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Abstract
The diagnosis of pneumothorax is established from the patients’ history, physical examination and, where possible, by radiological investigations. Adult respiratory distress syndrome, pneumonia, and trauma are important predictors of pneumothorax, as are various practical procedures including mechanical ventilation, central line insertion, and surgical procedures in the thorax, head, and neck and abdomen. Examination should include an inspection of the ventilator observations and chest drainage systems as well as the patient’s cardiovascular and respiratory systems.

Radiological diagnosis is normally confined to plain frontal radiographs in the critically ill patient, although lateral images and computed tomography are also important. Situations are described where an abnormal lucency or an apparent lung edge may be confused with a pneumothorax. These may arise from outside the thoracic cavity or from lung abnormalities or abdominal viscera inside the chest.
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In critical illness the diagnosis of pneumothorax is often complicated by other disease processes and by difficulties in imaging sick and unconscious patients. This article discusses the pathophysiology of pneumothorax and then describes the clinical and radiological diagnosis. Some examples of difficulties surrounding the radiological diagnosis of pneumothorax are then presented. The subject is important because pneumothorax is common in ventilated critically ill patients and failures in diagnosis can cause life threatening complications.

Pathophysiology
The pleural space is the area between the parietal pleura on the inner surface of the chest wall and the visceral pleura on the outer surface of the lung. The space normally contains only a very small volume of fluid that allows the parietal and visceral pleura to move smoothly over each other. The chest wall and lung are both elastic in nature, with the lung tending to recoil inwards and the chest wall to spring outwards. These two opposing forces produce a negative (subatmospheric) pressure in the pleural space. The weight of the lung tends to make this pressure less negative in the dependent areas of the lung. If the pleural space is opened to the atmosphere then the subatmospheric pressure will suck air into the pleural space. The lung will then recoil away from the chest wall and a pneumothorax will be produced.1

Air can enter the pleural space in a variety of different ways that are summarised in box 1. In most situations the passage of air will be limited either by the closure of the causative defect or by the equilibration of pleural and atmospheric pressures. Unfortunately the pressure within the pneumothorax may increase above atmospheric pressure. This occurs if the opening to the pleura acts like a valve allowing air to enter, but not to leave. It also occurs when the patient is subjected to positive pressure ventilation. The high pressure within the chest may then produce severe haemodynamic effects and the pneumothorax will be described as a tension pneumothorax.

On the intensive care unit pneumothorax is commonly caused by barotrauma associated with the ventilation of patients with adult respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). It is therefore important to describe the mechanisms of barotrauma associated with ARDS.

ARDS is an inflammatory disease of the lung caused primarily by an abnormal immune response, commonly as a result of major trauma or infection.2 It results in pulmonary shunt, poorly compliant lungs, and pulmonary infiltrates. ARDS tends to cause more atelectasis and loss of lung volume in the posterior, gravity dependent areas of the lung.3 Mechanical ventilation is often essential in ARDS to maintain adequate oxygenation and remove the considerable work of breathing from the patient. Unfortunately ventilation may cause further damage, known as barotrauma. The initial process in barotrauma is the production of perivascular interstitial emphysema.4 When the pressure gradient between the alveoli and the interstitium exceeds a critical level alveoli rupture and air enters the interstitium. The

Box 1: Mechanisms of air entry causing pneumothorax
- Chest wall damage:
  - Trauma and surgery
  - Lung surface damage:
    - Trauma—for example, rib fractures
    - Iatrogenic—for example, attempted central line insertion
  - Rupture of lung cysts
- Alveolar air leak:
  - Barotrauma
  - Blast injury
- Via diaphragmatic foramina from peritoneal and retroperitoneal structures
- Via the head and neck
pressure at which this occurs is determined by the degree of lung damage. This damage may be produced by the underlying disease process, the inflammation associated with ARDS or the use of excessive tidal volumes during ventilation. Some clinicians believe that positive end expiratory pressure may have some protective effect in preventing this damage, while any effects of hyperoxia remain unknown.

