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Introduction

Coronary ischaemic syndromes, including chest pain suggestive of angina pectoris, and documented myocardial infarction, in the presence of angiographically normal coronary arteries, have been the subject of much attention. In contrast to atheromatous coronary artery disease the pathophysiology of such conditions is poorly understood and the mechanisms underlying myocardial ischaemia in these circumstances have been the cause of a great deal of conjecture and controversy.

Myocardial infarction

A small percentage of patients presenting with acute myocardial infarction are found to have angiographically normal epicardial coronary arteries. The prevalence is high in certain subsets of myocardial infarction patients, such as the very young, especially those infarcting during or following severe physical exercise, and in young women during pregnancy or using oral contraceptives and smoking. Documented myocardial infarction in the presence of normal coronary arteries has been reported in thyrotoxicosis; both true and factitious, temporally related to cocaine use, after acute exposure to carbon monoxide, and in patients with viral myocarditis. This issue of the Journal contains a case report on a multisystem vasculitis leading to myocardial infarction without evidence of coronary artery involvement.

Amongst suggested mechanisms are defects in clotting factors and platelet activity, coronary artery spasm, thrombosis with rapid spontaneous lysis, coronary emboli and small vessel disease. In cases of chronic Chagas' heart disease, where there is parasympathetic ganglion destruction it is postulated that transitory sympathetic override causes myocardial infarction. In these cases the coronary arteries are not only free of atheroma but indeed are larger in comparison to hypertensive and normal patients. Another cause of an extreme imbalance between myocardial oxygen supply and demand might be abnormal haemoglobin oxygen dissociation, as in carbon monoxide poisoning.

Classic angina pectoris usually indicates myocardial ischaemia, secondary to obstructive coronary artery disease. It can arise when myocardial ischaemia is due not to reduction of coronary blood flow, but to other mechanisms such as increased cardiac work as in aortic stenosis; altered blood oxygen content in severe anaemia; rheological abnormalities of the blood in hypergammaglobinaemia or, as described in this issue of the Journal, due to thyrotoxicosis.

In Europe, approximately 10% of patients referred to cardiac units for assessment of chest pain are found on diagnostic cardiac catheterization to have no angiographic evidence of significant coronary artery disease. It is important to appreciate that coronary angiograms only visualize coronary arteries of greater than 400 μm diameter. The majority will have a non-cardiac cause for their symptoms and in many cases careful reassessment and investigation will reveal a musculoskeletal, oesophageal or psychological cause. It may be difficult to determine whether anxiety-related symptoms are a cause or consequence of the current chest pain. In a small minority of patients there will still be a clinical suspicion that symptoms are due to myocardial ischaemia.

Coronary vasospasm

In 1959 Prinzmetal et al. introduced the concept of epicardial artery spasm causing the specific clinical syndrome, 'variant angina', which is characterized by angina at rest with elevated ST segments on electrocardiogram (ECG). Now it is thought that this mechanism may play a role in other aspects of ischaemic heart disease. Spasm can be detected in angiographically normal segments, or may be superimposed on an atherosclerotic lesion – 'dynamic coronary stenosis'. Unfortunately the literature has become confused because the term coronary spasm is often applied to any situation of
increased coronary vasomotor tone. It should be confined to a situation of focal coronary constriction sufficient to cause transient coronary occlusion, which gives rise to attacks of ischaemic pain at rest.

Although various neural\textsuperscript{19} and humoral\textsuperscript{20,21} mechanisms have been proposed, the genesis of coronary spasm is as yet undefined. Maseri and his co-workers postulate a focal hyperreactivity to a variety of coronary vasoconstrictive stimuli acting on different receptors.\textsuperscript{22} It has been observed that in variant angina, coronary spasm usually occurs at the site of atheromatous plaques,\textsuperscript{23} but the fact that such plaques are common and classical variant angina rare, suggests that some additional factor other than the presence of plaque is required. In a large study of patients with chronic coronary ischaemic syndromes other than variant angina, Bertrand \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{24} showed that when stenosis existed there was no correlation between the degree of underlying stenosis and the occurrence of spasm. Bertrand could demonstrate ergonovine-induced angina in only 4\% of those with chronic stable angina and 6\% with old myocardial infarction. In contrast 20\% of patients with recent infarction and 30\% of those experiencing angina at rest developed focal coronary occlusion in response to ergonovine. It may be that in these acute situations there is a focal hyperreactivity to coronary vasoconstrictor agents.

