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Introduction

An antiemetic action is claimed among other uses for acupuncture (ACP), and several antiemetic ACP points are described in textbooks. The most accessible of these is P6, so called because it is on the pericardial meridian. This is also known as Neiguan (Nei-kuan) or G-Jo point no. 10, and the simplest description of locating it is the width of two thumbs above the distal crease on the inner wrist, in line with the middle finger. Having seen acupressure at this point used as a preventative for morning sickness in China one of the authors (JWD) initiated a scientific study of the antiemetic action of stimulation of the P6 point. Concurrent with these studies, which started in 1984, others have carried out similar investigations. We here critically review both our own and other studies on this topic.

While some workers refer to anti-nausea effect, the majority include both nausea and vomiting. Objections to including nausea which is a subjective sensation, are minimized by simply noting its presence and not attempting to quantify it although methods of quantifying it have been described. Some attempt to quantify the severity of vomiting, based on the number of episodes, while others simply note its occurrence in a given time period. In the cancer chemotherapy field, while some quantify the severity of sickness, the majority of workers look at the reduction in sickness with different forms of therapy.

Methods of stimulation

Initially manual ACP at the P6 was used, but later studies have involved other methods of stimulation of Neiguan. These can be grouped as follows:

Invasive

Acupuncture with manual rotation of needle

Acupuncture with electrical stimulation of needle (electro ACP).

Non-invasive

Transcutaneous electrical stimulation (TCES) Acupressure – pressure over point.

Electroacupuncture involves a current applied to the needle from a battery-operated DC square wave stimulator, with a variable current output which is adjusted until the patient is aware of the stimulation. The same apparatus is used for TCES (via surface electrodes) and with both techniques the neutral lead is placed somewhere on the same limb – usually on the back of the hand or on the Hegu point.

Acupressure can be applied manually or by the use of an elasticized band with a large plastic stud which is easily positioned over the Neiguan point. Originally devised by Daniel C. Choy from New York and commonly known as ‘Choy Bands’ or ‘Choy strap’ these are now available commercially under the name of Sea Bands.

Clinical uses

P6 antiemesis has been used in the following fields:

1. Postoperative
2. Morning sickness
3. Cancer chemotherapy
4. Travel sickness.

The findings will be reviewed in the above order. It will become apparent that the only reliable scientific data were obtained in the first group. There have been few studies in sickness of early pregnancy and in travel sickness, while with cytotoxic drug therapy the condition of patients often restricted the use of factors such as randomization and control (no treatment) groups.

Many acupuncture text books and one Chinese paper do not distinguish between different causes of sickness and these are not referred to here.
Postoperative sickness

The most complete study in this field is by the authors and colleagues.3-7 This involves over 500 female patients having short (8–12 min) gynaecological operations (cervical dilatation and uterine curettage) under a standard methohexitone-nitrous oxide anaesthetic. Premedication was with 10 mg nalbuphine given intramuscularly. Except in one group, P6 was stimulated before administration of the opioid. No drugs were given which are known to affect the incidence of sickness. All four methods of stimulation of P6 were used in random order and a control (no treatment) group was included. In addition a small group had needling of a point near the right elbow which is outside the normal ACP meridians (dummy ACP). Initially all treatments were applied to the right forearm but later this was changed to the dominant side. Patients were visited at the end of the first and sixth postoperative hours, by a person who was unaware of the treatment given, when the occurrence of nausea alone (N) or vomiting (including retching) with or without nausea (V) was noted. All were told that the study was designed to reduce side effects and improve the efficacy of the premedication; nausea and vomiting were not mentioned at this stage, but a full description of the study objectives was given at the time of the last postoperative visit. There was a minimum of 31 patients in each group.

Figure 1 summarizes the findings in all the Belfast studies. The incidence of sickness was reduced to a significant degree (P < 0.001) by both invasive and non-invasive stimulation of P6, but not by stimulation of the ‘dummy point’. The non-invasive approach was not as effective (P < 0.01) as the invasive, the difference being in the duration of action, both being equally effective in the first postoperative hour, but the benefit of both TCES and acupressure was less effective in the 1–6 hour period. With electrical stimulation of the ACP needle and TCES best results were obtained with 10–15 Hz applied for 5 min.

