AN OUTBREAK OF STREPTOCOCCAL INFECTION IN A MATERNITY UNIT
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In April and May, 1962, an outbreak of infection due to Streptococcus pyogenes (Lancefield Group A) occurred among newborn babies in a large communal nursery in one of the three wards of the maternity unit at the Brighton General Hospital. The responsible organism, which belonged to Griffith type 12 and was tetracycline-resistant, had only once previously been isolated in the Brighton area. We shall refer to it as the streptococcus.

Altogether, the organism was isolated from 5 adults and 19 babies. The adults were:
- 2 pupil midwives who were symptomless carriers (positive throat swabs).
- 2 pupil midwives with tonsillitis.
- 1 mother with puerperal fever (positive high vaginal swab).

Considering next the 19 babies (11 males and 8 females), the streptococcus was isolated in the lying-in period in only 6 of them as follows:
- 2 healthy babies, both umbilical carriers.
- 3 with a sticky umbilicus.
- 1 with a paronychia.

The streptococcus was isolated from 13 infants after their discharge from the unit as follows:
- 1 with a fatal lung infection at the age of 16 days.
- 1 with a fatal septicemia and peritonitis at the age of 4 weeks.
- 1 with a cellulitis of the face at the age of 26 days (positive mouth and throat swabs).
- 1 with purulent ophthalma at the age of 3 weeks (this baby also had an ophthalma and a paronychia, both due to a penicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus pyogenes, which responded to treatment while in the ward).

This was the only infant in the group developing symptoms outside hospital who showed evidence of infection of any sort in the lying-in period.

Illustrative Case Reports

Case No. 1. A male infant was born on April 29th. He was brought in dead to the Royal Alexandra Hospital, Brighton, on May 15th. He had been healthy and had appeared entirely normal until 24 hours before his death when he became lethargic and refused his feeds. These symptoms worsened and the baby suddenly collapsed at home. Post-mortem examination revealed a lung abscess from which the streptococcus was grown; there was nothing to suggest that the umbilicus had been the portal of entry.

Case No. 2. A female infant was born on April 17th. She was admitted to the Royal Alexandra Hospital in a moribund condition on May 15th, (aged 4 weeks) with a 5-day history of cough followed by diarrhoea and vomiting. Hitherto, she had been a healthy baby. On admission she was gravely ill (T. 96°F. P.136) and presented a picture of severe dehydration and abdominal distension. There was some reddening and eversion of the umbilicus, and an extensive erythematous area could be seen over the right lower chest wall and the right side of the abdomen and flank. There were signs of free fluid in the peritoneal cavity. The baby was treated with intravenous fluids and given chloramphenicol and, later, penicillin by this route. A small amount of purulent fluid was obtained by aspiration of the abdomen and from this the streptococcus was isolated. The infant died 12 hours after admission. Post-mortem examination demonstrated a generalized peritonitis with a collection of fluid pus in the lesser sac. A fusiform dilatation of the ligamentum teres was noted at its point of entry into the liver and there was marked thickening of its walls. On cutting across this structure pus escaped from both hepatic veins.

Case No. 3. A male infant was born on April 10th. He had been a normal infant and thrived well until four days before admission to the Royal Alex-
Management of the Epidemic

In spite of extensive swabbing of patients and staff we were unable to determine precisely when and how the streptococcus gained entrance to the nursery. However, in retrospect it seems likely that “bacteriological” cross-infection with the epidemic organism had been occurring for some time before we appreciated what was happening. A surprising feature of the outbreak was the fact that it involved only the one nursery, although there were ample opportunities at the time for wide dissemination of the infection to take place. For one thing, there was a constant interchange of nursing staff between the three wards and their nurseries.

The organism was first isolated on April 18th, 1962, from the slightly moist umbilicus of an otherwise healthy baby and soon afterwards it became apparent that we were dealing with a severe nursery epidemic. The outbreak, once recognized, was quickly brought under control with the help of the following measures:

1. Nose and throat swabs were taken from everyone in the Unit and umbilical swabs from all babies, on the fourth and eighth days of life. Those individuals shown to be harbouring the streptococcus were isolated.

