Does this elderly patient have iron deficiency anaemia, and what is the underlying cause?
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Abstract

Important implications for the recognition of iron deficiency anaemia include diagnosis and correction of underlying causes, most of which are identifiable, in the older patient, by means of conventional upper gastrointestinal endoscopy, and by colonoscopy. The aetiological search may, however, have to be widened to include enteroscopic examination of the jejunum and ileum and, in some instances, investigation of potential non-gastrointestinal foci of chronic blood loss. A substantial minority may defy even the most thorough search for the underlying cause.
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Fundamental to the correct management of iron deficiency is accurate validation of the diagnosis, followed by identification of the underlying cause, hence the requirement for tests of iron status to combine high degrees of sensitivity with comparable degrees of specificity, because “further identification of the cause of depletion or iron stores is bound to result in tedious clinical and laboratory investigation”.

Definition

Iron deficiency is the outcome of a long period of negative iron balance, culminating in exhaustion of the body's iron stores. However, it is only when the haemoglobin concentration falls below the lower limit of the normal range (that is <120 g/l and <130 g/l in elderly females and males, respectively), that the patient can be fully designated as having progressed to the status of iron deficiency anaemia.

Prevalence in the elderly

Iron deficiency has a documented prevalence of 4%–7% among North American men and women aged >70, the corresponding prevalence of anaemia resulting from this haematologic deficiency being 2% in this age group, using haemoglobin cut off concentrations of 118 g/l and 124 g/l for female and males respectively. In a community survey of men and women aged >70, iron deficiency anaemia outranked the anaemia of chronic disorders in prevalence, but the reverse was shown to be the case in patients aged >65, encountered in hospital practice.

Validation of iron deficiency

Although absence of stainable bone marrow iron is regarded as the definitive marker of iron deficiency, this may not be an infallible criterion, given the disparity in stainable iron between needle biopsy sections and simultaneously aspirated smears, hence the recommendation, not only to aspire generous amounts of stroma, but also to confirm the findings, where possible, by marrow biopsy.

Validation by hypoferritinaemia is the alternative strategy, the cut off concentration recommended by the World Health Organisation committee of experts being 12 µg/l, coinciding with the concentration proposed by Lipschitz et al, on the basis of their analysis of 250 hospitalised patients with anaemia and/or disorders of iron metabolism. Due to the capacity for serum ferritin also to behave as an acute phase protein, the coexistence of iron deficiency and various inflammatory disorders (including chronic renal disease and liver disease, respectively) may be associated with normal concentrations of serum ferritin, necessitating the use of other diagnostic parameters (apart from bone marrow aspiration and/or biopsy) for validation of this haematologic deficiency. One such parameter is the likelihood ratio derived from the true positive compared with the false positive rate for iron deficiency at a given level for serum ferritin, one outcome being a >95% probability of iron deficiency in elderly patients with serum ferritin 18 µg/l. A more recent development utilises evaluation of serum transferrin receptor (TfR) concentrations, which increase in direct proportion with amounts of TfR expressed on erythroid precursors, in order to enable the latter to compete more successfully for diminishing tissue concentrations of iron during the evolution of the iron deficiency state. When combined with logarithmic transformation of the serum ferritin level (log ferritin), in order to generate a parameter designated as TfR/log ferritin (TfR-F index), a reliable separation can be achieved between subjects characterised by coexistence of iron deficiency and anaemia of chronic disorders compared with those with the sole diagnosis of anaemia of chronic disorders. The strongest circumstantial evidence for iron deficiency anaemia is an increase in haemoglobin by >20 g/l after three weeks of iron replacement therapy. Documentation of clinical stigmata of iron deficiency is also highly suggestive, with due regard for the fact that koilonychia can also occur after exposure to caustic agents, while atrophic glossitis and angular stomatitis may occur.
also feature in megaloblastic deficiency and riboflavin deficiency, respectively.1

Haematological manifestations
Although iron deficiency is typically characterised by mean corpuscular volume (MCV) and mean corpuscular haemoglobin (MCH) concentrations of <80 fl and <26 pg, respectively,13 it is a matter of controversy which one of the two parameters has greater predictive value.1

Comparable changes in MCV and MCH occur in anaemia associated with sepsis, chronic inflammation, malignancy, chronic renal disease,10 14 15 and even in liver cirrhosis,16 and also in thalassaemia and sideroblastic anaemia, respectively (box 1).7 17 Thrombocytosis is another haematological manifestation of iron deficiency, documented even in the absence of acute bleeding,18 and the fact that it may also occur in iron replete subjects with anaemia of chronic disorders19 adds to the diagnostic confusion between the two disorders. In the latter context, the coexistence of thrombocytosis and impairment of haemoglobinisation of red blood cells is attributable to the role of cytokines in thrombopoiesis, and in mobilisation of iron from reticuloendothelial macrophages.20