In a subsequent investigation using the same study model, it was found that infiltration of the acupuncture site with lignocaine abolished the antiemetic action of Neiguan stimulation compared with subjects in whom the injection was with saline.8

Contemporaneously with the first Belfast study Fry9 reported an antiemetic action from acupuncture applied in the immediate post-anaesthetic period and commented on its brevity of action. At the same time, in a small but well designed study in patients having eye surgery, Masuda and colleagues10 noted a reduction in postoperative sickness in those having acupuncture carried out at the time of induction of neurolept anaesthesia as compared with untreated controls.

Two studies in which acupuncture was given during an opioid-containing anaesthetic failed to demonstrate any antiemetic action.11,12 These suggest that there may be a strong psychological element in acupuncture antiemesis. However, Dundee and Ghalj3 have shown that the timing of ACP in relation to the administration of the emetic stimulus is important. Using the same nalbuphine-operation model they failed to demonstrate antiemetic activity when ACP was carried out immediately before induction or during anaesthesia as compared with a good effect when given before the opioid (Figure 1).

In a well controlled (female patients, standard operation) perspective study which involved 4 anaesthetic techniques Ho and colleagues14 demonstrated a significant antiemetic action from P6 acupuncture in patients whose anaesthesia included an opioid. The reduction in incidence was similar to that produced by 5 mg prochlorperazine intravenously. These workers did not include nausea in their study, but they did find ACP to be better than TCES.

The most recent study by Barsoum and colleagues15 investigated the benefit of acupressure (Sea Bands) at P6 point in relieving sickness following 162 major operations. Patients received a variety of premedicants and anaesthetics but postoperative analgesia was standardized (papaveretum). Adjuvant therapy, (acupressure, 'dummy' Sea Bands and prochlorperazine) was given in random order and one group received no treatment. Nausea scores (as assessed on a linear analogue scale) were
significantly lower in the first two postoperative days in those patients treated by acupressure when compared with the other groups. Vomiting was recorded in fewer patients amongst the acupressure groups than in the control or drug group, but the difference was not statistically significant.

Morning sickness

When acupressure at P6 was practised in an obstetric outpatient clinic in Beijing the mothers were told that 'it always works'. Supporting evidence for this could not be obtained, but the remedy has been used for 4000 years and is quoted in most acupuncture text books. Two recent inadequately documented Chinese studies claim benefit from needling a number of points including Neiguan in patients with morning sickness claiming 'fairly satisfactory results' without giving any details. A Russian paper is likewise lacking in data as is one from Czechoslovakia. The beneficial effect of self-administered acupressure has been studied in 350 consecutive women attending a Belfast antenatal clinic. A self-reporting system of study was adopted, patients being given either no treatment, told to press P6 for 5 minutes every 2 to 3 hours, or to press a dummy point at the right elbow. Although the incidence of returned records (70%) was less than hoped for, the findings in Table I show benefit from P6 acupressure \( P < 0.0005 \), with lesser benefit \( P < 0.01 \) from pressing the 'dummy' point. Since patients had to have the reason for pressing their elbow explained to them, the latter would appear to be a psychological effect. This study was far from ideal, and has been criticized for low incidence of returned records – a factor outside the control of the workers. However, it is not without merit and the authors have successfully used self-administered 2-hourly transcutaneous electrical stimulation of P6 in over 20 patients with marked success.

A more scientifically controlled clinical trial, limited only by the small numbers involved, has been reported by an American nurse-midwife. Commercially available wrist bands with a stud pressing on P6 were worn for 5 days followed by a similar band without a stud, the order being reversed in half the patients. Using a visual analogue scale a significant reduction in nausea was demonstrated \( P < 0.05 \) from acupressure with relief of symptoms in 12 of 16 subjects.

Oncology

The emetic effect of cisplatin and other potent cytotoxic drugs is well known, and, until the introduction of the 5HT3 antagonists, treatment of this was not very effective. In cancer treatment centres, the reported incidence of troublesome vomiting is in the region of 56% to 76%. In the latter survey 96% of patients who were sick with one course of treatment experienced the same on the second occasion. This was the setting for the reported Belfast studies of the antiemetic action of P6 acupuncture in patients receiving cancer chemotherapy. Those who had troublesome sickness with a previous course of therapy, despite the use of standard antiemetics, had ACP before starting the next course with continuation of their antiemetics. At that time these were metoclopramide, cyclizine and some phenothiazines (prochlorperazine, thiethylperazine, and chlorpromazine) with lorazepam and steroids as adjuvants.

