Successful pregnancy soon after oral contraceptive-associated malignant hypertension
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Summary
A woman who developed malignant hypertension while taking a very low oestrogen oral contraceptive underwent an uncomplicated pregnancy conceived 3 months later. Her BP was well controlled with propranolol alone.

Introduction
Successful pregnancy after the development of malignant hypertension has been reported on 2 occasions (Kincaid-Smith, McMichael and Murphy, 1958; Weir and Willocks, 1976). In these patients conception occurred 4 and 3 years respectively after control of the malignant phase. The authors report a successful pregnancy in a patient who developed malignant hypertension while taking an oral contraceptive and who conceived 3 months later. Throughout pregnancy her BP was well controlled with propranolol.

Case report
A 21-year-old woman had taken Microgynon 30 (Schering) (0.15 mg levonorgestrel and 0.03 mg ethinyloestradiol) for 3 years. She was admitted in June 1977 with a history of severe headache for one week and vomiting for one day. The BP was 230/170 mmHg and bilateral papilloedema, haemorrhages and soft exudates were seen on fundoscopy. Microscopic haematuria was present. Investigations revealed a creatinine clearance of 40 ml/min with a serum urea of 3.8 mmol/l and a serum potassium of 3.2 mmol/l. The latter returned to normal following treatment. ECG showed left ventricular hypertrophy. IVP, isotope renogram, urinary VMA and catecholamine excretion were all normal.

The BP was initially controlled with i.v. diazoxide. Oral propranolol was started and the patient was discharged 3 weeks later taking propranolol 640 mg daily. The dose of propranolol was increased to 960 mg following discharge and subsequent BP control was satisfactory. Two months after ward discharge her BP was 140/94 mmHg (standing values quoted) and the fundi appeared normal apart from a few old exudates. The contraceptive pill was discontinued on admission to hospital.

Three months later the patient was found to be 9 weeks pregnant. She had been strongly advised to avoid pregnancy and some contraception had been organized. At this ante-natal visit her BP was 120/80 mmHg, fundoscopy normal, and creatinine clearance 103 ml/min. During pregnancy her BP was maintained between 110–143/75–80 mmHg on a propranolol dose of 640 mg. Serum urea, uric acid, blood platelet count, and urinalysis for protein were normal throughout the pregnancy.

Fetal growth was monitored biochemically and by ultrasound. Serial urinary total oestrogens and plasma placental lactogen (HPL) remained within normal range until 38 weeks' gestation when the HPL fell to 3.4 mg/l. A reduction in fetal growth as measured by serial cephalometry was initially noted at 34 weeks and continued over the following 4 weeks. During the 37th week a reduction in fetal activity was reported and the patient was immediately admitted to hospital. Cardiotocography (external) revealed a baseline fetal bradycardia of 100–115 beats/min but this rate responded by more than 20 beats/min on fetal movement. The maternal resting heart rate was between 56–64 beats/min. In view of the reduction in fetal activity and objective evidence of fetal growth retardation, delivery was planned during the 38th week of gestation. Propranolol was stopped 36 hr before delivery without loss of BP control. The fetal heart rate 24 hr after propranolol had been stopped was 120–130 beats/min. Vaginal examination revealed a grossly unfavourable cervix (Bishops score 2) for induction and delivery by elective Caesarean section under epidural anaesthesia was undertaken.

The delivered male infant was small for dates, weighed 2·6 kg (5th centile weight for gestation) and had an Apgar score of 9 and 10 at one and 5 min.
The cord venous blood pH was 7.34 and the base deficit 2.1. The placenta weighed 420 g and was histologically normal. The infant's blood sugar was 1.4 mmol/l at one hr increasing to 2.5 mmol/l after 2 hr. No abnormality of the infant was noted subsequently.

BP was elevated from the 3rd postoperative day (BP 140/110 mmHg) until it was treated 6 weeks later. Three months after delivery her BP was 120/90 mmHg and propranolol dosage 160 mg/day.

Discussion

Malignant hypertension is a rare complication of the combined oral contraceptive steroids (Editorial, 1976). Both conjugated oestrogens and the combined oral contraceptive pill are known to cause elevation in BP and a causal link with oestrogens was inferred (Crane, Harris and Winsor, 1971). Although malignant hypertension has not been reported with a 30 μg oestrogen pill, the incidence of hypertension with such preparations may be greater than that with the 50 μg oestrogenic combinations suggesting a progestogen effect (Meade et al., 1977; Royal College of General Practitioners' Oral Contraceptive Study, 1977). In this patient, no other cause for hypertension was found and BP was surprisingly easy to control after the oral contraception was stopped; observations which suggest that this compound may have played a role in producing the malignant phase.

Poorly controlled hypertension and renal disease during pregnancy are associated with an increased risk to mother and fetus. Although there was some evidence of renal impairment at presentation, immediate antihypertensive therapy restored BP and renal function to normal and this contributed to the successful outcome of the gestation. Even so, the infant was small for dates.

There has been considerable doubt about the safety of β-blockers in hypertensive pregnancies. Intravenous administration of propranolol has been associated with neonatal hypoglycaemia and respiratory depression (Tunstall, 1969; Cottrill et al., 1977) and in a small retrospective study the use of propranolol was linked with an increased incidence of perinatal death (Lieberman et al., 1978). Prospective studies of the use of orally administered β-blockers do not support these fears (Turner, Oakley and Dixon, 1968; Eliahou et al., 1978; Tcherdakoff et al., 1978). In contrast, hypertensive pregnancies treated with oxprenolol were associated with a reversal of intrauterine growth retardation (Gallery et al., 1978). The present patient was receiving a larger dose of propranolol than has been previously documented, and there was no obvious adverse effect on the fetus. The transient fetal bradycardia detected on ante-partum cardiotocography was presumably related to the β-blocker. The bradycardia was reversed by fetal activity and disappeared soon after stopping the drug. Omission of propranolol for 4 days did not affect BP control, a feature recorded by Kristensen, Steiness and Weeks (1978). In retrospect, however, stopping the β-blocker before Caesarean section seems unnecessary.

It appears that a past history of malignant hypertension is not a contra-indication to pregnancy when renal function is good and the BP is well controlled.
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