Primary peritonitis in children and adults

T. G. ARMITAGE
M.B., Ch.B., F.R.C.S.Ed.

R. C. N. WILLIAMSON
M.Chir., F.R.C.S.

University Department of Surgery, Bristol Royal Infirmary, Bristol BS2 8HW

Summary

Five cases of primary peritonitis are presented, with a sixth related case of pneumococcal peritonitis secondary to a ruptured ovarian cyst. The patients comprised 4 young girls who recovered, and 2 elderly females who died. Pneumococci were isolated in 3 patients; no organism was found in the other 3. One case of primary measles peritonitis has no apparent precedent.
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Introduction

Peritonitis without an underlying intraperitoneal lesion is uncommon at any age nowadays. It usually presents in childhood, with the pneumococcus as the infecting organism, and it is nearly always mistaken for acute appendicitis. Primary peritonitis has also been described as a complication of the nephrotic syndrome or cirrhosis hepatis, and non-pneumococcal organisms have increasingly been isolated.

Patients

The 6 patients were admitted as emergencies between 1975 and 1979 to one of three Bristol Hospitals: the Royal Infirmary, the Royal Hospital for Sick Children and Southmead General Hospital. There were 4 children and 2 adults, and all were female. They may be conveniently grouped into three pairs: girls with classical pneumococcal peritonitis, girls with sterile peritonitis of possible viral origin, and adults with haematogenous peritonitis. The clinical details are summarized below and in Table 1.

Both children with pneumococcal peritonitis presented with the clinical features of generalized peritoneal irritation and harboured copious quantities of odourless, turbid fluid within the abdominal cavity. Immediate microscopy of the peritoneal exudate revealed numerous Gram-positive diplococci, and a pure growth of Streptococcus pneumoniae was obtained on subsequent culture. Postoperative swabs of throat, urine and faeces were negative for pneumococci. In each case the resected appendix was histologically normal, apart from mild peritoneal reaction. Parenteral ampicillin therapy was started peroperatively, and both girls made a prompt recovery.

The two children with sterile peritonitis were similar to the previous pair with regard to age, sex, clinical presentation and laparotomy findings. However, bacterial cultures of the peritoneal fluid were sterile, as were postoperative samples of blood and sputum. As before, appendicectomy was carried out, antibiotics were given and abdominal signs quickly resolved. In one child an upper respiratory tract infection 2 weeks before admission raised the possibility of a viral cause for the ensuing peritonitis. The other girl developed a diffuse morbilliform rash 4 days after operation, followed by florid measles with Koplik spots and conjunctivitis. Histological examination of the appendix had shown no active inflammation but the classical giant cells (Warthin-Finkeldey) of measles (Fig. 1).

Two elderly women with probable haematogenous peritonitis and concomitant ovarian lesions developed postoperative chest infections and later died from cardiovascular complications. Neither patient had any overt intra-abdominal cause to explain their generalized purulent but odourless peritonitis. As in the children, the abdominal viscera in each case (appendix, ovary, uterine tube) showed histological evidence only of pyogenic serositis. The first case was of primary peritonitis complicating ovarian carcinomatosis and malignant ascites; the causative organism was not isolated. In the second case pneumococcal pneumonia probably preceded septicaemia and secondary infection of a benign papillary cystadenoma of the right ovary, which subsequently ruptured; the peritoneal fluid was teeming with pneumococci.

Discussion

Incidence

In children primary peritonitis comprises about 2% of all abdominal emergencies (Cole, 1937; Fowler,
and in one series as many as 14% of cases of diffuse peritonitis (McDougall, Izant and Zollinger, 1975). In adults the disease seems much rarer, although isolated cases of pneumococcal peritonitis have been reported in adolescence (McFarlane et al., 1979) and young adulthood (Friedland and Harris, 1970). Many of the childhood cases (11–59%) have affected patients with nephrotic syndrome (Cole, 1937; Fowler, 1971; Pahmet, 1940). In both children and adults, primary peritoneal infection is an accepted complication of cirrhosis hepatitis (Finlayson and Roth, 1964; Conn, 1964; Kerr, Pearson and Read, 1963; Epstein, Calia and Gabuzda, 1968). Streptococcal peritonitis has also been reported in association with a low serum albumin in young women with anorexia nervosa and purgative abuse (Khoury and Wall, 1982).

Normal girls are much more likely than boys to develop primary peritonitis (Cole, 1937; Fowler, 1971; Pahmet, 1940; McCartney and Fraser, 1922), but the sex distribution is more equal in patients with nephrotic syndrome (Cole, 1937; Pahmer, 1940). In adults with cirrhosis, the childhood sex incidence is reversed. In three combined series (Finlayson and Roth, 1964; Conn, 1964; Kerr et al., 1963) 13 of 19 patients were male, presumably because cirrhosis is commoner in men. All 6 patients in our series were female.

