Acute severe ulcerative colitis: timing is everything
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ABSTRACT

The idiopathic inflammatory bowel diseases comprise mainly two types of intestinal disorder, Crohn disease and ulcerative colitis. The clinical course is marked by exacerbations and remissions that occur spontaneously in response to treatment or intercurrent illness. The disease affects approximately 240 000 patients in the UK. Acute severe ulcerative colitis is a medical emergency; prompt effective treatment at the point of admission can avoid significant morbidity and be potentially life-saving. Although such patients need specialist management, it is imperative that emergency care physicians are aware of the important principles of management of this condition to achieve successful outcomes. Corticosteroids remain the cornerstone of management of this condition to achieve successful outcomes. Specialist management, it is imperative that emergency care physicians are aware of the important principles of management of this condition to achieve successful outcomes.

BACKGROUND

Although most patients will present with mild to moderate UC, 10% of patients present with severe disease. Approximately 15% of patients will develop a severe flare during the course of their lifetime. Natural history studies suggest that 10–40% of patients will undergo a colectomy during the course of their disease. Acute severe UC may be defined by the Truelove and Witts criteria: a stool frequency of six or more per day, with a temperature of >37.8°C, pulse rate >90 beats/min, large amount of blood in stools, haemoglobin concentration <10.5 g/dl or erythrocyte sedimentation rate >50 mm/h (table 1). More recent practice position statements of the European Crohn’s and Colitis Organisation and American College of Gastroenterology define severe colitis similarly as passage of six or more stools with evidence of systemic toxicity (tachycardia, fever, anaemia or elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate). Acute fulminant UC implies progression of inflammation beyond the mucosa and is associated with systemic symptoms. These patients require immediate hospitalisation for intensive management because of the seriousness of their illness. It is also advisable to admit less-sick patients who have failed to respond to 2 weeks of oral corticosteroid therapy. As an initial attack of severe UC may be more dangerous than subsequent flares, there should be a lower threshold to admit patients presenting with bloody diarrhoea. Although there has been a reduction in the mortality from acute severe UC with the adoption of more aggressive monitoring, such as the use of intravenous steroid and improved and timely surgery, this condition is regrettably still associated with measurable mortality.

To optimise the management of acute severe colitis, meticulous attention should be given to confirming the diagnosis, establishing the disease activity, site and extent, and selecting and monitoring the appropriate treatment. A multidisciplinary team led by a gastroenterologist experienced in the management of IBD is best suited to the management of such patients. Throughout the disease course, the patient must be kept fully...
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Truelove and Witts criteria for severe ulcerative colitis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Criterion</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mild</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stools per day</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood in stool</td>
<td>Small/intermittent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin</td>
<td>&gt;75% of normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythrocyte sedimentation rate</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

informed about the disease and be involved in clinical decision making.

**Initial management**

Patients with acute severe UC should be admitted to a specialist gastroenterology ward for joint medical, surgical and nursing care. Criteria for admission are detailed in Table 1.

Early nutritional assessment, an IBD specialist nurse if available, and a counsellor may prove particularly helpful, and also a stoma therapist if a patient is deemed likely to need surgery. A detailed daily clinical assessment should be performed, and an accurate stool chart maintained. Electrolytes and C-reactive protein (CRP) should be checked daily. An abdominal plain radiograph should be obtained to exclude signs of toxic dilatation, as discussed below. This should be done daily for as long as the patient fulfils the criteria for acute severe colitis or in the event of acute deterioration.

It is important to exclude other causes of diarrhoea and bleeding, summarised in box 1. Therefore a stool examination for microscopy, culture and *Clostridium difficile* toxin analysis is imperative. Infective colitis should be excluded in all patients, but especially those with abrupt onset of diarrhoea, vomiting or fever, those with an epidemic or contact history, and those with a recent history of foreign travel. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs may trigger a relapse (flare) of UC, but equally may also be responsible for de novo colitis, which typically remits upon withdrawal of the drugs. Radiation colitis can occur in patients with previous abdominal or pelvic radiation, and ischaemic colitis should be considered in patients with established (or risk factors for) vascular disease and is usually marked by abrupt onset of abdominal pain with bloody diarrhoea.

