Hypertension following cadaveric renal transplantation

G. A. COLES  
M.D., M.R.C.P.

G. R. JONES  
M.R.C.P.(Ed.)

D. L. CROSBY  
F.R.C.S.

J. H. JONES  
M.D., M.R.C.P.

Cardiff Royal Infirmary

S. McVEIGH  
M.R.C. Blood Pressure Research Unit, Glasgow

Summary
The incidence and aetiology of hypertension following cadaveric-donor renal transplantation have been investigated in twenty-four patients. Initially, the diastolic blood pressure was persistently above 100 mmHg in 52% of the patients. By 1 month after renal transplantation the incidence had fallen to 17% but it then increased rapidly, so that by 5 months 83% had hypertension.

Changes in blood pressure correlated poorly with changes in the dose of prednisone, extracellular fluid volume, exchangeable sodium, creatinine clearance and haemoglobin.

The recipient's original diseased kidneys were removed after transplantation in seven patients. The blood pressure fell to normal levels in three, remained unchanged in two and became easier to control with antihypertensive drugs in the other two patients. Measurements of plasma renin concentration were of no value in predicting the response to recipient nephrectomy.

Introduction
After renal transplantation most patients who previously had high blood pressure rapidly become normotensive (Ducrot et al., 1965). For various reasons the hypertension may, however, recur (Hume, 1967). We have been impressed by the high proportion of transplant recipients who developed high blood pressure some months after operation. We present here the results of some investigations done in an attempt to elucidate the cause of this recurrence of hypertension and describe also the effect upon the blood pressure of removing the original diseased kidneys.

Definition of hypertension
For the purpose of this paper, hypertension is defined as persistent elevation of the diastolic blood pressure above 100 mmHg.

Patients
Twenty-four patients were studied. All had had a cadaveric renal graft which functioned for at least 1 month. One patient had had a bilateral nephrectomy prior to transplantation because of uncontrolled hypertension. After transplantation patients received a low sodium diet until a diuresis had occurred but thereafter the sodium intake was not restricted. If hypertension recurred it was treated initially with hypotensive drugs and a low sodium diet. Azathioprine and prednisone were used as immunosuppressive agents. The dose of prednisone was reduced gradually to a minimum of 10 mg/day if there was no evidence of rejection. Other details of management were as previously described (Branch et al., 1970). Bilateral nephrectomy was performed in seven patients 2 months to 1 year after renal transplantation.

Methods
Extracellular fluid volume was estimated from the volume of dilution 82bromide (Nicholson & Zilva, 1960). Exchangeable sodium was measured with 31sodium. Normal ranges in relation to body weight were derived from the data of Moore et al. (1963). Renal function was assessed by frequent measurement of urine output, blood urea, serum creatinine and creatinine clearance. Urea and creatinine were estimated with an AutoAnalyzer (Technicon). 'True' creatinine was also estimated by the method of Owen et al. (1954). Arteriography of the graft was performed via the contralateral femoral artery. Plasma renin levels were measured by the method of Brown et al. (1964); venous samples were taken from the antecubital vein without compression of the arm, separated immediately and stored at −20°C.

Results
The incidence of hypertension after renal transplantation is shown in Fig. 1. At the time of opera-
tion 52% of the patients had hypertension. By 1 month after operation the incidence had fallen to only 17% but it then increased rapidly, so that by 5 months after operation 83% of the patients were hypertensive. Approximately half of the patients with hypertension at 5 months had a normal blood pressure before renal transplantation. The reduction in the number of patients with hypertension at 6–7 months (Fig. 1) is due to improvement in two patients following removal of the original diseased kidneys.

The relation between hypertension and extracellular fluid volume is shown in Fig. 2. Several patients developed high blood pressure despite a falling or steady extracellular fluid volume.

The relationship between hypertension and exchangeable sodium is shown in Fig. 3. Again, in several instances, the blood pressure increased as the exchangeable sodium fell to within the normal range.

Renal arteriography was performed in ten patients with hypertension. Some narrowing at the origin of the donor renal artery was found in two patients but this was probably not of functional significance because, in one, blood pressure fell to normal after removal of his original diseased kidneys without correction of the graft renal artery stenosis.

The haemoglobin rose to over 17 g/100 ml and the packed cell volume to over 50% in three patients, but while all three did have hypertension this first appeared at a time when they were anaemic. The other patients with hypertension did not have polycythaemia.