**Bacteriology**

The causative organisms of primary peritonitis appear to have changed character during the last 60 years. Most early series implicated *Streptococcus pneumoniae* as the major childhood pathogen (Cole, 1937; McCartney and Fraser, 1922), although peritonitis caused by *S. pyogenes* was also recognized. Subsequent reports, notably Fowler's large Australian series (1971), have shown a decline in pneumococcal isolates from 50/72 organisms before 1956 to 6/33 organisms thereafter. This change corresponds to a decline in endemic pneumococcal infection owing to effective antimicrobial therapy. By contrast, Gram-negative organisms have increasingly been isolated (McDougall et al., 1975; Speck, Dresdale and McMillan, 1974), especially in cirrhotics; yet pneumococci may infect ascitic fluid (Epstein et al., 1968).

In many cases no causative organism can be found, and this applied to 3 of our 6 patients. Cultures from the other 3 grew pneumococci.

**Aetiology and pathogenesis**

Isolation of *S. pneumoniae* from the vagina in early series supported the general belief that pneumococcal peritonitis was caused by ascending infection from the genital tract in little girls (McCartney and Fraser, 1922). The declining incidence of the disease might reasonably be attributed to improved standards of hygiene and general health.

Other possibilities for the source of infection include haematogenous or transdiaphragmatic spread from other sites, usually the respiratory tract and transmural spread through the intestine (Cole, 1937). Two of our children had had recent upper respiratory infections. In one of the adults pneumococcal septicaemia secondary to pneumonia may have preceded infection of an ovarian cyst and, thereafter, by an unusual sequence, metastatic peritonitis. Another possible site for primary infection of the urinary tract, was implicated in 10 of 26 cases in a more recent report (McDougall et al., 1975). The source of infection remains obscure in many cases without an overt focus and with negative peritoneal cultures.

The relative frequency of peritonitis in the nephrotic syndrome and cirrhosis suggests that ascitic fluid is a good culture medium, in which bacteria from
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Whatever origin may lodge and multiply. Hypoproteinaemia and an impaired immunological capacity may also be contributory (Khoury and Wall, 1982). We have described one case of peritonitis complicating malignant ascites of ovarian origin. In cirrhosis, peritoneal infection has been ascribed both to decreased hepatic filtration of intraportal organisms and to contamination by abdominal paracentesis (Kerr et al., 1963). In the nephrotic syndrome, hypercoagulability (Futrakul, 1978) might cause splanchnic ischaemia and thus secondary infection of the peritoneum (Fowler, 1971).

A possible viral aetiology of primary peritonitis was postulated by Fowler (1971), who isolated an ECHO (type 4) virus from a mesenteric node in one patient and rubella from the peritoneal exudate and throat of another. Both cases were accompanied by rising antibody titres in convalescent sera. Viral infection could account for some ‘sterile’ cases of primary peritonitis, as in two of our patients. Indeed the evidence is strong in the child with clinical and pathological evidence of measles. Although her appendix was not inflamed, it showed typical Warthin-Finkeldy giant cells. Measles has been recognized in association with acute appendicitis (Whyte and MacBain, 1977) but not previously as a cause of primary peritonitis. A well-defined syndrome of infectious peritonitis resulting from coronavirus infection has been described in the cat (Horginek and Osterhaus, 1979).

Clinical presentation and management

Despite early reports to the contrary (Cole, 1937; McCartney and Fraser, 1922), it must be difficult to distinguish the clinical features of primary peritonitis from those of perforated appendicitis or other forms of diffuse peritonitis (Golden and Shaw, 1972). All our cases had a firm, though mistaken, pre-operative diagnosis.

It is reasonable to suspect primary peritonitis in patients with pre-existing nephrosis or cirrhosis, who develop signs of peritoneal irritation or whose general condition deteriorates. The diagnosis may
then be confirmed by paracentesis, allowing bacteriological examination of the peritoneal fluid and treatment with the appropriate antibiotic (Kerr et al., 1963). Otherwise the diagnosis should be suspected at laparotomy, when diffuse peritonitis is found in the absence of any obvious intraperitoneal lesion. An initial incision in the right iliac fossa should be extended to allow adequate examination of the abdominal visera and the exclusion of other atypical causes of peritonitis, such as occult perforation of the intestine by a foreign body or through a diverticulum. Tuberculous peritonitis may sometimes present acutely; the diagnosis is suggested by the presence of serosal tubercles, enlarged mesenteric nodes, a caecal tuberculosis or an extra-abdominal focus of tuberculous infection (Khoury, Payne and Harvey, 1978).

Diagnostic clues at laparotomy may be provided by the very extent of peritoneal infection, compared with the patient's general health, and by the odourless nature of the pus. In this situation we have found that an immediate Gram stain of the peritoneal fluid can be reassuring (Golden and Shaw, 1972), and it may dictate the initial choice of antibiotic. Laparotomy also permits saline lavage of the peritoneal cavity, although the value of drainage is in doubt (McDougall et al., 1975). In otherwise healthy individuals a rapid response to systemic antibiotic therapy can be anticipated.
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