Air escaping from ruptured alveoli then tracks proximally along the vascular sheaths and interlobular septa centrally to the hilum, resulting in a pneumomediastinum. Multiple areas of rupture must occur to produce clinically significant emphysema, however, once the process has occurred air will continue to move proximally into the mediastinum as long as the driving pressure gradient remains high. As well as this proximal movement, extra-alveolar air may also form subpleural air cysts. These are most common along the anterior, medial, and inferior surfaces of the lung. Although normally a few millimetres across, these cysts may reach several centimetres in diameter. Rupture of either pleural air cysts or the mediastinal pleura will then result in a pneumothorax.

The over-distension of the non-dependent areas of the lung, and the role of the mediastinal pleura explain why anterior medial and subpulmonary pneumothoraces are more common in ARDS. As well as causing a pneumothorax, air in the mediastinum may also extend along perivascular connective tissue into the neck, retroperitoneum, peritoneum, and subcutaneous tissues. Subcutaneous emphysema is not directly harmful, however its detection on clinical or radiological examination is important as it suggests the lung has been subject to significant barotrauma. Once a pneumothorax has occurred, the high pressures generated during mechanical ventilation may easily cause the pneumothorax to tension and produce haemodynamic effects. Even with these high pressures the stiff, non-compliant nature of the lung and the pleural inflammation associated with ARDS may stop the surrounding lung from collapsing. A tension pneumothorax may therefore exist without total lung collapse or mediastinal shift.

The proximal movement of air from ruptured alveoli towards the hilum is also an important mechanism in barotrauma caused by other disease processes, for example blast injury, positive pressure ventilation, and rapid deceleration associated with trauma.

Diagnosis of pneumothorax

The diagnosis of pneumothorax in critical illness is made from the history and examination of the patient and confirmed, where possible, by radiological investigation.

HISTORY

The factors that are important in the history relate to the underlying disease process and any potential for iatrogenic pneumothorax (box 2). Outside intensive care practice the majority of pneumothoraces are idiopathic or associated with chronic obstructive lung disease. Idiopathic pneumothoraces are associated with small areas of emphysema and cystic change, normally found at the apex of the lung. Any pneumonic process may also produce pneumothorax. The original descriptions of pneumothorax were commonly associated with tuberculosis. Pneumocystis is also frequently associated with pneumothorax as are more common bacterial pneumonias.

Pneumothorax is also common after trauma. In a retrospective review of blunt thoracic trauma, pneumothorax was present in almost 20% of patients. The majority of patients with thoracic trauma are multiply injured, hence examination of these patients is difficult and cases of pneumothorax or haemothorax may not be diagnosed on initial assessment. Although pneumothorax may be caused by fractured ribs, it may also be present with an intact rib cage. In this situation pneumothorax is most commonly caused by alveolar air leak occurring during deceleration at the time of injury. Pneumothorax may also rarely be caused by tracheobronchial or oesophageal injury.

Iatrogenic factors

The importance of barotrauma in relation to mechanical ventilation has already been described. Although any ventilated patient will be at risk, some factors in the history may point to an increased risk. These include the presence of ARDS, high peak airway pressures, and previous pneumothorax. Unfortunately, even when lung protection strategies are used to reduce airway pressures there is still a significant incidence of pneumothorax, this has lead some authors to question the importance of high pressures or lung volumes in the development of barotrauma.
may lie posteriorly behind the lung or within a lung fissure and can then be occluded by the heavy consolidated areas in the posterior portions of the lung. The presence of a chest drain does not therefore preclude the possibility of a recurrent pneumothorax on that side. In a description of this problem chest tubes misplaced in this way were found to be horizontal on frontal radiographs while correctly placed tubes ran laterally up the side of the chest wall. In patients with horizontal misplacement nine out of 20 pneumothoraces recurred, four under tension.

As well as malposition of a chest drain within the thoracic cavity it is also possible that drains, particularly those placed in suboptimal conditions, may not even enter the thoracic cavity. Figure 1 shows an example of this, the drain appears well placed on a frontal radiograph, but computed tomography shows it to have been placed in the subcutaneous tissues. As well as malposition of a chest drain within the thoracic cavity it is also possible that drains, particularly those placed in suboptimal conditions, may not even enter the thoracic cavity.

