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Introduction

Pseudo-obstruction is an abnormality of intestinal motility severe enough to produce the clinical features of intestinal obstruction.

Normal intestinal motility depends upon the modulation by nerves and hormones of the intrinsic rhythmic contractility of the smooth muscle syncytium. Contraction occurs when hyperpolarising action potentials (spikes or electrical response activity, ERA) coincide with the peaks of a continuously fluctuating potential difference across the cell membrane (slow wave or electrical control activity, ECA) (Brading, 1979). Spike potentials are triggered by neurotransmitters but because of the fixed rate of the slow wave, the rhythmic contractile response also has a fixed maximum rate (3 c.p.m. in stomach, 12 c.p.m. in duodenum and 8 c.p.m. in ileum of man; Duthie, 1979). The contraction of the intestinal muscle coats is integrated by the myenteric plexus whose neurones lack specialized neuro-effector junctions but have varicosities containing vesicles which release a wide variety of neurotransmitters including acetylcholine, noradrenaline, 5HT, peptides and purines (Burnstock, 1982). Nicotinic cholinergic fibres mediate the rapid inhibitory descending reflex and purinergic fibres mediate the slower excitatory descending pathway (Hirst, 1979). The myenteric plexus also propagates the inter-digestive myoelectric complex (IMC) (Sarna et al., 1981) which, in fasting man, sweeps aborally the length of the small intestine at intervals of 15 to 195 min, clearing the intestinal lumen. An abnormal bacterial population supervenes when IMC are abolished or absent. IMCs are stimulated by vagal activity (Wingate, 1982), motilin (Itoh et al., 1981) and suppressed by the ingestion of meals (Heppell et al., 1983).

After meals, mixing and an aborad movement of the contents is produced by segmenting contractions of the small bowel. Gastric emptying and jejunal motility are inhibited by the presence of nutrients in the distal small bowel (Spiller et al., 1984).

Abnormalities of gut motility may be produced by disorders which affect the gut nerves, muscle layers, both of these or abnormal gut collagen and interstitium (See Table I).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I</th>
<th>Aetiological classification of intestinal pseudo-obstruction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gut nerve dysfunction</strong></td>
<td>Toxic – drugs, heavy metals, insecticides.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metabolic – amyloidosis, diabetes mellitus, porphyria, paraneoplastic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inflammatory – Chagas’ disease, varicella, Kawasaki disease,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Genetic – congenital and familial visceral neuropathy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gut muscle dysfunction</strong></td>
<td>Familial visceral myopathy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dystrophia myotonica.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polymyositis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disorders affecting gut muscle and nerve function</strong></td>
<td>Myxoeemia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phaeochromocytoma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypoparathyroidism.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pregnancy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enteroglucagonoma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trauma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jejun-ileal bypass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disorders of gut collagen and interstitium</strong></td>
<td>Scleroderma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Radiation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strongyloidosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ehlers-Danlos syndrome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mesenteric panniculitis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ceroidosis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Disorders of gut nerves

Drugs which inhibit intestinal motility are the most common cause of acute pseudo-obstruction, particularly atropine-like drugs (Faulk et al., 1978), phenothiazines (Sriram et al., 1979), clonidine (Bear & Steer, 1976), tricyclic antidepressants and vincristine (Rosenberg & Caridi, 1983). A wide variety of diseases may damage the myenteric plexus but the morphological changes have to be extensive before symptoms are produced (Smith, 1982). Congenital abnormalities of the myenteric plexus are usually evident soon after birth because of vomiting or obstruction and are sometimes associated with pyloric hypertrophy and malrotation of the gut (Tanner et al., 1976), patent ductus arteriosus (Harris et al., 1976) or urogenital tract plexus degeneration (megacystis-microcolon-intestinal hypoperistalsis) (Jountz, 1981), which is probably due to cholinergic neurone loss.

Inherited generalized disease of the autonomic nervous system (familial dysautonomia, Riley-Day syndrome) and congenital ganglioneuromatosis (Carney et al., 1976) lead to small bowel pseudo-obstruction, pyloric hypertrophy and megacolon probably because both adrenergic and cholinergic nerves are involved.

Familial myenteric plexus degeneration (Schuffler et al., 1978) is associated with generalized evidence of autonomic dysfunction, ataxic gait and hypotonia. The pupils are hyper-reactive to pilocarpine suggesting a denervation hypersensitivity due to cholinergic neurone degeneration. Other families exhibit an achalasia-like abnormality of the oesophagus and abnormal duodenal motility which responded normally, to cholinergic agents suggesting deficiency of non-adrenergic inhibitory mechanisms (Lewis et al., 1978).

In diabetes mellitus, autonomic neuropathy is common, gastric emptying is frequently impaired (Loo et al., 1984) but small bowel pseudo-obstruction is rare. Acute colonic dilatation may occur as a terminal event in severe diabetes (Paley et al., 1961).

