Hypervirulent strains of Clostridium difficile
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North America has seen increasing numbers of hospitalised patients and others in nursing homes and the community, with more severe Clostridium difficile associated diarrhoea. This is also described in Northern Europe and surveillance systems are being developed or improved to monitor the situation. One strain (ribotype O27) is described in detail and, like other emerging strains, is demonstrating increasing antimicrobial resistance, notably to quinolone antibiotics. However, its association with increased virulence is not straightforward, probably reflecting the interactions with differing patient case mix. There are many subtypes of the strain and more sophisticated typing and virulence assessment systems need to be developed using isolates carefully collected to test different epidemiological hypotheses. There are also environmental factors relating to treatment such as antimicrobials, cytotoxics and proton pump inhibitors. An emerging theme is the importance of aspects of healthcare delivery in contributing to the problem; this includes poorly maintained and cleaned healthcare premises, overcrowded hospitals and increased staffing workloads leading to poor compliance with infection control.

The anaerobic, gram-positive, spore-forming bacterium Clostridium difficile was first isolated in 1935. The designated name related to how difficult the original investigators found it to culture. It has been isolated widely in the soil and the gut of many animals and, although a known cause of colitis in animals such as cats, dogs, birds, rodents and neonatal pigs, it was not until 1978 that it was found to also cause human disease (pseudomembranous colitis). Asymptomatic colonisation can occur and diarrhoea (Clostridium difficile associated diarrhoea (CDAD)) which varies in severity from mild to extremely severe. Pseudomembranous colitis is the most severe manifestation of disease, and is usually a pancolitis affecting especially the distal colon and rectum. However, a right-sided colitis is also described, featuring fever, pain, and decreased gut motility often with only mild diarrhoea. Toxic megacolon, with a mortality of 6–30%, can also occur; narcotic use may increase its likelihood, and immunocompromised status and delayed diagnosis appear to result in higher mortality. Early intervention and aggressive management are key factors to recovery. However, significant mortality can also occur in the aged, even without colitis.1

CAUSES OF CDAD

Risk factors for CDAD comprise those that affect the gut microbial flora, the most common being exposure to antibiotics. Almost all antibiotics have been associated with CDAD, although it is less often associated with some—for example, metronidazole, aminoglycosides, trimethoprim and the quinolones (but see below). Clindamycin historically had a particularly infamous relationship to CDAD; animal work showed that following its use there was a particularly long period of gut susceptibility to the disease when challenged with C difficile spores.6 CDAD is a disease predominantly of the aged, but other factors include recent gastrointestinal surgery and immunosuppressive therapy, including cytotoxics.7 Other independent risk factors described more recently comprise proton pump inhibitors which increase the risk threefold.7 It is presumed that increased gastric pH leads to decreased destruction of spores, but colonic receptors do exist for some proton pump inhibitors.8 Although CDAD is seen mainly inside hospitals and other healthcare settings, community CDAD is also described, although more epidemiological information is required.9,10

HYPERVERULENT CDAD IN NORTH AMERICA

Increased numbers of patients requiring colectomy alerted a hospital in Montreal, Quebec, Canada in 2002 to the possibility of CDAD with a higher severity, mortality and relapse rate. Over the next two years several investigations were performed: rates of CDAD were ~28/1000 admissions (five times the national average of 1997) with an extra 10.7 days in hospital and 30-day attributed mortality rates of 6.9%, these being 0.8–2% in 1997.11–13 Perforations, toxic megacolon and colectomy rates had also increased. Between 2004 and 2005 it was estimated that over 14,000 patients had been affected in the province of Quebec, with high mortality and relapse rates. By June 2006 the problem had spread to seven provinces with estimates of 13 cases per 1000 admissions.11–13 Risk factors compared with matched controls14 comprised, as in many previous studies, cephalosporins (odds ratio (OR) 3.8%, 95% confidence interval (CI) 2.2% to 6.6%) and for the first time fluoroquinolones (OR 3.9%, 95% CI 2.3% to 6.6%).12 Interestingly it may be that the association is only with certain quinolones; 8 methoxyquinolones (gatifloxacin and moxifloxacin) had been introduced recently in several of the affected centres, these agents having greater anaerobic susceptibility to the disease when challenged with C difficile spores.6
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cases in case–controlled studies of antimicrobial usage.

Typing was performed (see later) and a new strain (ribotype O27) was isolated from 82% of patients. It was assumed that this was related to the increased virulence and relapse rate. There were other relevant factors, however; hospitals agreed that their case mix had changed over the last few years with greater numbers of debilitated, immunocompromised and elderly patients. Another interesting point is that the study of Pepin and colleagues did not show that proton pump inhibitors were an independent risk factor for CDAD, unlike the study quoted above. There are several possible explanations for this—for example, the patients may have been sicker or have some other missed risk factor. Such a risk factor might include patients admitted from the community.

