UBT as requested and 299 had a negative test (95.5%).

In the patients who had a gastroscopy with positive urease test immediately before treatment, the UBT was negative in 95/101 (94.0%) who had taken lansoprazole for one week (4 \times 1 group) and in 116/121 (95.8%) who had taken lansoprazole for four weeks (LTCM2 group). Eight patients had taken other anti-\textit{H pylori} regimens before which had failed with positive UB Ts afterwards, three on two occasions. Three out of these eight again had a positive UBT after quadruple therapy.

Serious side effects were seen in five patients in the LTCM2 group: two had diarrhoea, two had vomiting which stopped treatment at one day in one patient, and one had oral thrush. Only one patient in the 4 \times 1 group had a serious side effect. She was on treatment with carbamazepine, ethosuximide, and lamotrigine for epilepsy and had a fit after completing the week’s antibiotic treatment. Subsequently her UBT was negative.

Antibiotic sensitivity was tested in 94 patients in 1992–3 and 1996 by E test, and in 72 patients in 1999 by E test,\textsuperscript{14} \textsuperscript{15} \textsuperscript{20} and agar gel dilution.\textsuperscript{16} Results of these two techniques were similar. Sensitivity was defined as a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) <8 mg/l (or <4 mg/dl for clarithromycin), but modal MIC values were <0.016 to 0.032 mg/l (table 1).

Discussion

This quadruple therapy gave an overall eradication rate of 95.5% (per protocol) in unselected patients with symptomatic spontaneous duodenal ulcers in routine clinical practice. There was no difference between UBT results in patients on lansoprazole given for one week or four weeks, though it is likely that the early healing rate will be higher where lansoprazole is given for a longer period.\textsuperscript{21} \textsuperscript{25} This may be preferred because of the theoretical attraction of quicker ulcer healing, and the fact that even
Table 2 Results of previous anti-H. pylori regimens in spontaneous duodenal ulcer disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Duration (weeks)</th>
<th>No treated</th>
<th>UBT negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bismuth</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>83 (82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>104 (93%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metronidazole</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>104 (93%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lansoprazole + amoxicillin, metronidazole</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>92 (88%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lansoprazole</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>104 (93%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarithromycin, tinidazole</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>104 (93%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lansoprazole + metronidazole</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>104 (93%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since all patients had spontaneous duodenal ulcer disease it may be inferred that they were infected with H. pylori before treatment. This is strongly supported by the identical results overall to the subgroup where a positive urease test was obtained immediately before therapy. Conventional wisdom is that absence of H. pylori obviates the risk of spontaneous duodenal ulcer in the future, so that a negative UBT is a useful surrogate for actual or eventual duodenal ulcer healing. The risk of reinfection is very low, around 1% annually, but these patients are not immune from iatrogenic ulceration.

The usefulness of laboratory sensitivity testing is problematical. It clearly showed that the local H. pylori population remained sensitive to antimicrobials in the short term. However, the effect of wide use of antibiotics in duodenal ulcer on other organisms is not yet known. It has been proposed that nitroimidazole resistance as measured by microaerophilic testing predicts outcome for less effective triple regimens. This does not seem to be the case with quadruple therapy. Though we did show an apparent 19% metronidazole resistance when H. pylori was cultured without a 24 hour anaerobic phase, this largely disappeared when this was incorporated. The relevance of partial metronidazole resistance is dubious in clinical practice. The lack of increase in antibiotic resistance in this series mirrors that seen elsewhere, though others still feel this to be a problem.

This survey of actual real life management of duodenal ulcers has been able to validate a new simple quadruple regimen which almost all adults can take easily. The success rate is equal to the best of the many other published series and it can be recommended for general use as standard first line treatment.
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