Endoscopic assessment with a limited, unprepared sigmoidoscopy and biopsies with minimal air insufflation should be performed by an experienced operator to exclude *C difficile* and cytomegalovirus. A full colonoscopy is unnecessary and may be associated with an increased risk of complications. Infective colitis is characterised by crypt architectural preservation at histopathology, whereas crypt distortion is an important differentiating feature of UC.

Serial abdominal radiographs provide vital information in the management of acute UC. The presence of mucosal islands, referred to as ‘thumb printing’ when seen, is a predictor of failure of medical treatment. Severe active disease is characterised by the presence of deep mucosal ulceration or coarse mucosal nodularity. The presence of faecal residue indicates uninfamed colonic mucosa. Proximal constipation is often seen in left-sided or distal colitis, which often exacerbates distal disease and paradoxically requires laxatives in addition to standard treatment. Measurement of the transverse colonic and caecal diameter gives important clues to the presence of colonic dilatation (diameter >5.5 cm) and impending toxic dilatation. An erect chest radiograph or a lateral decubitus abdominal film may confirm colonic perforation.

### Box 1 Differential diagnoses of diarrhoea with rectal bleeding

- **Idiopathic Inflammatory bowel disease**
  - Crohn disease
  - Ulcerative colitis
  - Indeterminate colitis
- **Infection**
  - *Clostridium difficile*
  - Campylobacter
  - Salmonella
  - Shigella
  - *Escherichia coli* (0157:H7/VTEC)
  - *Yersinia*
  - *Aeromonas*
  - *Listeria*
  - *Amoebiasis*
  - *Tuberculosis*
  - *Schistosomiasis*
  - Herpes and cytomegalovirus infection in immunocompromised
- **Drug induced**
  - Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medication
  - Antibiotics
  - Gold
- **Miscellaneous**
  - Ischaemic colitis
  - Radiation colitis
  - Vasculitis (polyarteritis nodosa, Behcet’s disease)
  - Diverticulitis
  - Colorectal cancer

**Supportive therapy**

Although the cornerstone of management of acute severe UC remains the use of corticosteroids, meticulous attention to supportive management is vital for success of any pharmacological intervention.

Most patients will need intravenous fluids with correction of electrolyte imbalance; in particular, serum potassium should be maintained above 4 mmol/l as hypokalaemia may predispose to colonic dilatation, with most patients requiring routine replacement of 60 mmol/l potassium in 24 h. A blood transfusion should be considered for patients with haemoglobin concentration <10 g/dl. Oral iron therapy is probably best avoided, with anecdotal reports suggesting that it can aggravate mucosal inflammation through oxidative stress from oxygen free radicals, the ‘Fenton’ reaction.

There is no proven advantage to the routine use of bowel rest or parenteral nutrition, although nutritional assessment and optimisation of nutritional status is important for successful outcome. Patients with acute severe UC are at high risk of venous thromboembolism, and efforts to minimise this with the use of compression stockings and low molecular weight heparin are appropriate. The routine use of intravenous antibiotics offers no therapeutic advantage in uncomplicated colitis, although they may be appropriate in patients with incipient perforation or toxic megacolon or in those in whom infection is suspected. There is no evidence that 5-aminosalicylates have any effect on disease activity in the setting of acute severe UC and may in fact be
associated with a paradoxical worsening of disease, therefore treatment with these agents should be withheld until the acute episode has resolved. In addition, antidiarrhoal agents and anticholinergic agents and opiates should be avoided, as they may prove harmful by decreasing colonic motility and increasing the risk of colonic dilatation and toxic megacolon.6 7

The principles of supportive therapy in acute severe UC are summarised in box 2.

**Corticosteroid therapy**

Intravenous corticosteroid therapy in the form of hydrocortisone (300–400 mg daily in divided doses) or methylprednisolone (40–60 mg/day) should be administered. No real advantage can be gained from giving higher doses. Some clinicians may also use prednisolone 20 mg or hydrocortisone 100 mg in 200 ml water given rectally via a soft catheter and intravenous set twice daily with the patient in the left lateral position, but the value of this regimen is unproven.

