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Summary
Two cases are described in which lack of awareness of the potentiation of bezafibrate on warfarin was of clinical importance.
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Short- and long-term anticoagulant medication is widely and increasingly prescribed in a growing elderly population for the prevention and treatment of venous and arterial thromboembolism. Many of these patients have atherosclerosis and are also on lipid-lowering treatments. Increasing age and multiple medications are predisposing factors to adverse drug reactions. In addition, the list of drugs which may interact with warfarin continues to grow, with recent additions including the 4-quinolone antibiotic ciprofloxacin, the selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitor group of antidepressants, and omeprazole.

Case reports

Case 1
A 65-year-old man presented with a four-day history of increasing weakness in the left arm and leg which had markedly worsened on the day of admission. He had a history of childhood rheumatic fever, myocardial infarctions at the age of 53 and 58 years, and had undergone St Jude mitral valve replacement and coronary artery bypass grafting at the age of 64 years. He had subsequently received satisfactory therapeutic long-term anticoagulation with warfarin 4-5 mg daily. He was also receiving lipid-lowering therapy with bezafibrate 400 mg daily, frusenide 40 mg, and amiloride hydrochloride 5 mg daily.

Clinical examination confirmed the presence of left visual inattention, left upper motor neuron seventh nerve weakness and grade 4 power in the left arm and leg with preserved sensation. Computed tomography (CT) scan of brain demonstrated an area of reduced attenuation in the right frontal parietal region in keeping with infarction. His international normalised ratio (INR) was sub-therapeutic at 1.5 (reference range 2.0-3.5), blood urea was 8.2 mmol/l (reference range 3.3-8.8) and serum creatinine 95 μmol/l (reference range 40-110). He was commenced on intravenous heparin 30 000 IU over 24 hours and his warfarin was continued. His INR failed to rise to therapeutic levels on 6 mg rising to 7 mg warfarin daily. Review of his medication revealed a low dose of bezafibrate of 200 mg daily and institution of his previous dose of 400 mg daily resulted in a therapeutic INR of 2.5 within 48 hours and withdrawal of his heparin. His limb weakness and visual inattention recovered, and he returned home walking independently. The patient then volunteered that during the week prior to admission he had not taken his normal dose of bezafibrate. He was unaware of the interaction between bezafibrate and warfarin. It is probable that the inadvertent reduction of bezafibrate had contributed to sub-therapeutic anticoagulation and the development of an embolic cerebrovascular event from a cardiac source.

Case 2
A 75-year-old woman was admitted after a fall resulting in a fracture of her right surgical neck of humerus and right neck of femur. She had a previous history of hypertension, heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, chronic obstructive airways disease and had been on treatment with warfarin from the age of 60 years following occlusion of a femoral–popliteal bypass. She had been commenced on lipid-lowering therapy with bezafibrate 400 mg daily in addition to a low-fat diet at the age of 69 years. Additional medication on admission included clonidine 100 μg daily, amiloride 5 mg daily, frusenide 40 mg daily, salbutamol and ipratropium bromide inhalers, and her normal therapeutic dose of warfarin 1 mg daily.

Initial investigations included a haemoglobin of 9.0 g/dl, urea of 9.7 mmol/l, creatinine of 114 μmol/l and INR of 5.29. Her INR fell to a sub-therapeutic value of 1.2 five days following withdrawal of her oral warfarin. Following delayed surgery her warfarin was re-com-
menced with a loading dose of 9 mg and 6 mg on successive days with her INR remaining elevated at >4 for the following four days. Review of her medication revealed that an incorrect dose of bezafibrate 400 mg twice daily had been prescribed from admission. Following reduction to bezafibrate 400 mg daily, therapeutic anticoagulation with warfarin 1 mg daily was achieved. She made a satisfactory recovery, returning home independently mobile with a stick.

It is likely that the slow fall in her INR following admission was due to potentiation by the high dose of bezafibrate and the subsequent high INR recorded after warfarin was re-commenced with standard loading doses was similarly due to the high dose of bezafibrate.

**Discussion**

These cases highlight the clinical importance of the interaction between warfarin and bezafibrate and the lack of awareness by both patients and medical staff of the interaction. Increased awareness of this possibly life-threatening interaction is important as the co-prescription of warfarin and bezafibrate medication is increasingly common in the setting of active treatment of thromboembolic disease together with lipid-lowering agents in atherosclerosis.

The potentiation of anticoagulants by lipid-lowering agents has been described with clofibrate with androsterone, bezafibrate and lovastatin. The exact mode of interaction between bezafibrate and warfarin is unclear. Bezafibrate is 95% protein-bound but rather than displacing warfarin from its binding site to albumin it may interact by increasing the affinity of the receptor sites for coumarins. As the fibrates increase the effect of oral anticoagulants, it is appropriate to reduce anticoagulant doses by a third to a half.

These two cases highlight the clinical relevance of this interaction. Increased awareness is particularly important with the reduction in centralised hospital monitoring of anticoagulant therapy and the increase in general practitioner supervision of warfarin control. These cases were reported to the Committee on Safety of Medicines.
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