A similar situation to that in Canada had emerged in the USA, with the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) showing rates had doubled between 1996 and 2003 from 31 to 61 cases per 100 000. Isolates from six recent outbreaks in the USA revealed emergence of the same epidemic O27 strain. Higher morbidity and mortality were described in at least 17 states. A nursing home outbreak of CDAD could be related to the switching from levafloxacin to gatifloxacin. There was a description of increasing CDAD in patients with low risk of the condition: only two isolates were typed and these were not the O27 strain. A leading article pointed out a need for better surveillance, case definitions and laboratory ascertainment. It also mentioned other issues such as the need for post-discharge surveillance due to delayed onset of ascertainment. It also mentioned other issues such as the need for better surveillance, case definitions and laboratory ascertainment. It also mentioned other issues such as the need for post-discharge surveillance due to delayed onset of diarrhoea after cessation of treatment and how best to select cases in case–controlled studies of antimicrobial usage.

EUROPEAN CDAD

Data from Europe have been reviewed recently and the European Centre for Disease Control (ECDC) has led an initiative to produce guidance for CDAD prevention, control and a research agenda. England is the only country with a mandatory reporting system; other countries in Europe did not have a surveillance system, but typed isolates causing outbreaks. Three other countries have described more virulent CDAD, the outbreaks being more difficult to control. In Belgium surveillance was started in June 2006; by July 2006 there were 11 hospitals with outbreaks and another two with sporadic cases of the O27 ribotype strain. In the Netherlands in April 2006 there were five hospitals with sporadic O27 isolates and 11 others with outbreaks; 22% deaths had occurred in one outbreak with a 19% relapse rates. Initially the spread of the strain could be tracked to the transfer of patients between hospitals. Guidelines and surveillance were progressed. Between September 2005 and June 2006, 356 patient isolates of C difficile were typed and O27 was found to comprise 28% of cases.

In Northern France in August 2006 there had been nine hospital outbreaks with O27 (194 cases) and 6% attributable deaths. This situation had been related possibly to the transfer of French patients staying in Belgian elderly care homes but transferring to French hospitals when care was needed. Surveillance has also been started in France. A recent study in 212 hospitals in eight different countries showed that only 58% undertook investigations for CDAD when asked to do so by the clinicians and only 55% were able to culture the organism. In another study, an algorithm for testing increased numbers of detected cases by 20%. A recent report from Austria described a patient on vacation from the UK admitted to a hospital with O27-related CDAD: no spread was documented, but it again illustrates the potential for international spread of community and nosocomial pathogens.

There are concerns that CDAD is arising in the community. In the Netherlands, for example, 36% of CDAD patients had been admitted to a hospital. However, a third of these had been hospitalised in the previous month and 46% of them had experienced CDAD as a recurrence. This is not a new phenomenon; in the 1990s serious outbreaks of CDAD were sustained by admissions of patients with CDAD from the community, often with the same type as the outbreak strain (Cookson, unpublished observations).

Data from the English voluntary CDAD reporting system showed increasing numbers of CDAD cases from 172 in 1990 to 46 501 in 2004, with more dramatic increases since 2001 in the over 65 age group. Interestingly, highly significant increases in all age groups were observed, perhaps indicating a change in the dynamics of pathogenesis. The mandatory system reporting all cases over 65 years of age commenced in 2004, when there were 44 107 cases (555 per relevant 100 000 population) increasing by 17.2% to 51 690 (638) cases in 2005. The report included an anecdotal statement that there was no clear relationship between severity of disease and O27, and that toxin production could vary. Notably the highest CDAD rates were seen in small acute hospitals, and there were possible decreases in cases over the quarters during 2005.

Rising numbers may be due to increasing case ascertainment. However, there are a number of reasons why this is unlikely: numbers of cases are also increasing in other countries; there are also increasing voluntary reports from the laboratory for all age groups; CDAD appears more often on hospital discharge diagnoses, as do the number of colectomies performed. There were also anecdotal views of infection control professionals stating that CDAD had increased. The report also provides data indicating rising numbers could not be related to an increasing aged population.

There was at the same time a report from the Office of National Statistics showing year on year increases between 2001 and 2004 in reports where CDAD was either mentioned, or stated to be the underlying cause, of patient mortality. Cases in 2004 (2247) were 130% higher for either of these categories of reports than in 1999 (975 cases). Interestingly, in Wales the rise was less, there being an approximately 50% increase in such reporting. CDAD comprised 0.52% and 0.46% of deaths occurring in hospitals and nursing homes, respectively, in England; interestingly, nursing home reports of CDAD deaths were very low in Wales. Mortality rates per million of the population by age and sex were listed, being very low below 54 years of age (2.6 per million) and much higher over 65 years (28 per million for males; 39 per million for females). This rise continued with increasing age: over 75 (around 153 per million for both sexes) and >85 years where the rates, interestingly, reversed (807 per million for males and 647 per million for females). These data indicate that the mortality related to CDAD was already increasing before the rise of the O27 strain.

