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Originally described in 1900, the Klippel–Trenaunay triad is a congenital angiomatous disorder (box 1).1–3 Formes frustes may, however, occur, with absence of cutaneous naevus in 4% to 68% of cases.4,5 The eponymous transformation to the Klippel–Trenaunay–Weber syndrome is reserved for patients with co-existing arterio-venous fistulae in the hypertrophic limb.6 Occasionally, there may be similarities and an overlap with the Proteus syndrome, the latter being characterised by limb hypertrophy, and sometimes also by epidermal naevi.6

Aetiology

The presumed pathogenetic pathway is that of mesodermal development derangement, leading to the maintenance of microscopic arteriovenous communications in the limb bud, with consequent development of the triad of naevus, superficial varices, and limb hypertrophy.7 A genetic basis is suggested by the occurrence of two other cases in the families of two of the 86 Klippel–Trenaunay syndrome patients participating in an extensive search for a genetic pattern in this syndrome.8

Age range and prevalence

The resurgence of interest in this syndrome, exemplified by its categorisation, since 1991, as a separate entity in Index Medicus, has led to its recognition even in utero, thanks to the documentation, by antenatal ultrasonography, of stigmata such as asymmetric limb growth,9 19 weeks gestation being the earliest documented diagnosis.10 The rarity of this condition is reflected by the existence of only 94 subjects in the Dutch Klippel–Trenaunay syndrome register.8 The oldest patient in the series reported by Aelvoet et al was 73 years old; the case reported here extends the age range to 75.

Complications

Local and systemic complications are summarised in box 2.10 Coexisting ipsilateral angiomatosis may be exemplified by neurovascular anomalies such as cerebral arteriovenous fistulae,11 and spinal cord arteriovenous malformations,12 with corresponding symptomatology. Intrathoracic manifestations include pulmonary vein varicosities,13 lymphangiectatic sclerosis giving rise to pleural and pericardial effusions,14 and an increased liability to pulmonary embolism.4 Abdominal viscera affected by ipsilateral angiomatosis include the colon,13 and the urinary tract,15 with consequent rectal bleeding and haematuria, respectively, and, as in the case presented here, the possibility of renovascular hypertension (box 3).10

Case report

A 75-year-old woman presented with a blood pressure of 245/130 mmHg; at age 59 her blood pressure had been recorded as 170/110 mmHg. She bore Klippel–Trenaunay syndrome stigmata on her left upper limb without cutaneous naevus (figure 1). Varicosities were also noted on the trunk. An isotope renogram (figure 2) showed ipsilateral renal atrophy and ipsilateral superficial 'blush', suggesting superficial shunting of blood supply away from the affected kidney

Box 3
Klippel–Trenaunay syndrome: treatment

Local
- conservative measures may suffice
- surgery indicated for cosmetic reasons, for complications of venous insufficiency, and for bone overgrowth with non-healing ulcers

Complications involving internal organs
- surgery usually indicated

Systemic complications
- surgery indicated for consumptive coagulopathy and for high-output cardiac failure

Box 4

Figure 1 Hypertrophied left arm showing superficial varicosities

Figure 2 Isotope renogram showing atrophy of the left kidney and ipsilateral superficial ‘blush’

Treatment

Most patients do well without treatment, or with elastic compression only. Patients with severe chronic venous insufficiency, disturbing cosmetic appearance, and complications of haemangioma, may benefit from surgical treatment, including epiphysyal stapling, stripping or resection of superficial varicose veins, or resection of a large lateral vein. Amputation may be required for bone overgrowth with non-healing decubitus ulcers, or for recurrent bleeding from haemangiomas. Detailed pre-operative imaging significantly improves the outcome of surgery, and the risk/benefit profile of each patient needs careful evaluation, as in those presenting with visceral complications.

Conclusion

Klippel–Trenaunay syndrome should not be regarded as just another case of varicose veins.

I am indebted to Mr R Biggs, medical photographer, Tameside General Hospital, for the photographs of the patient, and to the X-ray department (Nuclear Medicine) for the isotope renogram.