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ABSTRACT
The growing popularity of activities such as hiking, climbing, skiing and snowboarding has ensured that the number of visitors to mountain environments continues to increase. Since such areas place enormous physical demands on individuals, it is inevitable that deaths will occur. Differences in the activities, conditions and methods of calculation make meaningful mortality rates difficult to obtain. However, it is clear that the mortality rate for some mountain activities is comparable to hang gliding, parachuting, boxing and other pastimes that are traditionally viewed as dangerous. Deaths in the mountains are most commonly due to trauma, high altitude illness, cold injury, avalanche burial and sudden cardiac death. This review describes the mortality rates of those who undertake recreational activities in the mountains and examines the aetiology that lies behind them.

CALCULATING THE MORTALITY RATE IN A MOUNTAINOUS ENVIRONMENT
Obtaining a meaningful and accurate picture of mortality in the mountains is not a straightforward task. While the number of deaths for any given activity provides a basic level of factual information, this does little to identify the degree of risk that an individual is exposed to. Although 58 more deaths were attributed to swimming than mountaineering in England and Wales between 1982 and 1988, this does not necessarily imply that swimming is more dangerous. Instead it may simply reflect the fact that more individuals participate in swimming than mountaineering activities. In a similar way, the increase seen in mountaineering fatalities in the USA over the last 50 years does not necessarily suggest that mountaineering has become more hazardous, but may instead reflect the growing number of participants.

In order to determine the mortality rate of different mountain activities, researchers have adopted two methods of calculation, and these are described below.

The number of deaths divided by the total number of individuals exposed
In 1988, Pollard and Clarke published a short report in the Lancet that identified 23 fatalities that had occurred on 83 expeditions to mountains above 7000 m. Since these expeditions had 533 members, a mortality rate of 4.3 per 100 mountaineers was cited. However, since many of these expeditions were focused upon dangerous and technically challenging objectives like K2, this rate was somewhat higher than that found in subsequent studies. For many years the historian Elizabeth Hawley and her colleagues in Kathmandu have diligently assembled a comprehensive database containing information on the vast majority of mountaineering expeditions undertaken in the Nepali Himalaya. Between 1990 and 2006, Hawley and her colleague Richard Salisbury identified mortality rates of between 0 and 1.26 deaths for every 100 mountaineers climbing above 6000 m (table 1). On Mt Everest the mortality rate among those whoascend above base camp is 1.3%, with the majority of deaths (82.3%) occurring during or following the day of a summit attempt. The mortality rate among trekkers in Nepal is significantly lower. Between 1984 and 1987 only 23 deaths were reported. Since approximately 148 000 individuals were issued with trekking permits from the Nepali Ministry of Tourism, the mortality rates during two periods between 1984 and 1991 have been calculated as 0.014 and 0.015 per 100
The mortality rate is calculated by dividing the number of deaths by the total number of individuals who have climbed above a designated base camp and multiplying by 100.

### Table 1  The mortality rate for mountaineers climbing above 6000 m in the Nepali Himalaya between 1990 and 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak altitude range</th>
<th>Individuals above base camp</th>
<th>Deaths above base camp</th>
<th>Mortality rate (/100 individuals above base camp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6000–6499</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6500–6999</td>
<td>4509</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7000–7499</td>
<td>3814</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7500–7999</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8000–8499</td>
<td>9365</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8500–8850</td>
<td>10780</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30141</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of deaths for every 1 000 000 days of exposure to a specific mountain activity

Among skiers and snowboarders, the mortality rate calculated by this method ranges from 0.11 in long distance cross country ski races in Sweden to 2.46 deaths per million exposure days in the downhill ski resorts of Utah, USA. The mortality rates of trekkers and mountaineers is greater, with 11 deaths occurring during trekking in Nepal and 1870 deaths per 1 000 000 exposure days of mountaineering on Mt Cook (table 3).

While the mortality rate of trekkers in Nepal is similar to that found in other activities such as marathon running and motorcycling, the mortality rate of mountaineers is significantly higher. This is underlined by a recent follow-up study conducted on 46 experienced mountaineers in New Zealand: in just years, four (9%) had died during mountaineering and seven (15%) had retired from the sport.

Despite the widespread use of this calculation, obtaining the mortality rate in this way can be problematic. In small regional studies, information on the number of deaths is usually obtained from local sources. Although these give a highly accurate summary of the deaths that occur within these areas, they can sometimes overlook those that die later following a successful evacuation. Larger studies are also limited, since they often rely upon coroners’ reports and assume that the location and activity of the victim before the fatal event is accurately recorded. Calculating the total number of exposure days is also problematic. In studies that calculate skiing and snowboarding mortality, the denominator for the calculation is obtained from either an estimate obtained from a local source such as a park service or from the numbers of admission tickets sold for a given year. These figures tend to ignore those who either work in the region, local residents who possess season tickets, or those who choose to ski off piste and away from designated downhill runs. Despite including the deaths of 100 cross country skiers in their analysis, Xiang et al acknowledged that it was impossible to measure accurately the amount of exposure these individuals had encountered.