Before discussing the findings, one must mention the limitations of the studies. Firstly, patients knew they were being referred for a 'new treatment for sickness' and even when ACP was not mentioned, patients talked among themselves and the subject had good media coverage. Secondly, ethical considerations limited the use of a 'dummy point' technique, to very few patients. Assessment of benefit was based on the opinion of the patient and attendants, all of whom knew the treatment that had been given. The four point scale for benefit attributed to ACP did not attempt to quantify the degree of nausea or vomiting. Both hospitalized and outpatients were studied; daily visits to the former gave more reliable data than that obtained from outpatients on their next visit to the clinic, which was normally 3-4 weeks after previous treatment, although these were often contacted by phone. The input by the nursing staff on the severity and frequency of sickness also made the inpatient data more reliable.

The initial studies were with manual or electrical ACP and these were followed by non-invasive approaches to stimulation of P6 including self-administration of TCES. The findings in all series are summarized in Figure 2 which is based on about 1,000 treatments in more than 200 patients. Best results were obtained with invasive ACP which benefited more than 90% of patients although the effect often only lasted for about 8 hours.
beneficial effects were marginally, but not significantly, less in patients having highly emetic compounds as compared with other forms of chemotherapy. In a small blind crossover study, only one out of 10 patients benefited from 'dummy ACP' as compared with 9 from P6 stimulation.28

Indirect electrical stimulation (TCES) had many practical advantages over an invasive approach with 85% patients benefiting from it. By the use of large diffuse low impedance (ECG) electrodes, it could easily be administered by patients themselves.30

While 2-hourly acupressure prolonged the beneficial effect of both ACP and TCES, better results were obtained when TCES was used every 2 hours. In this series acupressure alone was not very effective, but, in a smaller study, Stannard found that the wearing of Sea Bands reduced nausea.31

Italian workers32 have confirmed the Belfast findings with ACP. They found both increased complete protection from nausea and a decrease in the intensity and duration of vomiting.

It must be stressed that in all the chemotherapy studies, stimulation of P6 has proved a useful addition to standard antiemetics, rather than a treatment on its own.

Motion sickness

Only acupressure has been used in this field employing either the Choy strap or Sea Bands. The idea arose from the personal experience of Dr Choy in the Newport–Bermuda boat race in 1980. Pressure on the Nei-kuan point, although effective in relieving his nausea, left him with only one hand to sail his 40 foot sloop.33 Most of the supportive evidence is anecdotal including a strong recommendation in the press.34

There have been 2 scientific investigations into the efficacy of these acupressure bands.35,36 Both employed a motion challenge test and neither found Sea Bands to be effective.

Table II is an attempt to summarize all the above data.

Discussion

Acupuncture literature refers to other sites from which an antiemetic action is claimed. These include Hegu (at the base of the thumb), and Tsu-San-Li (S36) and Feng-Lung (S40), both of which are in the lower limbs. Ease of access and simplicity of technique has presumably led to all studies being limited to stimulation of the P6 point. Under certain circumstances, there is undoubt-
The role of antagonism in postoperative and cancer patients has been attributed to endogenous endorphins in acupuncture analgesia. 

Very little is known about the mode of action of acupuncture. The role of endogenous endorphins in acupuncture analgesia has been investigated with contradictory results. Some report antagonism of analgesia by naloxone while others found no antagonism. The consensus of opinion appears to favor the view that endogenous endorphins are released by the acupuncture point, but this is unlikely to apply to its antiemetic action.

In general it appears that a lower frequency of stimulation is required for an antiemetic as compared with an analgesic action, but even this view is not universally accepted. In a study of acupuncture as a prophylaxis for migraine headaches, Lenhard and Waite found a decrease in sickness without any analgesia. This suggests a different mechanism for the two actions of acupuncture. Harris has suggested that cytotoxic-therapy-induced vomiting is mediated via enkephalic pathways which would preclude an endorphin-mediated action for ACP antiemesis.

It is many years since Borison and Wang demonstrated the existence of a vomiting centre which receives stimuli from various sites including the chemoreceptor trigger zone (CTZ), the gastro-intestinal tract, and cerebral cortex. The CTZ is located on the floor of the 4th ventricle within the area postrema. It is possible that acupuncture has an antiemetic effect via this mechanism. This may explain the efficacy of a five drug regimen in preventing sickness induced by cisplatin combination therapy. The synergistic effect of P6 stimulation with standard antiemetics suggests that it may be acting on another, as yet unknown, neurotransmitter.