Examination

Several findings in the examination of the respiratory and cardiovascular systems may help establish the diagnosis of pneumothorax and tension pneumothorax (box 3). It is important to note that these signs are all non-specific. The changes in ventilator observations, for example, could also be found with an obstruction to the endotracheal tube. The chest signs associated with pneumothorax are particularly difficult to interpret, for example collapse and consolidation on one side of the chest will cause increased percussion note on the other side of of 1303 central line placements, pneumothorax occurred on five occasions. The incidence is sufficiently small after guidewire exchange of central lines for the routine ordering of chest radiography to have been questioned. Similarly, although pneumothorax is a recognised complication of percutaneous tracheostomy, its incidence is felt to be sufficiently small to question the value of a routine postprocedure chest radiography.

The passage of air from the mediastinum into the neck, retroperitonium, and peritonium can be reversed if appropriate pressure gradients exist. For this reason laparoscopic procedures, particularly involving the oesophagus, may be a cause of pneumothorax. Pneumothorax may also be caused by dental procedures and even colonoscopy. The pressure of gas used to distend the colon may cause gas to leak into the retroperitoneal space and hence into the mediastinum and pleural cavity. Similarly the use of compressed air in dental procedures may also result in pneumothorax. A recent history of any of these procedures as well as more obvious procedures in the thorax may therefore point to a diagnosis of pneumothorax.

Box 3: Examination findings associated with pneumothorax and tension pneumothorax

**Respiratory system**
- Ventilator observations: reduction in tidal volume during pressure controlled ventilation. Increase in airway pressure with volume controlled ventilation.
- Chest signs: increased percussion note. Decreased breath sounds. Tracheal deviation away from the side of the pneumothorax.
- General examination: hypoxia, surgical emphysema.
- Examination of drainage systems: reduction or cessation of air leak through the system, blockage of a drain.

**Cardiovascular system**
- Tachycardia and hypotension.
- Increased pulmonary diastolic pressure and central venous pressure.
- Pulsus paradox.
- Drop in cardiac output.

Figure 1 (A) The chest drain appears to be placed well into the chest on the frontal radiograph (arrows). A computed tomogram (B) shows that the drain is lying posterior to the chest wall having been tunnelled in the subcutaneous tissues.
the chest and this may then be misinterpreted as a pneumothorax. As previously stated even a tension pneumothorax may exist in ARDS without complete collapse of the ipsilateral lung and even without ARDS a haemothorax or pneumothorax may easily be missed. Careful inspection and repeated auscultation of the chest, particularly in both mid-axillary lines, is therefore important. In addition to examining the patient and ventilator any chest drains should also be examined together with their drainage systems. The use of drainage systems is outside the scope of this article but has been well reviewed elsewhere.36

The haemodynamic changes associated with a tension pneumothorax are also quite non-specific. The principle change in the pulmonary artery waveform is an elevation in the pulmonary artery diastolic pressure.37 The increase in thoracic pressure associated with the tension causes the alveolar pressure to rise above the pulmonary venous pressure. The pulmonary artery diastolic pressure is then determined by alveolar pressure, a situation similar to that described for West’s zone 2 of the lung.38 Pressure within the chest will continue to fluctuate with the respiratory cycle. Hence a pulsus paradoxus may also be observed on the arterial trace.

Although non-specific, the association of respiratory and haemodynamic signs found with a tension pneumothorax are a medical emergency. Severe haemodynamic compromise will require urgent needle decompression of the pneumothorax before its diagnosis being confirmed radiologically. Fortunately this situation is uncommon and there is frequently time for radiological investigations to help establish the diagnosis of a simple pneumothorax.

Radiological investigations
The erect posteroanterior expiratory chest radiograph normally recommended for the investigation of pneumothorax is not practical in critical illness. The supine anteroposterior and lateral chest radiographs are frequently all that is available on the intensive care unit. Much more accurate information may also be obtained from thoracic computed tomograms in those patients well enough to be transported.39

With a patient in the supine position, large amounts of free air can collect in the anterior...
part of the chest without the characteristic lung edge being visible (fig 2). The deep sulcus sign describes a costophrenic angle that extends more inferiorly than usual as a result of air lying in the costophrenic angle. If the patient does not have a bilateral pneumothorax it can be helpful to compare this with the normal side.

On a normal chest radiograph the area of the liver is relatively opaque as the exposure is set to maximally visualise the low density lungs. When air collects in the costophrenic angle anteriorly over the liver, the liver will appear more radiolucent than usual. On the left, air will outline the medial aspect of the hemidiaphragm under the heart.