Most patients will respond to intravenous steroid therapy and may be switched to oral prednisolone (40–60 mg daily with taper over the next 2–3 months). Approximately 50% of patients will fail to respond to this strategy and are termed ‘steroid refractory’.15 Patients who do not respond to steroid therapy by day 3–5 pose a significant therapeutic challenge, emphasising the importance of contingency planning and the collaborative efforts of a multidisciplinary approach involving the gastroenterologist, an experienced surgeon and the patient. Therapeutic options are generally limited to continued rescue medical therapy with ciclosporin or infliximab or colectomy. Clinical and laboratory variables are often used to make decisions about early failure of corticosteroid therapy.17 18 One of the most useful algorithms is the Travis criterion (box 3); at day 5 of corticosteroid therapy, patients who have a stool frequency of more than eight per day or a stool frequency of three per day plus CRP >45 mg/dl have an 85% likelihood of requiring colectomy during the admission.19

Other studies have also demonstrated the importance of a time-bound approach in determining steroid unresponsiveness.

**Box 2 Principles of management (supportive therapy) in acute severe ulcerative colitis**

- Admit to a specialist gastroenterological ward for multidisciplinary care (joint medical and surgical)
- Daily clinical assessment and examination
- Daily electrolytes and C-reactive protein
- Nutritional assessment and optimisation of nutritional status
- Bowel rest is of no proven benefit
- Flexible sigmoidoscopy (preferably unprepared) at the earliest opportunity
- Fluid resuscitation as required
- Correction of electrolyte abnormalities
- Blood transfusion if haemoglobin concentration drops below 10.5 g/dl
- Prophylaxis against venous thromboembolism with low molecular weight heparin
- Empirical antibiotics are of no benefit, except where infection is suspected, or there is incipient perforation or toxic megacolon.
- Avoid anticholinergics, opiates and antidiarrhoal agents, as they increase the risk of colonic dilatation and perforation

Lindgren and colleagues prospectively studied 97 episodes of admissions with acute severe UC and suggested a mathematical model to predict colectomy: (Number of stools in 24 h +0.14×CRP (mg/l)) >8 predicted a 72% colectomy rate.20

Ho and colleagues retrospectively studied 167 episodes of acute severe UC21 and identified a number of criteria with a score predicting colectomy or need for rescue therapy in steroid-unresponsive patients. Number of stools (score 1–4), hypoalbuminaemia <30 g/l (score 1) and colonic dilatation >5.5 cm (score 4) were noted as predictors, with 85% of patients with a score of 4 or more needing colectomy or second-line therapy.22

The decision regarding colectomy or continued salvage medical therapy and choice of such treatment (infliximab or ciclosporin) should be made within the first 5–5 days to ensure favourable outcome. Although medical therapy for steroid-refractory UC has expanded in recent years with the availability of ciclosporin and infliximab, surgery remains the only curative option. We discuss below the data supporting the use of both drugs and comparison with long-term outcomes with restorative proctocolectomy and ileal pouch–anal anastomosis (IPAA).

**Ciclosporin in acute severe colitis**

Ciclosporin A (CyA) is an inhibitor of the transcription factor, nuclear factor kappa B of activated T cells and acts mainly by attenuation of production of interleukin-2 by activated T cells. It can be an effective rescue therapy in acute severe UC when used judiciously in experienced hands.

The efficacy of CyA in acute steroid-refractory UC was demonstrated definitively in the landmark study by Lichtiger et al24 in 1994 when nine of 11 patients with steroid-refractory UC who received CyA (4 mg/kg) as a continuous intravenous infusion improved, whereas all nine patients who received placebo showed no improvement.22 Three of 11 and four of nine patients underwent colectomy in the CyA and placebo groups, respectively. This trial is often criticised for small patient numbers, but it is important to point out that the study was stopped early by the safety committee because the investigators had demonstrated a clear difference in efficacy in the CyA group.

There have been at least 30 open label trials since then demonstrating clinical response in at least two-thirds of patients, with the use of CyA and systematic reviews supporting its efficacy as rescue therapy in acute steroid-refractory UC.