Studies that have focused upon trekking and mountaineering have also encountered methodological difficulties. Avery et al used the data from the national census in order to identify the amount of time the population of England and Wales spent mountaineering. Clearly, this relies upon an individual’s own estimate and can therefore be prone to some degree of inaccuracy. Other studies have either used the number of days spent on the mountain or the nights spent in a hut to estimate the amount of exposure. Malcolm, in his study of deaths among mountaineers on Mt Cook, assumed that for every day of mountaineering three nights were spent in one of seven huts on the mountain. While this may have been a close approximation to the degree of mountaineering exposure, Malcolm focused upon a period of time when the mountaineer was faced with the greatest danger. It was therefore inevitable that the results from Mt Cook should appear so striking. In contrast, McIntosh et al in their recent study of mortality on Denali included the total amount of time spent on the mountain. This not only included time spent on the dangerous upper slopes, but also the approach to the mountain from the base camp at 2100 m. Clearly, mortality rates will vary depending upon the locations investigators wish to include. Inevitably this makes comparisons between different studies difficult to make.

Both of the methods described here have significant flaws. However, both can be useful and provide answers to a number of questions. Future studies should consider using both
approaches in order to provide the most comprehensive analysis.

**MECHANISMS OF MOUNTAIN MORTALITY**

While no single method of measuring mortality rate is ideal, it is clear that a considerable number of deaths occur in mountain regions. How do they die?

**Trauma**

It is perhaps not surprising to learn that skiers, snowboarders, trekkers and mountaineers die in different ways. Fatalities in downhill skiing and ski jumping tend to occur as a result of a fall or a collision with either a tree, post or other skiers (table 4). 

In these cases deaths tend to be due to multiple blunt trauma to the head, chest and abdomen. 

Deaths among trekkers and mountaineers are largely due to falls and tend to result in a similar pattern of injury. 

In a study of mountaineering and rock climbing injuries in the USA, Addiss and colleagues were able to demonstrate that the median distance of a fatal fall was 91 m compared to a non-fatal fall of just 9 m (p<0.001). The risk of death was influenced by the angle of the slope, the terrain and the efforts made by the victim and climbing partner to arrest the fall.

The pattern of deaths among mountaineers is also related to the time spent in certain areas. Sherpa mountaineers working on the Nepali side of Mt Everest spend considerable amounts of time carrying equipment through the dangerous Khumbu Icefall and other avalanche prone areas in the Western Cwm. This leads to a considerable number of deaths caused by falling snow, ice and rock on lower sections of the route.

Cross country skiers and mountaineers are at a much greater risk from the dangers of rockfall, icefall and avalanches than those who prefer to stay within the confines of conventional ski runs. Between 1994 and 2003, the median annual mortality from snow avalanches in Europe and North America was 141.

The majority of these victims died from asphyxia rather than the result of traumatic injuries that had occurred during snow burial. In a recent analysis of 86 avalanche fatalities, a team from the University of Innsbruck identified a traumatic cause of death in only two victims (5.6%). The remainder were found to have died either from hypothermia (2.8%) or asphyxia (91.6%).

Following a complete snow burial, death from asphyxia is rapid with approximately 60% of those buried in an avalanche dying within 15–35 min.

**Cold injury**

Since ambient temperature falls by approximately 5.5°C for every 1000 m of altitude, it is inevitable that the cold will contribute to a number of deaths in the mountains. Deaths caused by hypothermia tend to occur as a result of an unexpected event such as a musculoskeletal injury or an episode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Era</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Deaths</th>
<th>Collision</th>
<th>Fall</th>
<th>Avalanche</th>
<th>Hypothermia</th>
<th>Medical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avery et al (1990)†</td>
<td>England and Wales</td>
<td>1982–1988</td>
<td>Mountaineering</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corra et al (2004)†</td>
<td>South Tyrol, Italy</td>
<td>2001–2002</td>
<td>Skiing and snowboarding</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farahmand et al (2005)†</td>
<td>Vasaloppe, Sweden</td>
<td>1970–2005</td>
<td>Skiing</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McIntosh et al (2008)†</td>
<td>Mt McKinley National Park, USA</td>
<td>1990–2006</td>
<td>Mountaineering</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrow (1988)†</td>
<td>Vermont, USA</td>
<td>1979–1986</td>
<td>Skiing</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherry and Clout (1988)‡</td>
<td>Snowy Mountains, Australia</td>
<td>1956–1987</td>
<td>Skiing</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiang et al (2003)‡</td>
<td>Colorado, USA</td>
<td>1980–2001</td>
<td>Skiing and snowboarding</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0.53–1.88*</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The range of annual mortality rates obtained per 1 000 000 skier visits to Colorado between 1980 and 2001. †Calculated by McIntosh et al."
Key learning points

- Obtaining a meaningful and accurate picture of mortality in the mountains is not a straightforward task.
- In mountain activities the mortality rate is calculated in two ways: the number of deaths divided by the total number of individuals exposed, or as the number of deaths for every 1 000 000 days of exposure to a specific mountain activity.
- The reported mortality rate among skiers and snowboarders is 0.11 and 2.46 deaths for every million days of exposure, while the mortality rate among mountaineers is 2.3 to 1870 deaths for every million days of exposure.
- Deaths in the mountains are most commonly due to trauma, high altitude illness, cold injury, avalanche burial, and sudden cardiac death.