Intestinal pseudo-obstruction is also usually a terminal event in secondary amyloidosis (Legge et al., 1970) but in familial amyloidosis with polynuropathy, episodic diarrhoea and constipation are typical. The amyloid deposition in gut nerve is responsible for the abnormal gut response to cholinergic agents (Battle et al., 1979). Rarer degenerative disease of the myenteric plexus such as glycolipid deposition in Fabry's disease (Friedman et al., 1984) and porphyria (Gorchein et al., 1982) may present as pseudo-obstruction syndromes.

In Europe and North America infective neuropathies are rare but have been reported following varicella (Walsh, 1982) and in some sporadic cases of myenteric plexus damage, immune reactions to an infective agent similar to that seen in mucocutaneous lymph node syndrome (Kawasaki disease) is possible (Franken et al., 1979). In endemic areas Chagas' disease produces widespread abnormality of gut function (Oliveira et al., 1983) due to the inflammatory reaction in the myenteric plexus (Smith, 1980).

Disorders of gut muscle

Failure of development of duodenal musculature has been reported (Handelsman et al., 1965) but inherited metabolic abnormalities of gut and muscle usually present later. Hereditary visceral myopathy is a degeneration of the longitudinal muscle coat inherited as an autosomal dominant (Schuffler & Pope, 1977) Subclinical achalasia-like abnormality of the oesophagus or intestinal pseudo-obstruction may occur in association with urogenital tract smooth muscle degeneration (Faulk, 1978). An autosomal recessive-type associated with ophthalmpoplegia has been described (Anuras et al., 1983). Investigations of relatives may help in making the diagnosis and in genetic counselling.

Skeletal muscle disorders such as dystrophy myotonica (Lewis & Daniel, 1981) and polymyositis (Patterson & Rios, 1959) may produce abnormal gastric and duodenal motility.

Disorders affecting gut muscle and nerve

A wide variety of metabolic abnormalities may affect both gut nerve and muscle function. Myxoedema slows small bowel transit (Shafer et al., 1984) sometimes producing acute pseudo-obstruction (Salerno & Grey, 1978). Congenital hypoparathyroidism may also produce intestinal pseudo-obstruction (Cockel et al., 1973).

Pregnancy slows intestinal transit and renders IMCs less frequent (Scott et al., 1983) and pseudo-obstruction is particularly frequent following Caesarian section (Ravo et al., 1983). Abdominal pain, vomiting, constipation or pseudo-obstruction may be presenting symptoms of phaeochromocytoma (Turner, 1983) and the risks of laparotomy in both this condition and in myxoedema are particularly high.

A unique patient with an enteroglucagonoma had chronic constipation and villous hyperplasia (Gleeson et al., 1971) but no other peptide hormone secreting tumours have been reported as causing pseudo-obstruction.

The mechanism of acute pseudo-obstruction of the colon after blunt abdominal trauma with sepsis (Adison, 1983) may be akin to pseudo-obstruction of the colon after jejunal-ileal bypass which is improved by antibiotic therapy, suggesting that the bacterial production of substances toxic to gut nerve and muscle is responsible.
Abnormalities of gut collagen and interstitium

In comparison with skeletal muscle, smooth muscle contains much more collagen and disordered collagen metabolism produces intestinal dysmotility.

The excess collagen in scleroderma leads to bowel symptoms ranging from pseudo-obstruction, volvulus, perforation and diverticulosis (Krishnamurthy et al., 1983). Steatorrhoa appears to be due to bacterial overgrowth occurring in the absence of IMCs (Rees et al., 1982).

Irradiation-induced recurrent pseudo-obstruction is also related to gut fibrosis and is particularly likely after pelvic irradiation (Lopez et al., 1981) and similar fibrosis may result in severe strongyloidiasis (Bartholomew, 1977). Mesenteric panniculitis (Tytgat et al., 1980), malignant disease of the mesentery or rare infiltrative disorders, such as ceroidosis (Boller et al., 1976), may produce intestinal pseudo-obstruction mainly by reducing compliance of the bowel wall, but some involvement of the nerve supply may occur.

Investigation and management of intestinal pseudo-obstruction

A carefully taken history will frequently detect clues to the presence of intestinal dysmotility. Previous laparotomies with negative findings, oesophageal or intestinal dysfunction in relatives (Schuffler & Pope, 1976) or clinical features suggesting abnormal motility in more than one area of the gut should suggest chronic idiopathic intestinal pseudo-obstruction. A history or clinical features of scleroderma, thyroid disease, diabetes or skeletal myopathy should be sought and a careful drug and radiotherapy history obtained.