CyA has also shown similar efficacy to intravenous methylprednisolone for the induction of remission in acute UC in a randomised trial,26 suggesting that CyA monotherapy may be an option for patients with acute severe UC who have contraindications to steroid therapy, such as steroid psychosis, avascular necrosis and severe osteoporosis. It is also worth mentioning here that a number of patients for whom...
monotherapy with corticosteroid or CyA failed responded to combination therapy, suggesting that combination therapy may be more effective.

The 4 mg/kg dose used by the initial and subsequent trials was associated with a number of side effects and toxicity. Van Assche and colleagues in a landmark randomised controlled trial compared 4 mg/kg with 2 mg/kg intravenous CyA and showed equal efficacy for the two treatment groups in treating severe steroid-refractory UC.27 Response rates at day 8 were similar in the two groups at 82% and 85%, respectively. Other studies have substantiated this claim using low-dose CyA as monotherapy without initial or concurrent steroids followed by early introduction of azathioprine (AZA), demonstrating that low-dose CyA is associated with minimal morbidity and no mortality.28 29

Although the data presented above would suggest that CyA is an attractive therapeutic option in experienced hands, an important measure of successful therapy is the avoidance of, or time to, colectomy. Several studies have attempted to describe the long-term efficacy in patients treated with CyA for steroid-refractory UC. Campbell et al conducted a retrospective review of 76 patients treated over 7 years and found that 56 (74%) achieved initial remission, while 20 (26%) underwent surgery during the same admission.30 Of the 56 patients in remission, 65% relapsed in 1 year and 58% underwent a colectomy at 7 years. In a study by Actis et al, 60% of patients with steroid-refractory UC responded to CyA, and, of these initial responders, 60% were colectomy-free at 1 year and 35% were colectomy-free at 7 years.31

Long-term data on colectomy-free survival suggest a high failure rate after CyA salvage therapy.32 Shah et al showed an 86% response rate with intravenous CyA (56 of 62 patients), with 25 of these 42 patients avoiding surgery at 25 months follow-up. Nineteen of these 25 initial responders were noted to be colectomy-free at a median of 81 months, and an overall 45% of initial patients did not require surgery after 75 months.31

In one study, concurrent immunosuppression with thiopurines (AZA/mercaptopurine) appears to have an important effect on long-term efficacy of CyA. Patients receiving CyA and AZA had a 66% probability of avoiding colectomy at follow-up (5.5 years) as opposed to 40% in the group that received CyA alone. Among initial responders to CyA, a 71% response was noted in the combination group as opposed to 55% in those who received CyA alone. In this study 52% started AZA with CyA therapy, 28% received AZA a mean of 15 months before CyA treatment, 8% had AZA upon stopping ciclosporin, and the rest started AZA ~8 months after stopping CyA.32

Data on patients already taking immunomodulators at the time of CyA therapy for acute severe UC have shown a high likelihood of requiring colectomy.32 In the study by Moskovitz et al, 77% of patients taking AZA on admission with acute severe UC required colectomy; 88% needed colectomy within 12 months of receiving CyA in this group. This was in contrast with 55% of patients who were given AZA during their admission when administered CyA therapy and needed colectomy.32

It seems fairly clear from the available data that the long-term outcome for fulminant UC is improved by the introduction of thiopurine immunomodulatory therapy and several months of oral ciclosporin as bridging therapy when using CyA as rescue treatment.

Is it possible to identify predictive factors for response to ciclosporin? Cacheux and colleagues34 identified that a body temperature >37.5°C and pulse >90 beats/minute with CRP >45 mg/dl predicted colectomy in CyA-treated patients. Colectomy rates were noted to be 0.22, 0.47, 0.55 and 0.90 when 0, 1, 2 and 3 of these factors were present. Endoscopic lesions in the form of deep ulceration or mucosal abrasion were independent risk factors for colectomy, with colectomy rates of 72% at 6 months in patients who had severe endoscopic lesions as against 42% in those who did not have them.

One of the most important considerations with regard to CyA therapy in acute severe UC is its potential for toxicity. Large case series have reported a significant toxicity profile, with serious infections in 5% of patients and mortality in 1–3%.29 30 35–37 Major adverse events related to CyA therapy include nephrotoxicity (6.5%), seizures (3.6%), anaphylaxis (0.9%) and death (1.8%).37 Minor but important adverse events include parasthesia, hypertension, hypertrichosis, headache, minor infections, hyperkalaemia, hypomagnesaemia and gingival swelling.