Table 1 shows the relationship between hypertension and the mean daily dose of prednisone. Despite a fall in mean daily dose from 37.5 mg at 1 month to 19 mg at 5 months the incidence of hypertension increased from 17 to 83%. Hypertension persisted even when the dose of prednisone had been between 10 and 15 mg/day for several months.

Figure 4 demonstrates the relationship between hypertension and creatinine clearance. Of the twelve

![Fig. 1. Incidence of hypertension after cadaveric renal transplantation. Open columns, normotensive patients; stippled columns, hypertensive patients.](image1)

![Fig. 2. Relationship between hypertension after cadaveric renal transplantation and changes in extracellular fluid. (Extracellular fluid expressed as % actual weight.) The normal range is indicated by the shaded area. ●, Normotensive patients; □, hypertensive patients.](image2)

![Fig. 3. Relationship between hypertension after cadaveric renal transplantation and changes in exchangeable sodium. (Exchangeable sodium expressed as mEq/kg actual weight.) ●, Normotensive patients; □, hypertensive patients.](image3)
Warm ischaemia time varied from 40 to 110 min. There was no relationship between warm ischaemia time and subsequent development of hypertension.

The recipients' original kidneys were removed after renal transplantation in seven patients with hypertension. The blood pressure fell to normal in three and hypotensive drugs were discontinued (Fig. 5). Two patients showed no significant change in blood pressure after operation. In the remaining two the blood pressure did fall after bilateral nephrectomy but some hypotensive drugs were still required in order to keep the diastolic pressure below 100 mmHg.

Plasma renin concentration was estimated in five of these seven patients a few days before and 1 month after bilateral nephrectomy. Two separate samples were taken for each analysis. The results are shown in Table 2. None of the patients had markedly raised plasma renin levels but slightly raised values were found in three. There was no correlation between plasma renin concentration and exchangeable sodium. There was no significant change as a result of bilateral nephrectomy and the blood pressure response bore no relation to any change in plasma renin concentration.

Table 1. The relationship of hypertension after cadaveric renal transplantation to the daily dose of prednisone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Mean daily prednisone dose (mg)</th>
<th>% hypertensive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Hume 1967) lists several possible causes of recurrence of hypertension after renal transplantation. These include renal artery stenosis, ischaemia of the transplant, acute rejection, sodium and water retention, prednisone therapy and chronic rejection. None of these adequately explains the high recurrence rate of hypertension in the present series of cadaver donor transplants. The incidence of post-transplant hypertension was considerably higher than that previously reported after living-donor transplants (Ducrot et al. 1965; Starzl et al. 1964).

Immediately after the postoperative diuresis, blood pressure fell to normal levels in all but a small proportion of cases. The relief of hypertension during

Table 2. Measurements of plasma renin concentration before and after bilateral nephrectomy in patients who had received a cadaveric renal transplant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Plasma renin concentration</th>
<th>Response of blood pressure to operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K.A.</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.B.</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.W.</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.J.</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.J.</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two separate venous samples were estimated at intervals of 2–24 hr a few days before and 1 month after operation. (Normal range 4–20 units. M.R.C. Blood Pressure Research Unit, Glasgow.)
this period is probably related to a reduction in extracellular fluid volume and exchangeable sodium (Ducrot et al., 1965; Swales, 1967; Coles, 1972). A similar relationship has been observed in patients treated by regular haemodialysis (Blumberg et al., 1967; Comty, Rottka & Shaldon, 1964). Recurrence of hypertension in the majority of our patients at a later stage cannot, however, be attributed to salt and water retention because exchangeable sodium and extracellular fluid volume remained normal.

Starzl et al. (1964) thought that the recurrence of hypertension after transplantation was related to corticosteroid therapy. It is difficult to assess the role of steroids in our patients but hypertension often appeared as the dose of prednisone was being reduced, and persisted even after 6 months on a dose of only 10–15 mg/day. Patients with asthma treated by prednisone may develop hypertension but the incidence is considerably lower than in our patients (Pearson, Baylis & Smellie, 1961; Rees and Williams, 1962). This may be because the cumulative dose given to transplant recipients is usually higher. Prednisone probably causes hypertension by a mechanism related to its sodium-retaining properties (Thomas, 1968) but total exchangeable sodium was not excessive in the patients described here. Goldman, Meredith & Reeve (1969) noted that after living-donor transplants the blood pressure fell but that this did not occur after cadaver-donor transplants. A more potent sodium-retaining steroid, deoxytocasmine acetate, produces hypertension more readily in nephritic than in control rats (Knowlton et al., 1946). It is not known whether ischaemic damage or mild rejection of a renal transplant also potentiates the hypertensive effect of prednisone but this could explain the observations of Goldman et al. (1969), since damage is more likely after cadaver-donor transplantation. Dexamethasone, which has no sodium-retaining properties (Bunim et al., 1958) has not been widely used for immunosuppression and might be preferable to prednisone in patients with hypertension.