Underlying causes of iron deficiency
The under investigation of iron deficiency anaemia is exemplified by a study showing that, in one health district, gastrointestinal investigations for the underlying cause of this haematological deficiency were performed in only 63% of iron deficient patients aged >50,21 in another analysis, comprising 721 iron-deficient subjects aged >50, compiled from seven studies, upper gastrointestinal lesions were documented twice as frequently as those from the lower gastrointestinal tract.33 However, in view of higher prevalence of malignancy in lower as opposed to upper gastrointestinal tract lesions, iron deficient patients aged >50, including those with benign upper gastrointestinal lesions, should almost always be offered colonoscopy because it is in this age group that malignant colorectal pathology may coexist with benign lesions of the upper gastrointestinal tract.35 When this strategy was put to the test in a prospective study of 89 patients of mean age 65, 26 patients were found to have lesions in both the upper and lower gastrointestinal tract. So thorough were the investigators that as many as 14 of their subjects were subjected to small bowel barium enema studies as well.35 The principle that identification of small bowel pathology should be part of the overall strategy for investigation of iron deficiency anaemia is one which is being increasingly acknowledged, enteroscopy,36 small bowel enema (enteroclysis),37 and computer tomographic enterolysis,38 being just three of the expanding list of modalities aiming to ensure that the mistake of overlooking the existence of tumours of the small bowel (fig 1) should occur

Box 1: Differential diagnosis of microcytic hypochromic anaemia
- Iron deficiency anaemia
- Anaemia of chronic disorders
- Thalassaemia
- Sideroblastic anaemia

disclosed by systematic analysis of symptoms and signs17 has to be tempered with the recognition that, even in the absence of symptoms, as many as 44% of adults with iron deficient anaemia will harbour gastrointestinal lesions capable of causing chronic blood loss.22 When symptoms are present, the positive predictive value for upper gastrointestinal tract lesions (range 18%–86%, median 60%), can be almost twice as high as it is for lower gastrointestinal tract pathology (range 28%–52%, median 35%).23–28 This might be a reflection of the fact that an analysis of 1207 patients compiled from 10 studies, each enrolling >70 patients for the purpose of identifying underlying causes of iron deficiency anaemia and/or occult gastrointestinal bleeding, yielded a ratio of 491 v 283 for patients with upper v lower gastrointestinal tract lesions, both sites being simultaneously involved in approximately 104 instances.23–28 In another analysis, comprising 721 iron-deficient subjects aged >50, compiled from seven studies, upper gastrointestinal lesions were documented twice as frequently as those from the lower gastrointestinal tract.33 However, in view of higher prevalence of malignancy in lower as opposed to upper gastrointestinal tract lesions, iron deficient patients aged >50, including those with benign upper gastrointestinal lesions, should almost always be offered colonoscopy because it is in this age group that malignant colorectal pathology may coexist with benign lesions of the upper gastrointestinal tract.35 When this strategy was put to the test in a prospective study of 89 patients of mean age 65, 26 patients were found to have lesions in both the upper and lower gastrointestinal tract. So thorough were the investigators that as many as 14 of their subjects were subjected to small bowel barium enema studies as well.35 The principle that identification of small bowel pathology should be part of the overall strategy for investigation of iron deficiency anaemia is one which is being increasingly acknowledged, enteroscopy,36 small bowel enema (enteroclysis),37 and computer tomographic enterolysis,38 being just three of the expanding list of modalities aiming to ensure that the mistake of overlooking the existence of tumours of the small bowel (fig 1) should occur

Figure 1  Histological representation of spindle shaped cells (arrowed) of a small bowel malignant stromal tumour (haematoxylin and eosin × 200) diagnosed only at necropsy, in an 88 year old woman with a three year history of iron deficiency anaemia, requiring frequent blood transfusions when iron replacement therapy proved inadequate for maintaining normal haemoglobin concentrations.

Figure 2 Ultrasonographic representation of a bladder tumour (arrowed) in a 73 year old man presenting with iron deficiency anaemia and haematuria.
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Questions

(1) Is the diagnosis of iron deficiency anaemia tenable in the presence of a normal serum ferritin concentration?
(2) What is the physiological basis for evaluation of serum transferrin receptor levels in iron deficiency, and how can this parameter augment the usefulness of the serum ferritin in patients with microcytic hypochromic anaemia?
(3) How reliable are gastrointestinal symptoms in predicting the site of gastrointestinal blood loss?
(4) How reliable are faecal occult blood tests in distinguishing between upper and lower gastrointestinal bleeding?
(5) What is the rationale for colonoscopy in the majority of iron deficient patients aged >50, in spite of identification of non-malignant causes of upper gastrointestinal bleeding?
(6) What are the three most striking features of coeliac disease that you have discovered from this presentation?