Many of the reported toxicities can be avoided. The use of prophylactic sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim may prevent Pneumocystis carinii infection.36 37 The risk of seizures can be mitigated by adequate control of blood pressure, correction of hypomagnesaemia, adequate monitoring of CyA concentrations, and using the drug with caution in patients with low serum cholesterol.38 The risk of renal toxicity may be reduced by using a lower dose, although cases of irreversible renal impairment have been reported.39 Seizure risk in patients with hypomagnesaemia or hypocholesterolaemia may be reduced if the oral microemulsion form of CyA is used at a dose of 5 mg/kg/day, which appears to be equivalent to the intravenous dose, providing a possibly safer alternative in such patients.40 41 Treatment with CyA does not appear to increase operative mortality in patients subsequently requiring restorative proctocolectomy.42 A 56% postoperative complication rate has been reported with CyA, which is similar to the complication rates for patients undergoing colectomy and not treated previously with CyA.44

Practical considerations when using CyA deserve mention here. The initial dose is 2 mg/kg/day intravenously with a target CyA concentration of between 150 and 250 ng/ml by monochlonal assay. Patients who respond will be converted to an oral dose twice the intravenous dose and administered orally in divided doses twice daily with a trough concentration of 100–200 ng/ml. An exit strategy in the form of AZA or 6-mercaptopurine should be initiated during hospitalisation and continued in the outpatient setting. Prophylaxis for P carinii should be initiated for the duration of dual therapy for 3–6 months, after which patients may be able to stop CyA therapy and continue AZA.

The well-timed use of CyA in the management of acute severe UC is safe and effective with impressive outcomes in experienced hands.

Tacrolimus
Tacrolimus (FK506) is an alternative calcineurin inhibitor with a similar side effect profile to CyA. It can be administered by the oral route and has a reliable bioavailability. Data on tacrolimus in steroid-refractory UC are limited, with a single randomised controlled trial of 63 patients showing an improvement in 68% of patients randomised to receive tacrolimus as opposed to 10% response in the placebo group.45 Tacrolimus rescue therapy is recognised by European Crohn’s and Colitis Organisation guidelines.7

Infliximab in acute severe UC
Infliximab is a chimeric IgG1 monoclonal antibody that specifically targets free and membrane-bound tumour necrosis factor-α. It was initially licensed as treatment for moderate to
severely active CD and approved subsequently for use in moderate to severely active UC after two large placebo-controlled trials, the Active UC trial (Act I & II), demonstrated efficacy. Several other studies have examined infliximab as an effective rescue therapy in acute severe UC. Järnero et al randomised 45 patients with acute severe steroid-refractory UC to a single infusion of infliximab (5 mg/kg) or placebo 4 days after initiating steroids. Seven of 24 patients in the infliximab group (29%) and 14 of 21 patients in the placebo group had a colectomy within 3 months of randomisation. No long-term follow-up data were provided.

Lees et al reported that approximately a third of patients treated with infliximab went on to have a colectomy during the acute admission. In a study by Kohn et al of 83 patients with steroid-refractory UC treated with infliximab, 15% underwent colectomy in 2 months and 58 patients avoided colectomy at a median 23 months follow-up. The investigators noted that two infusions appeared more effective than a single dose. Jakobovits et al from Oxford reported that 47% of patients had avoided colectomy after their first infusion at a median of 140 days, and 17% of these sustained a steroid-free remission. A Belgian study reported their experience with acute severe UC treated with infliximab. Single-dose infliximab was used in 84 patients, and 57 patients received a three-dose induction at 0.2 and 6 weeks. Two-thirds of these patients were receiving immunomodulators. An early response was seen in 68% of patients, the majority of whom were younger than non-responders. A p-ANCA (protoplasmic-staining antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies) positivity and/or ASCA (anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae mannan antibodies)-negative serotype was associated with a suboptimal response. A meta-analysis by Gisbert and colleagues concluded that infliximab may improve short- and long-term remission rates in patients with moderate to severe UC. It would appear from the evidence available that infliximab may benefit up to two-thirds of patients with acute severe steroid-refractory UC in the short term; it probably works less well in the sickest of patients.