Surgical correction of stenosis at the site of anastomosis of the donor renal artery sometimes cures post-transplant hypertension (Hamburger, Crosnier & Dormont, 1965). In only two of our hypertensive patients was there any arteriographic evidence of narrowing of the renal artery. The difficulty in assessing the functional significance of the arteriographic appearances is illustrated by the fact that in one patient the hypertension was relieved by bilateral nephrectomy without correction of the apparent renal artery stenosis.

Erythraemia may occur after renal transplantation and may be associated with hypertension (Swales & Evans, 1969). Three of our patients had erythraemia but they developed hypertension when they were anaemic.

In experimental animals, ischaemia of the transplant apparently causes late-onset hypertension.
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(Simso, Telander & Hitchcock, 1963) but this has not been reported in man. There was no relationship between the duration of warm ischaemia and either early or late onset of hypertension in our patients. Cadaver kidneys must inevitably be subjected to more prolonged ischaemia than living-donor kidneys but whether this explains the higher incidence of hypertension in the former (Advisory Committee of the Human Kidney Transplant Registry, 1969) is not known.

Hypertension commonly occurs during acute rejection (Starzl et al., 1964). This has been attributed to sodium retention (Swales, 1967) and to elevation of plasma renin levels (Gunnels, Stickel & Robinson, 1966; West, Turcotte & Vander, 1969), though hypertension can occur during rejection without any change in plasma renin concentration (Abbrecht, Vander & Turcotte, 1969). In the present series, hypertension occurred during some but not all rejection crises; it did not persist after the rejection had been reversed. Similar observations have been made by Blaufox et al. (1966).

The association of hypertension and chronic rejection was well recognized (Hume, 1967) and chronic rejection was probably responsible for the hypertension in two of our patients. Most of the patients, however, developed progressive hypertension while the creatinine clearance was steadily improving. Goldman et al. (1969) found that most transplant recipients with hypertension had a plasma creatinine concentration greater than 1·5 mg/100 ml, compared with a mean value of 1·3 mg/100 ml in normotensive patients. The plasma creatinine was greater than 1·5 mg/100 ml in most of our patients but the values remained constant for up to 1 year after operation. Nevertheless, a very slow rejection process must be considered the most likely cause of the hypertension. It is difficult to explain why the hypertension should recur a few months after transplantation, rather than immediately, on any other grounds.

The role of renin in the development of hypertension after renal transplantation is uncertain. West et al. (1969) found a significant but poor correlation between plasma renin levels and blood pressure, but Blaufox et al. (1966) and Verniory et al. (1967) did not. When measured, plasma renin levels were virtually normal in our patients, though it could be argued that in the presence of hypertension they were inappropriately high (Lee, 1969).

**The effects of bilateral nephrectomy**

The indications for bilateral nephrectomy in the present series were not clear cut. Hypertension in transplant recipients is usually controlled by antihypertensive drugs (Goldman et al., 1969) and in none of our patients can it be claimed that the blood pressure was uncontrollable by drug therapy. However, all the patients in whom bilateral nephrectomy was done had presented originally with severe hypertension and were very alarmed by its recurrence. They required increasing doses of antihypertensive drugs and some complained of troublesome side-effects. Bilateral nephrectomy successfully controlled the blood pressure in three of seven patients. In two others the hypertension became easier to manage by drug therapy as previously reported by Goldman et al. (1969) and Papadimitriou, Chisholm & Shackman (1969). In contrast, plasma renin concentration is usually very high in patients on regular haemodialysis who remain hypertensive despite removal of excess extracellular fluid, and the hypertension is usually relieved by bilateral nephrectomy in these patients (Brown et al., 1969).

Bilateral nephrectomy after transplantation is not without risk. One patient developed a large abscess at the site of operation and another had a temporary pneumothorax. It is our current practice to perform bilateral nephrectomy before, rather than after, renal transplantation in patients with severe hypertension which is difficult to control by regular dialysis and drugs. Pre-transplant nephrectomy is probably less hazardous than post-transplant nephrectomy but it is difficult to decide which patients require it. Despite pre-transplant nephrectomy, even living-donor recipients may sometimes develop hypertension after renal transplantation (Starzl et al., 1964).
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