Key points

- Legislation is currently under proposal in the UK to legalise physician assisted dying.
- The debate regarding physician assisted death is complex involving many legal, ethical, medical, sociocultural, personal, and religious issues.
- Both proponents and opposers of assisted death are able to use fundamental bioethical principles to support their argument: autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice
- The medical profession and wider society as a whole remains divided as to whether physician

- 18 **Georges JJ**, Onwuteaka-Philipsen BD, van der Wal G, *et al*. Differences between terminally ill cancer patients who died after euthanasia had been performed and terminally ill cancer patients who did not request euthanasia. *Palliat Med* 2005;**19**:578–86.
- 19 **Reagan P**, Hurst R, Cook L, *et al*. Physician-assisted death: dying with dignity? *Lancet Neurology* 2004;**2**:637–43.
- 20 **Ganzini L**, Nelson HD, Schimdt TA, *et al*. Physicians experiences with the Oregon Death and Dignity Act. *NEJM* 2000;**342**:557–63.
- 21 **Van der Heide A**, Deliene L, Faisst K, *et al*. End-of-life decision-making in six European countries: descriptive study. *Lancet* 2003;**362**:345–50.
- 22 **Hall M**, Trachtenberg F, Dugan E. The impact on patient trust of legalising physician aid in dying. *J Med Ethics* 2005;**31**:693–7.
- 23 **Her Majesty's Stationery office**. Schedule 1, Article 2.1. Human Rights Act, 1998. <http://www.hmso.gov.uk/acts/acts1998/80042-d.htm#sch1> (accessed 15 Feb 2006).
- 24 **Onwuteaka-Philipsen BD**, van der Heide A, Muller MT, *et al*. Dutch experience of monitoring euthanasia. *BMJ* 2005;**331**:691–3.
- 25 **Nuland SB**. Physician-assisted suicide and euthanasia in practice. *NEJM* 2000;**342**:583–4.
- 26 **Horton R**. Euthanasia and assisted suicide: what does the Dutch vote mean? *Lancet* 2001;**357**:1221–2.
- 27 **Smith R**. A good death. *BMJ* 2000;**320**:129–30.
- 28 **Clark C**. Patient centred death. *BMJ* 2003;**327**:174–5.

CORRECTION

doi: 10.1136/pgmj.2005.041533corr1

An editorial error occurred in this paper by Dr S Khan and others (2006;**82**:353–4). The authors' affiliations should read: S Khan, Department of Immunopathology, St Bartholomew's Hospital, London, UK; S Khan, D Subedi, M M Chowdhury, Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Of Wales, Cardiff, UK.