Toxicity is a concern with infliximab as it is with CyA. Areas of concern are infections, lymphoma, drug-induced liver injury and demyelinating disease. It is also to be avoided in patients with severe congestive cardiac failure.

Does infliximab therapy impact on surgical outcomes and perioperative complications? The evidence is conflicting, with some earlier studies in patients with CD reporting no increase in early postoperative complications, and other investigators reporting a risk of early readmission, intra-abdominal abscess and postoperative complications. Studies in patients with UC have reported an increase in postoperative complications in patients treated preoperatively with infliximab and CyA but not in those treated with infliximab. It seems clear that there is no role for combination therapy with infliximab and CyA in acute steroid-refractory UC. The combination is associated with higher morbidity and an up to 80% rate of infectious and surgical complications. Further, CyA and infliximab as rescue therapy for each other cannot be recommended, with a recent study demonstrating an increased risk of serious side effects (16% patients) including one death.

The decision on whether to use CyA or infliximab is largely based on physician experience, and, although most gastroenterologists are comfortable with infliximab because of its widespread use in CD, the available data for sicker patients are more robust for CyA, especially for patients with severe steroid-refractory UC. For patients with their first episode of acute severe UC who are naïve to thiopurine therapy, there are sufficient data suggesting that the use of CyA followed by the introduction of AZA while the patient is still in hospital with 3 months of oral bridging therapy with oral CyA is effective as discussed above. In patients already receiving AZA, infliximab is more successful. A head-to-head trial comparing infliximab with CyA would provide much needed data and clarity to help resolve this important debate. The results of the GETAID and CONSTRUCT trials investigating this issue are eagerly awaited.

Colectomy for acute severe UC

That UC is a surgically curable disease is an irrefutable fact. Surgical removal of the colon, proximal rectum and distal rectal mucosa provides a definitive means of treating acute colitis, thereby eliminating diseased tissue and any future risk of recurrence and malignancy. Surgery decreases mortality and may improve quality of life, but carries a significant risk of perioperative complications and functional outcomes that may not always be acceptable to all patients. Emergency indications for surgery include toxic dilatation, haemorrhage, intestinal perforation or systemic instability, whereas a severe attack of UC ‘unresponsive to medical therapy’ represents an urgent indication, a term itself that needs more precise definition. Delaying surgery in patients with worsening symptoms or development of clinical instability can lead to dire consequences, with the risk of adverse outcomes including death. This underpins the importance of contingency planning with an ‘exit strategy’ in steroid-refractory patients by day 3 of admission.

Restorative proctocolectomy has evolved as a procedure of choice for patients with acute severe UC. Subtotal colectomy and ileostomy is the procedure of choice in this situation, with the surgeon’s objective being to perform a low-risk operation without pelvic dissection, and a more definitive procedure, namely a staged reconstructive procedure with IPAA or definitive total proctocolectomy, is performed when the patient’s nutritional status has improved and immunosuppressive drugs are no longer being taken. Typically this is a three-stage procedure taking ~3 months to complete.

Colectomy does carry some risk of complications and morbidity, albeit less than the futile continuation of medical therapy in unstable patients. Reported complications include abscess (11.6%), fistulas (4.2%) and bacteraemia/sepsis (7.9%). Pouchitis is the most important complication of pouch reconstruction, which may occur in up to 50% of patients within 10 years, with other pouch-related disorders, such as pouch dysfunction and cuffitis, also being described. Functional outcomes after an IPAA are generally good, with a median bowel frequency of six motions per day. Intermittent urgency (23%), evacuation difficulties (12%) and intermittent soiling at night (52% male, 32% female patients) have been reported. Decreased fertility after pouch surgery is also increasingly being recognised, with the risk of female infertility being reported as high as threefold. It may be appropriate therefore for women to delay restorative IPAA until after childbearing years.