The investigation of dysmotility could require the elimination of a wide variety of metabolic and endocrine causes (Snape, 1981) but clearly the investigation plan should be tailored to the clinical problem. Assessment of oesophageal motility by manometry, cineradiography or scintigraphy is of value in assessing patients with presumed visceral myopathy. Radiological examination of the small intestine, preferably by barium infusion, is essential to eliminate sub-acute small bowel obstruction (Schuffler et al., 1976). Jejunal manometry detects pseudo-obstructive motility but because intubation in itself will accelerate intestinal motility (Read et al., 1983), non-invasive transit measurements using breath hydrogen monitoring after ingestion of non-absorbed carbohydrates may be preferred as a first assessment.

If a laparotomy is carried out and no obstructing lesion is found, a full thickness biopsy of the intestine should be considered, particularly when a previous laparotomy has also been negative. Silver stains of horizontal sections through the gut wall fixed as a sheet (Smith, 1982) (Figure 1) will show abnormalities not seen by routine histological techniques (Krishnamurthy & Schuffler, 1983) and special stains to show fibrous tissue and muscle will help differentiate systemic sclerosis from chronic idiopathic intestinal pseudo-obstruction (Schuffler & Beege, 1979), although even electron microscopic appearances may be normal in some cases. Suction biopsy of the jejunal mucosa is useful in excluding coeliac disease but the nerve fibres visible in these specimens are not known to reliably reflect changes in the myenteric plexus.

If a treatable cause for pseudo-obstruction cannot be found, management is directed to reducing gaseous distension, combating bacterial overgrowth and stimulating intestinal motility. Schuffler (1981) finds
that patients with idiopathic pseudo-obstruction are most comfortable on a low-lactose and low-fibre diet which minimizes gas production. Treatment of bacterial overgrowth with intermittent antibiotic therapy can produce periods of prolonged improvement especially in scleroderma, possibly because the abnormal bacterial population itself is contributing to the abnormal motility (Justus et al., 1983). A wide variety of drugs has been used to stimulate gut motility, including combinations of cholinergic and adrenergic agents. Neely & Catchpole (1971) improved post-operative ileus with a combination of guanethidine 20 mg intravenously followed by repeated doses of bethanechol 2.5 mg subcutaneously or prostigmine 0.05 mg intravenously and this regime was also used with benefit in patients with chronic idiopathic intestinal pseudo-obstruction by Ballet et al. (1982). There have been no double-blind trials of drugs in pseudo-obstruction other than one of metoclopramide, which proved ineffective (Lipton & Knauer, 1980). Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs appeared to be useful in rare patients with excessive circulating prostaglandins (Luderer et al., 1983) although the response may not be sustained. For an acutely dilated gut, intubation and aspiration followed by a gradual reintroduction of elemental diet has been successful (Gibbons & Sullivan, 1978).

Unfortunately, idiopathic intestinal pseudo-obstruction is often diagnosed only after a number of negative exploratory laparotomies. Resection is best avoided (Schuffier & Deitch 1980) but may be beneficial to patients with severe localized abnormalities. Radical resection of a megaduodenum may be necessary if there is no improvement after a duodenoejuno-ostomy (Newton, 1968). Because bacterial overgrowth in the dilated gut leads to high septic complication rate, antibiotic preparation of the bowel as for colon surgery is recommended (Schuffier & Deitch, 1980). In some patients with severe small bowel distension temporary ileostomy of value (Keshavarzian et al., 1983) although we have observed one patient with idiopathic intestinal pseudo-obstruction in whom the ileostomy became obstructed with impacted hard faeces. Small bowel pseudo-obstruction complicating jejuno-ileal bypass is an indication that the bypass should be reversed (Wills, 1978) but colonic dilatation can temporarily be controlled by antibiotics active against obligate anaerobes (Barry et al., 1977). Chronic symptoms and severe malabsorption may necessitate parenteral nutrition (Greenall, 1983) and some patients on total parenteral nutrition long-term may be enabled to take some social meals without pain and distension if a subtotal resection of their small intestine is carried out (Anuras, 1978).

Colonic pseudo-obstruction may be distinguishable from luminal obstruction by the presence of normal faecal consistency and haustral pattern on plain abdominal X-ray (Byrne et al., 1981). Persisting dilatation of the transverse colon or the caecum to more than 12 cm indicates a risk of perforation (Melzig & Terz, 1978) which is usually caecal (Bernston, 1983). A barium enema given without bowel preparation can sometimes relieve colonic pseudo-obstruction (Dudley, 1958) in addition to giving diagnostic information, but preferably the colon is decompressed by colonoscopy, either directly (Nivatvongs et al., 1982) or by leaving a tube in the caecum (Groff, 1983). Colonoscopy also detects mucosal necrosis which is a strong indication for colectomy. Colostomy in this condition carries a high mortality and probably should not be performed (Addison, 1983).

The syndromes of intestinal pseudo-obstruction are a continuing challenge to the clinician and our increasing understanding of abnormalities of gut muscle and nerve renders it likely that more forms of intestinal dysmotility will be detected as investigative techniques improve.
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