2. The affected ward was closed to new cases, emptied as quickly as possible by the early discharge of mothers and babies under careful medical surveillance at home and then fumigated.

3. The administration in appropriate dosage of sodium benzylpenicillin as follows: — the crystalline preparation 12-hourly by the intramuscular route for five days to those adults and babies from whom the streptococcus was isolated; phenoxymethyl penicillin by mouth for one week to all infants in the Maternity Department whose nose, throat and umbilical swabs were negative.

4. Nursery techniques then in use were carefully scrutinized and several changes made in the routine. These included restricting the handling of infants to a minimum, eliminating some objects in communal use (notably the changing table) and enforcing a strict hand-washing ritual. We also decided to modify the routine care of the umbilical cord along the lines shown by the Bristol workers to be effective in controlling staphylococcal sepsis amongst newborn infants in a maternity hospital (Simpson, Tozer and Gillespie, 1960).

5. With the co-operation of local Medical Officers of Health and general practitioners all babies known to be at risk were followed up in their homes and bacteriological cultures were taken from any infant recently discharged from hospital who developed signs of an infection.

Discussion

Infection of the newly born infant is one of the major disadvantages of hospital midwifery and is part of the general problem of hospital cross-infection. The commonest pathogenic organism is Staphylococcus pyogenes, which is now endemic in most, if not all, hospitals. In contrast, Streptococcus pyogenes, although at one time an important cause of infection in obstetric units (Colebrook and Kenny, 1936), is now not often encountered. However, our experience with this streptococcal outbreak is not unique and there are a number of reports in the literature demonstrating how rapidly and unexpectedly a maternity unit may be invaded by this pathogen, leading to deaths in neonates from overwhelming infection (Boissard...
and Eton, 1956; Gibson and Calman, 1953; Gray, 1956; Kwantes and James, 1956; Lange-
wisch, 1956; Nicol, 1961).

*Streptococcus pyogenes* infections due to a tetracycline-resistant organism have, until com-
paratively recently, been uncommon. This type of streptococcus had only been identified once in
the Brighton area before this outbreak; since then it has been encountered with increasing fre-
cuency. Thus, figures from the Brighton Group Laboratories show that over the past year (March 1963—April 1964) *Strepto-
coccus pyogenes* has been isolated from in-
patients on 276 occasions and that no less than 47% of these cultures have been resistant to
tetracycline, an experience paralleled by others (Kuharic, Roberts and Kirby, 1960; Lowbury
and Hurst, 1956; Mogabgab and Pelon, 1958;
Parker, Maxted and Frazer, 1962).

This severe outbreak of cross-infection illust-
rates several important clinical and epidemiolo-
gical features of *Streptococcus pyogenes* infection in the newborn infant, confirming the ex-
perience of others. These may be summarized as follows:

1. *Streptococcus pyogenes*, like *Staphylo-
coccus pyogenes*, can colonize the umbilicus shortly after birth without producing any visible
local reaction or giving rise to any illness.

2. Once umbilical colonization has occurred the
organism may lie dormant in the deeper
issues of a healthy baby and lead to a fulminating
septicaemia several weeks later, when the
baby’s association with the hospital has almost
been forgotten. In this connection it is worth
noting that in normal babies unorganized blood
clot may be visible in the umbilical vessels for
as long as 50 days after delivery and fibrous
occlusion may take longer (Chamberlain, 1936).

3. A combined infection with a penicillin-
resistant *Staphylococcus pyogenes* may render
a *Streptococcus pyogenes* infection resistant to
the administration of penicillin given in con-
ventional dosage presumably due to inactivation
by penicillinase. This is well illustrated in
the case of Baby D. with otitis media, described
above. The same phenomenon has been observ-
ed by Gray (1956).

The authors are indebted to Dr. P. Vassal-
Agius for his help with organizing the data which
form the basis of this report. Our thanks are also
due to the local Medical Officers of Health and to
Dr. J. E. Jameson, Director of the Brighton Public
Health Laboratory, for their assistance with bacterio-
logical studies performed on infants in their homes.
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