Answers

(1) Yes. When iron deficiency coexists with the anaemia of chronic disorders, the behaviour of serum ferritin as an acute phase reactant counteracts the expected fall in serum ferritin, leading to “false normalisation” of this parameter.
(2) During evolution of the iron deficient state, circulating levels of transferrin receptor (TfR), and amounts of this substance expressed on the surface of erythroid precursors, undergo an increase, in response to diminishing tissue levels of iron. The ratio of TfR/log ferritin appears to provide a clear separation between coexisting iron deficiency and anaemia of chronic disorders compared with anaemia of chronic disorders as the sole diagnosis.
(3) Symptomatology has poor site specificity.
(4) Faecal occult blood tests also have poor site specificity.
(5) Although upper gastrointestinal tract lesions are the ones most commonly encountered in iron deficiency, and are also more likely to be benign than malignant, colonic lesions, though less frequent, are more likely to be malignant, and may coexist with benign upper gastrointestinal tract lesions.
(6) Iron deficiency anaemia is the commonest extraintestinal manifestation of coeliac disease; patients aged >60 comprise up to 19% of the adult coeliac population; positive tests for faecal occult blood may occur in up to 47% of adults with coeliac disease.

with diminishing frequency. An index of suspicion also needs to be maintained for blood loss originating from non-gastrointestinal sites such as the urinary tract (fig 2), and uterus.

One of the most important developments of recent times is the recognition of the extent of the aetio-pathogenetic role of coeliac disease in iron deficiency anaemia, including documentation of the fact that, with a prevalence of 39%, this haematologic deficiency is the single most frequent extraintestinal manifestation of this disorder, and that patients aged >60 comprise up to 19% of the adult coeliac population.

Dietary deficiency, when specifically sought, may be the sole cause of iron deficiency anaemia in as few as 5% of elderly patients subsisting on a Western diet. The recognition is equally important that hypochlorhydria (typically associated with autoimmune gastritis) may impair the bioavailability of dietary iron, with consequent iron deficiency anaemia, as in 22 patients reported with histamine fast achlohydria and parietal cell antibodies, coexisting vitamin B12 malabsorption being a feature in a subgroup of seven. It is also just as important to be aware of the fact that, when the two haematologic deficiencies coexist, the microcytic hypochromic haematological profile may totally disguise the presence of vitamin B12 deficiency, the only occasional clue being intercurrent thrombocytopenia. Thrombocytopenia itself, however, may be a rare manifestation of iron deficiency anaemia. Diverticular disease of the colon is not a recognised cause of iron deficiency, and it has the potential to be diagnostically misleading when, on barium enema, it gives the impression of being the sole diagnosis, coexisting colonic carcinoma being detectable only by subsequent colonoscopy. Even after intensive investigation, as many as 40% of patients aged >50 may have no identifiable underlying cause of iron deficiency. Follow up averaging 39 months in 69 such patients did not disclose any malignant lesions on follow up colonoscopy, and the anaemia itself resolved in 71%. One patient, subsequently found to have duodenal angiodysplasia, had iron deficiency sufficiently severe to require intermittent blood transfusion, and most of the rest appeared to have coexisting anaemia of chronic disorders.

The final issue is that of sensitivity and site specificity of tests for faecal occult blood in suspected chronic gastrointestinal blood loss. Sensitivities are down to a mere 50%–55%, with positive predictive values of 17%–43%, and 16%–26%, for upper and lower gastrointestinal lesions, respectively. Positive tests discriminate poorly between upper and lower gastrointestinal lesions, as shown by two studies comprising 119 and 134 patients, respectively, 17%–59.6% of whom harboured upper gastrointestinal tract lesions, colorectal pathology being a feature in 16%–45%. Five to 10 per cent had lesions in both sites. Even when colonoscopic investigation is entirely negative, as many as 37% of faecal occult blood positive patients prove to have upper gastrointestinal sources of chronic blood loss. In the final analysis, success is very limited in the prediction of site of chronic gastrointestinal blood loss through the use of standardised questionnaires and/or faecal occult blood tests, as shown by the prospective study of 80 iron deficient patients of mean age 70 (range 37–91), in whom significant lesions occurred at either the upper or the lower gastrointestinal tract, regardless of the presence or absence of symptoms, or faecal occult blood status. Even in coeliac disease, up to 47% of adults may have positive faecal occult blood tests.
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