Recent studies have shown that vasoactive compounds affect segments of the human coronary circulation differentially.\textsuperscript{22} This has implications in the pathophysiology of both focal coronary artery spasm and of ‘Syndrome X’, which has been suggested to be due to a more diffuse disturbance of coronary blood flow. Intracoronary injection of organic nitrates causes marked dilatation of epicardial vessels, suggesting a resting coronary motor tone. In contrast these doses have little effect on total coronary blood flow, implying little effect on intramural resistance vessels. Adenosine displays the opposite pattern, with a marked distal dilating effect but relatively minor actions on the epicardial vessels.

Studies of the effects of intracoronary infusion of various endogenous vasoactive substances, including calcitonin gene related peptide,\textsuperscript{25} neuropeptide \textit{Y},\textsuperscript{26} acetylcholine\textsuperscript{27} and substance \textit{P},\textsuperscript{28} point to a heterogeneity of responses within the human coronary circulation, and may allow some pharmacological intervention of coronary blood flow regulation. It is difficult to validate their relevance to the pathophysiology of conditions under consideration here.

The clinical course of patients with documented coronary artery spasm is variable. Some have a chronic course characterized by recurrent angina at rest, others develop spontaneous remission of symptoms. Many patients have a poor response to long acting nitrate therapy, and a good response to calcium antagonists. The incidence of sudden death in patients with documented coronary artery spasm is unknown. Since episodes of complete heart block and ventricular tachycardia are known to occur during coronary artery spasm, it may be that some patients have died as a result of these rhythm disturbances. Overall the prognosis of a patient with severe coronary atherosclerosis and coronary artery spasm depends upon the degree of atherosclerosis.\textsuperscript{29,30}

Syndrome X

The term Syndrome X was first used by Kemp\textsuperscript{31} in 1973 in an editorial discussion of a paper by Arbogast and Bourassa,\textsuperscript{32} who performed pacing studies in patients with chest pain and normal coronary arteries and showed ST depression during atrial pacing. No mention was made of exercise-induced ST segment changes in these patients. The authors designated their patient cohort as group X. The phrase was later popularized by Opherk \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{33} The term has been widely used, but with a lack of agreed hard diagnostic criteria. This has resulted in a confusing picture as each study has used it own terms of reference, making comparisons very difficult.

Less than 1\% of those who present to a cardiac unit with possible angina, and in whom a non-cardiac cause has been excluded, will fulfil the diagnostic criteria of a tight, albeit empirical, definition of Syndrome X.\textsuperscript{34} (a) typical angina on effort; (b) positive exercise test; (c) no demonstrable coronary stenosis; (d) no other obvious cause, such as hypertension, valve disease or overt cardiomyopathy. Even applying these strict criteria will not give an infallible diagnosis, since, for instance exercise testing has its limitations.

An alternative approach to the diagnosis is to use a conceptual definition, i.e. inducible ischaemia in the absence of large coronary artery stenosis or haemodynamic overload. This approach introduces practical problems because of the difficulty in demonstrating ischaemia unequivocally.

Several studies have used the demonstration of anaerobic metabolism as evidence of ischaemia. This is a specific but not sensitive marker. In studies of patients with postulated Syndrome X, 12—100% demonstrated myocardial lactate production in response to pacing or dipyridamole infusion.\textsuperscript{34,35}

A fall in coronary sinus oxygen saturation in response to increased workload, as induced by pacing, provides an alternative indirect mark of ischaemia and indicates a level of energy consumption beyond the limits of autoregulation of flow. In normal subjects, coronary sinus oxygen saturation
falls transiently as pacing rate is increased but returns to normal with autoregulation within 20 seconds. Crake et al. demonstrated that in patients with atheromatous coronary artery disease coronary sinus oxygen falls with the onset of ischaemia, as measured by pacing-induced ST segment depression, and remains low, continuing to fall with further increases in pacing rate. Ten patients with Syndrome X were also studied, two of whom had responses almost identical to those of obstructive coronary artery disease. The others showed normal coronary sinus oxygen responses, raising the possibility that their pain might not reflect myocardial ischaemia.

A number of studies have attempted to evaluate left ventricular function in patients with Syndrome X. Arbogast and Bourassa’s original paper demonstrated no change in left ventricular function during stress induced by atrial pacing in patients. Levy et al. measuring pulmonary artery diastolic pressure, demonstrated that, in contrast to the haemodynamic changes that occur during myocardial ischaemia in coronary artery disease, chest pain and ST segment changes in patients with Syndrome X are not associated with impaired left ventricular function. In contrast, other studies show regional wall motion abnormalities, shortening of diastolic time and raised left ventricular end-diastolic pressures during stress by pacing and exercise testing.