High altitude illness

An ascent to altitude results in a fall in barometric pressure and a subsequent reduction in the partial pressure of inspired oxygen. In order to cope with this change the human body undergoes a process of acclimatisation. In those who ascend rapidly, this can be incomplete and result in the development of life threatening conditions such as high altitude pulmonary oedema (HAPE) and high altitude cerebral oedema (HACE). In a study of Indian soldiers, 5.7% of those who were flown to altitudes of 3500 m contracted HAPE compared to just 0.3% who completed the same journey by road. The incidence of these conditions also increases with altitude. On arrival at 3068 m, 0.1% of lowland residents had evidence of HAPE compared to 5.3% at 4486 m. In a number of cases, HAPE and HACE coexist. Up to 20% of those who present with HAPE also subsequently results in hypothermia.

Sudden cardiac death

While HAPE and HACE tend to figure highly among non-traumatic deaths on the highest peaks, at lower altitudes sudden cardiac death (SCD) appears to be more prevalent. The reported incidence of SCD in mountain regions ranges from approximately 1 to 10 per million person days activity in the mountains. In adults, vigorous exercise, such as that seen in mountain activities, is associated with an increase in the incidence of SCD. Vigorous physical exertion has been reported to have occurred within 1 h of myocardial infarction in 4–10% of cases. Studies of long distance skiers and runners demonstrate that the incidence of SCD in adults is between 5–50 times greater during exercise than during sedentary activities. In most cases SCD is due to coronary artery disease and is reflected by the sex and age distribution of those who die from the condition. Sudden cardiac death (SCD) in mountain regions ranges from approximately 1 to 10 i per million days of exposure. Among the 38 SCDs identified in the Tyrolean Alps between 1999 and 2002, 86% did not take regular physical activity. Vigorous exercise has a profound effect upon the autonomic nervous system, prompting changes in myocardial electrical stability and increases in an individual’s susceptibility to fatal arrhythmias. Fortunately, regular exercise not only increases basal vagal tone and enhances electrical stability, but also prevents the development of coronary artery disease and the formation of vulnerable plaques that are prone to rupture during surges in sympathetic activity. Unfortunately, many heading to the mountains are sedentary and prone to formation of vulnerable plaques that are prone to rupture during surges in sympathetic activity. Despite regular exercise, SCD in mountain regions is not a straightforward task.

CONCLUSION

Although only a small number of deaths occur in the mountain environment, their unexpected nature raises a considerable amount of concern in wider society. In order to devise strategies to prevent these deaths, it is important that mortality rates can be calculated. However, differences in the activities, environmental conditions and methods of calculation often make these results difficult to interpret. Nevertheless, a number of conclusions can be drawn. Firstly, the mortality rate among skiers and snowboarders occupies a narrow range: between 0.11–2.46 deaths for every million days of exposure. Secondly, the mortality rate for mountaineers is greater and varies enormously: 2.3 to 1870 deaths for every million days of exposure. This was calculated from Bartscher et al’s results that showed one SCD for every 780 000 hiking hours. It assumed that 8 h of hiking was equivalent to 1 day of activity in the mountains.

Current research questions

- How do differences in activities and environmental factors impact upon mortality rates in the mountain environment?
- How can deaths from trauma, cold injury, high altitude illness and sudden cardiac death be reduced in the mountain environment?
Introduction

Mountain regions: A. Occupies 40 million km²
B. Account for 2.7% of the earth’s surface
C. Attract 100 million visitors for work and recreation each year
D. Above 8000 feet (2667 m) are home to 0.38 million people
E. In Nepal have seen the numbers of visitors fall over the last two decades

The mortality rate calculated by dividing the number of deaths by the total number of individuals exposed: A. Has increased among US mountaineers over the last 50 years
B. Is higher in swimmers than mountaineers
C. Has been falling on Denali
D. Ranges from 10 to 12.6 deaths for every 100 mountaineers climbing above 6000 m in the Himalayas
E. Is 13% on Mt Everest

In the mountains: A. Deaths caused by avalanches are normally due to trauma
B. Deaths among sherpas on Mt Everest tend to occur low on the mountain
C. Cross country skiers and mountaineers are at a much greater risk from the dangers of rockfall, icefall and avalanches than those who prefer to stay within the confines of conventional ski runs
D. Ambient temperature falls by approximately 0.5°C for every 1000 m of altitude
E. 60% of those buried in an avalanche die within 15–35 min


**Answers**

1. A (T); B (F); C (T); D (F); E (F)
2. A (F); B (F); C (F); D (F); E (T)
3. A (F); B (T); C (T); D (F); E (T)
4. A (T); B (F); C (T); D (F); E (T)
5. A (F); B (T); C (T); D (F); E (T)
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