The third influential report in 2006 came from the Healthcare Commission following three outbreaks in 2003–05 at Stoke Mandeville Hospital affecting 498 patients. The investigation found that 127 of 172 hospital deaths were related to CDAD and in 41 of these it was the definite or probable cause. The report described many prevention and control issues relating to healthcare delivery (see below).

MICROBIOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF C DIFFICILE

Two recent papers have described the genetic background of C difficile using differing methodologies and with different results. Multi-locus sequence typing (MLST of a diverse collection) by Lemee et al found 34 sequence types, with no animal, geographical or virulent clones, although the well-described toxin A negative toxin B positive clone was distinct. Mutations...
were eightfold higher than recombination events and were seen to be the main driver for evolution. A ribotype O27 strain was included in the analyses, but was not found to be a unique sequence type.

Stabler et al. developed a microarray based on a sequenced multi-drug resistant (“630”) strain from a patient with severe CDAD which had spread to dozens of other patients on the same ward in Zurich, Switzerland in 1982. They investigated 75 diverse isolates comprising hypervirulent, toxin-variable, and animal strains, and found four distinct, statistically supported clusters. One was a hypervirulent cluster and another the toxin A negative toxin B positive clone. Unfortunately, ribotypes are not listed in the paper, but an isolate of the Stoke Mandeville and Quebec outbreaks were included and related quite closely to each other. Moreover, using this methodology, only 19.7% of the wider spectrum of genes analysed were shared by all strains, and they concluded, unlike Lemee et al.29 that the organisms can indeed readily undergo genetic exchange. Interestingly, 11% of the DNA was related to mobile elements, including antimicrobial resistance. Several genetic islands were found relating to virulence, adhesion, antibiotic resistance, motility and enteric metabolism. There were no known spore-forming genes (i.e., its sporulation mechanisms are quite different from known sequences). Interestingly the ABC transporter systems were located on conjugative transposons and may be relevant to the trigger factors of proton pump inhibitors and quinolones. The authors proposed that the substantial number of deletions seen in the hypervirulent isolates had created “black holes”, perhaps related to “pathoadaptation” as seen in Shigella species. However, it is also possible that they were due to sequence diversity and it will be interesting to see how these isolates react to an array derived from the recently sequenced prototypic O27 C difficile strain.

Epidemic C difficile isolates from North America, UK, Belgium, France and the Netherlands have been examined thus far. They are of ribotype O27 (this is a ribosomal 16S–23S spacer typing system with more than 150 types). It was first seen in 1988 when it was unimportant and rare. In the UK, for example, over 50% of CDAD isolates typed by the reference laboratory between 1995 and 2003 were of ribotype O1 and O27 was extremely rare. However, in randomised isolates referred to the laboratory in 2005, O1, 106 and 27 each comprised about 25% of all isolates. A recent study in the Netherlands found that O27 strains of CDAD came from patients with less exposure to quinolone antibiotics, and a trend to increased exposure to quinolones; interestingly they also had a longer interval between admission and development of CDAD.15

In the USA resistance is seen to moxifloxacin and gatifloxacin (historical isolates were sensitive) and in the UK isolates vary in quinolone and macrolide resistance; this is also true for other current strains of C difficile (J Brazier, personal communication, 2006). There may well be a need for isolates to be cultured and tested against a panel of antibiotics validated by reference laboratories within each country if such testing can usefully inform the local epidemiology and help the selection of isolates for further typing. There are 24 restriction endonuclease (REA) subtypes of O27, but their utility for epidemiological studies has yet to be evaluated robustly. There is an urgent need to develop more sophisticated typing and virulence assessment systems using isolates collected carefully to test different epidemiological hypotheses.

**PATHOGENESIS OF CDAD**

When considering the pathogenesis of CDAD it is important to consider the interactions of the organism (the seed), the affected patients (soil) and the environment (climate). We have already mentioned various aspects of the patient case mix for the Canadian outbreaks and similarly alluded to the some of the climatic factors—for example, antibiotic and other drug usage. Although CDAD is under long and continuing study, much is still not known.