There have been several studies demonstrating an impaired rise in coronary blood flow in response to atrial pacing in patients with Syndrome X. In Cannon’s studies patients developing their typical chest pain during atrial pacing demonstrated significantly lower great cardiac vein flow and higher calculated coronary resistance compared with those without pacing-induced chest pain. The chest pain group also demonstrated significantly higher lactate production and abnormalities of left ventricular systolic and diastolic function suggestive of myocardial ischaemia. These and other similar studies are consistent with a hypothesis that some patients with chest pain and angiographically normal epicardial arteries have dynamic abnormalities of the coronary microcirculation. This abnormal vasodilator capacity or vasoconstriction may result in angina pectoris and myocardial ischaemia. This has been termed microvascular angina.

If Syndrome X is due to small vessel disease, a pathogenesis is as yet unknown. No evidence of a structural abnormality of small arteries has ever been found, except in a small group of atypical patients who may have early cardiomyopathy. Conversely there is evidence that microvascular angina may give rise to cardiomyopathy.

Studies of forearm vasodilator reserve in normotensive patients with microvascular angina, which measured hyperaemic response to forearm ischaemia, demonstrated significantly reduced hyperaemia and higher vascular resistance in patients with microvascular angina than in the control group. It is postulated that patients with Syndrome X appear to have an impairment of vasodilator reserve that affects not only the coronary circulation but also their peripheral arterial bed.

Several studies have reported an excellent prognosis for patients with angiographically normal coronary arteries, regardless of the aetiology of the chest pain. The largest study of 1,977 consecutive patients with either normal coronary arteries or ‘insignificant coronary artery disease’ showed a 98% 10 year survival with a 2% risk of myocardial infarction at 10 years for patients with normal coronary arteries. Patients in both groups continued to have symptoms that resulted in frequent hospitalizations, medication use, and employment disability. Almost 50%, in any given year of follow-up, could not perform activities of high metabolic requirement. Although these patients are at low risk of death many remain functionally impaired for years.

In Kemp’s study the 6 year survival was no different from that of an asymptomatic age and sex matched cohort. However, in most long term series the clinical characteristics of the patients have not been adequately described. Groups with oesophageal, musculoskeletal and psychosomatic causes have not been excluded. Opherk et al. characterized a group of 40 Syndrome X patients with abnormal flow responses to dipyridamole; at a mean follow-up of 4 years, all patients were alive, and symptom status was unchanged in most. No patient had a spontaneous improvement or remission of symptoms. It must be noted that 15 of these 40 patients had conduction abnormalities on their ECG during exercise, of these 5 developed persistent bundle branch block, and 6 progressed towards a dilated cardiomyopathy. It is possible that the abnormality responsible for limited coronary flow reserve in this subgroup is different from that with normal ECGs. Many patients with conduction abnormalities on ECG, at rest or during exercise, do not enjoy the same benign prognosis with respect to ventricular function.

Epidemiological studies of patients with chest pain and normal coronary arteries are reassuring with regard to mortality, but do indicate considerable long term morbidity and medical/social and economic consequences. An alternative aetiology should be sought for the chest pain by history, examination and appropriate investigation. With firm diagnosis of a non-cardiac cause these patients can be effectively managed.

Treatment of the small group of patients remaining with a diagnosis of microvascular angina is difficult. Glycerol trinitrate is helpful for short term relief of pain in most cases. Many, but not all,
respond to calcium channel blockers. Beta-blockers can sometimes be of benefit, possibly by reducing myocardial oxygen demand. Intravenous aminophylline has recently been demonstrated to increase effort tolerance, improve symptoms and abolish ischaemic ST segments during exercise in patients with Syndrome X. It is suggested that a transmural steal phenomenon occurs whereby there is a maldistribution of coronary flow due to an abnormally elevated resistance upstream of the small vessels. It may be that aminophylline blockade of adenosine receptors blunts excessive arteriolar dilatation thus preventing this maldistribution. It remains to be seen whether oral aminophylline preparations can confer the same benefit. Since the condition of Syndrome X has been so poorly defined it is not surprising that there have been no adequate clinical trials of treatment for the condition.
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