A pneumothorax commonly causes a radiolucent hemithorax with absent lung markings, however a pneumothorax can be present in a hemithorax that appears more radiopaque when there is underlying lung consolidation and pleural fluid.

A pneumothorax commonly causes a radiolucent hemithorax with absent lung markings, however a pneumothorax can be present in a hemithorax that appears more radiopaque when there is underlying lung consolidation and pleural fluid (fig 3).

If there is doubt about the presence of a pneumothorax on a frontal supine radiograph, a film with the patient in a lateral decubitus position, with the affected side uppermost, can be helpful in demonstrating a lung edge.

Radiographs which may be confused with pneumothorax

There are a number of situations where abnormal lucency or an apparent lung edge may be caused by abnormalities other than a pneumothorax. Abnormalities outside the thoracic cavity, abdominal contents within the chest, or abnormalities of the lung may cause this itself.

SKIN FOLDS

When a portable chest radiograph is performed, the x ray cassette is positioned behind the patient and a fold of skin between the chest wall and the cassette can lead to a density on the radiograph, which can be mistaken for a pneumothorax. A pneumothorax gives rise to a thin pleural edge whereas a skin fold causes a broad opaque band, with lung markings still visible beyond the edge (fig 4). The fold may extend beyond the confines of the chest wall, which is conclusive proof of its nature. Tubes lying outside the patient can cause a line projected over the periphery of the lung that must not be mistaken for a lung edge.

VISCIERAL GAS WITHIN THE CHEST

Diaphragmatic hernia and diaphragmatic ruptures allow abdominal visceral contents into the chest. Air filled stomach and bowel entering the chest through these openings must therefore be distinguished from a pneumothorax. Normal bowel mucosal folds and an inability to define a normal contour to the diaphragm are clues (fig 5).

Dilated viscera and pneumoperitoneum may also displace an intact diaphragm high into the chest, and it is important to define the position of the diaphragm (fig 6).

EMPHYSEMATOUS BULLAE

The bullae of emphysema can be very large and when situated in the periphery of the lung can mimic a loculated pneumothorax. A chest drain inserted into a bullous in the mistaken belief that it is a pneumothorax is not uncommon. This is not surprising as emphysema is a known predisposing factor for a pneumothorax and patients with an exacerbation of their emphysema can present with a fairly sudden worsening of their breathlessness. The lack of a lung edge, the round nature of the bullous, and the presence of multiple bullae elsewhere in the lung are all clues to the diagnosis. In difficult
cases computed tomography can be helpful in distinguishing between the two. If in doubt about the diagnosis of a pneumothorax treat the patient and not the radiograph, and do not act on the appearances of a radiograph if it does not fit the clinical picture.


Questions

(1) Should a clinical diagnosis of pneumothorax always be confirmed radiologically?

No. Tension pneumothorax is a medical emergency and may require immediate needle decompression before radiological investigation.

(2) In what situations may a chest drain appear to be within the thorax on frontal radiograph when it is in fact malpositioned?

Such a drain may be in subcutaneous tissues, behind consolidated lung, in a lung fissure, or within the substance of the lung.

(3) What signs should be looked for on a frontal radiograph in a supine patient who is suspected of having a pneumothorax? What other radiological investigations may be used to confirm the diagnosis?

The “deep sulcus sign” describes a costophrenic angle that extends more inferiorly than usual as a result of air lying in the costophrenic angle that extends more inferiorly than usual due to air lying anteriorly in the pleural cavity. The combination of a deep sulcus sign and an abnormality arising from the lung itself may indicate a pneumothorax. If the sulcus is present, the absence of a lung slide may confirm a pneumothorax. Other radiological investigations that may be used to confirm the diagnosis include a chest CT scan.

(4) How may abnormalities that mimic a pneumothorax be classified?

They may be outside the chest, for example a skin fold, they may be due to abdominal viscera that are with in the chest, or they may be abnormalities arising from the lung itself.

(5) Has the use of lung protective strategies in ARDS been convincingly shown to reduce the incidence of barotrauma?

No. In a randomised controlled study of 120 patients[32] no benefit was demonstrated in such a strategy.