The inoculum, for example, may be very low. Several pathogenicity factors are described: there are two large exotoxins (TcdA&B). However, TcdA–B+ strains are recognised with increasing frequency and truncated A/B strains can cause disease.14 In 10% of selected strains there is a cdtA-B binary toxin encoding an actin-specific ADP-ribosyltransferase; this may just produce secretion of fluid by colonic cells but does not result in cell death.19 Many other factors may also be implicated, including fimbriae and subgroups of adhesions.14 O27 and O1 may produce higher sporulation levels than other strains and these were even higher when non-chlorinated disinfectants were used.20

Isolates of O27 from North America, UK, Belgium, France and the Netherlands thus far are of toxinotype III (this comprises testing TcdA,B and C a downward regulatory gene, there being 24 types). It usually contains an 18bp deletion in the TcdC gene. The cdtB toxin can vary even within European outbreaks. Warny et al.21 found that Canadian strains had a one base pair deletion at codon 117 in TcdC. This resulted in more toxin production when they compared it to a toxinotype O strain. It should be noted that there has, however, been no consistent relationship between severity of disease and toxin concentration in stool. It is clear that, despite years of research, the organism has many hidden secrets and poses many interesting questions. A possible research agenda is outlined elsewhere22 and lists several new proteomic and genetic techniques that should help answer them.

Interestingly, several of the infection control teams in Quebec comment that they no longer see as many cases of serious CDAD and think this may be related to their aggressive early treatment of infection as soon as the diarrhoea commences (personal communications to the author).

**PREVENTION AND CONTROL OF CDAD**

It is clear that the number of CDAD cases is increasing in many countries throughout the world. This may be related to new factors including the emergence of certain strains, their increasing antimicrobial resistance, the changing case mix, and aspects of healthcare delivery (see below). Prevention and control measures are being reviewed in many countries. The European Union (EU) is currently considering guidelines. Previous guidance was published in the UK in 19945 and forms the basis for EU guidelines (P Gastermeier, personal communication, 2006). The evidence for their updating will need to be reviewed systematically and robust intervention studies designed if necessary.23 Control will be informed by improved surveillance and typing information. The guidelines point to re-enforcement of all of the following: the use of hand washing for soiled hands or close contact with CDAD cases, isolation of patients with diarrhoea, effective stewardship of antibiotics, and decontamination of the environment.3

A new factor in the rubric of prevention and control are various aspects of clinical governance. These are apparent in reports from the Quebec and Stoke Mandeville outbreaks.11-17 Staffing shortages, high bed occupancy, poorly cleaned and maintained premises, old buildings that need to be replaced, and low priority of infection control resonate in both countries.11-12 There are other interesting aspects about healthcare delivery. Patient transfers have resulted in the spread of the O27 strain between Belgium and France, and between hospitals in the Netherlands.17-19 The O27 strain is now found in many parts of the UK (J Brazier, personal communication, 2006), and it is interesting to speculate whether this may be...
caused by increased inter-city patient transfers due to patient choice? We described inter-city spread of epidemic methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in the 1990s, perhaps encouraged by this process.16 Clearly a holistic approach to prevention and control will be required if we are to make any impact on the increasing numbers of CDAD cases described in many parts of the world.

MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS (TRUE (T)/FALSE (F); ANSWERS AFTER THE REFERENCES

1. Which of the following antibiotics are thought to be significant risk factors for CDAD caused by the O27 strain (there may be more than one)?
   (A) Gentamicin  
   (B) Cephalosporins  
   (C) Trimethoprim  
   (D) Metronidazole  
   (E) Fluoroquinolones

2. Which of the following are thought to be related to the increasing occurrence of CDAD in Canada (there may be more than one)?
   (A) Low priority for infection control  
   (B) Modern hospitals with too many side rooms  
   (C) Changing case mix—for example, more aged patients  
   (D) Changes in antibiotic prescribing  
   (E) Mainly due to increasing proton pump inhibitor usage

3. Which of the following statements are true (there may be more than one)?
   (A) There is a consistent increase in mortality with the current C difficile O27 strain  
   (B) C difficile O27 comprises the majority of infecting strains in the UK  
   (C) C difficile O27 in Canada has been shown to have increased toxin production and levels of toxin in the stool are related to severity of disease  
   (D) Virulence in CDAD can probably be explained by a complex interaction between the organism, the affected patients and many aspects of healthcare delivery  
   (E) Surveillance and typing of C difficile strains are important strategies in the control of CDAD

4. Which of the following statements are true (there may be more than one)?
   (A) CDAD invariably causes severe diarrhea  
   (B) CDAD can be readily identified by its self-limiting nature  
   (C) Toxic megacolon has a mortality of about 40%  
   (D) Immuno-compromised status and delayed diagnosis result in higher mortality in cases of toxic megacolon  
   (E) Significant mortality from CDAD can occur in the aged, even without colitis
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