Patients should be carefully counselled, with equal weight being given to the positive aspects of surgery, such as cure of UC and elimination of the risk of colorectal cancer, and potential complications and functional outcomes when the data on surgical outcomes are presented. The collaborative efforts of a multidisciplinary team approach (gastroenterologist, surgeon, psychologist, stoma therapist) cannot be overemphasised.

Novel therapies

The treatment of severe steroid-refractory UC remains suboptimal, and more effective treatment options are needed. Novel
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approaches to treatment have been tested in a number of uncontrolled trials. A single infusion of basilixumab (antibody to proinflammatory cytokine IL-2), for example, showed promise, with a 90% remission at 8 weeks, but the effect was not sustained. 65, 66

In an open label study of visilixumab (IgG2 humanised antibody to CD3 expressed on human T lymphocytes) in 32 patients, a 30-day remission rate of 40% was reported. 67 Phase III trials have been limited owing to concerns regarding safety and lack of efficacy. Several other agents such as cyclophosphamide, thalidomide, interferon β, phosphatidylcholine and leucocyte apheresis are being studied and may present attractive treatment options in the future. 68–72

CONCLUSION

Acute severe UC is a medical emergency requiring hospitalisation for intensive monitoring and therapy under a gastroenterologist with experience in managing such patients. The cornerstone of treatment is the use of intravenous corticosteroids, but ~30% will prove refractory to therapy and require the expertise of a multidisciplinary approach with the patient, gastroenterologist, colorectal surgeon, stoma therapist, nutritionist and, if available, a psychologist. The critical decision for patients who have not responded to corticosteroids by day 5 is whether it is appropriate to push the limits of medical ‘rescue therapy’ without compromising the health or safety of the patient or offer timely surgery. Both ciclosporin and infliximab appear safe and effective when used appropriately and in experienced hands. Ciclosporin is an attractive option in AZA-naive patients who can be effectively bridged to purine immunomodulator maintenance therapy. In patients already receiving thiopurine therapy, CyA is less effective, and it may be more appropriate to use infliximab. Infliximab does appear less effective in very sick patients. Colectomy is a definitive means of treating acute colitis, thereby eliminating diseased tissue and any future risk of recurrence and malignancy, but carries important functional and psychological implications, which deserve due consideration. The improved understanding of the immunopathogenesis of gut inflammation and repair has translated into bolder attempts at the manipulation of the cytokine profile, which now enter medical territory. A key and unanswered question is whether this will translate into timely and meaningful control of disease. The jury is still out. Meanwhile, the clock starts ticking the moment a patient with acute severe colitis is hospitalised, and, with respect to investigation and treatment, timing is everything.

Main messages

- Intravenous corticosteroid therapy forms the cornerstone of treatment for patients admitted with acute severe ulcerative colitis.
- Early liaison with colorectal surgeons and for steroid non-responders by day 3—a also a stoma therapist and psychologist—is appropriate in anticipation of the need for colectomy if required.
- A time-bound approach for steroid non-responders between days 3 and 5 assessing predictors of response is critical to favourable outcomes. Infliximab, ciclosporin or surgery?
- Delaying or denying colectomy to the patient ‘failing’ medical treatment may lead to adverse outcomes.
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Current research questions

- Infliximab or ciclosporin for acute severe ulcerative colitis? Results from two head-to-head trials comparing efficacy are awaited.
- Controlled data from trials evaluating novel and experimental therapies are needed.
- Will genotype predict disease course, response to treatment, or the timing and need for colectomy?
- What is the optimal timing for colectomy?
- Additional data on efficacy and safety of current therapies in the short and long term are needed.

SELF-ASSESSMENT QUESTIONS (TRUE (T)/FALSE (F); ANSWERS AFTER THE REFERENCES)

1. In the assessment of acute severe UC a colonoscopy is mandatory.
2. S-Aminosalicylate therapy should be prescribed or continued in all patients with acute severe UC admitted to hospital.
3. Ciclosporin therapy is not the best option for steroid-refractory patients with acute severe UC already taking azathioprine.
4. Combination therapy with infliximab and ciclosporin A in acute severe UC is more effective and associated with lower morbidity.
5. Despite the availability of modern and effective therapy for steroid-refractory acute severe UC, timely consideration of colectomy is appropriate.
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