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Scurvy in the British Mercantile Marine in the 19th century, and the contribution of the Seamen’s Hospital Society

G C Cook

When long voyages in sailing vessels were commonplace, scurvy was a major health hazard in mariners of all nations. The observations of James Lind (1716–94) and others indicated that citrus fruits had both a preventive and curative role in this disease. In the light of this work, by 1800 the disease had been virtually eliminated from Britain’s Royal Navy. However, it continued in the merchant navies of all nations until the latter half of the 19th century. In 1867, the Merchant Shipping Amendment Act was passed by the British Parliament largely as a result of a concerted effort by the Seamen’s Hospital Society (SHS), one of whose physicians, Harry Leach (1836–79) was the major proselytiser for improved conditions in the merchant service. Examination of the SHS records before and after this event demonstrate a marked reduction in the prevalence of scurvy in the Port of London. Although other factors—such as the introduction of steam ships, which resulted in faster voyages—were clearly important, the compulsory administration of genuine lime juice under supervision in the merchant service seems to have exerted a significant effect.

The prevalence of scurvy in ancient times remains unclear; it seems likely that the disease did not reach “epidemic” proportions in seafarers until the advent of long sea voyages to and from Europe to India, and the East Indies. It was also endemic in certain locations on shore especially among the poor; potential recruits to the Royal Navy were sometimes rejected as chronic scorbutics. The very high mortality rate during Anson’s circumnavigation in 1740–4 (fig 1) did much to emphasise the importance of this disease in mariners. A small clinical trial by James Lind (1716–94) in 1747–48 established that this was primarily a disease which could be cured by citrus fruits (fig 2). Subsequent work by Gilbert Blane (1749–1834) and Thomas Trotter (1760–1832)11 12 established the accuracy of Lind’s observations; indeed, Blane’s 1815 summary confirmed the virtual disappearance of scurvy from the Royal Navy. An extensive literature on this subject documents these important developments.14–16

By the mid-19th century, the disease had largely disappeared from the land-based British population. However, Robert Barnes (physician to the Seamen’s Hospital Society’s (SHS) Hospital-ship Dreadnought) in an excellent historical account of scurvy in Britain and in British vessels pointed out that “In the spring of 1823 scurvy [still] prevailed in the Millbank penitentiary … being caused by a diet of which fresh succulent vegetables formed no part”,17 “Many similar instances [he wrote] occur in the reports of the Inspectors of Prisons for the years 1836, 1837 and 1838”. “The excellent diets now adopted in our gaols, workhouses, and other public institutions have abolished scurvy [he wrote], and to the present race of officials the disease is unknown.”

Although not therefore a major killer in the Royal Navy by the 19th century, scurvy occasionally produced serious debility, thereby significantly reducing the functional reserve of crews. The disease remained however, a problem in wars and other nationalities until the latter 19th century; for example, a report received in Britain in 1867 recorded 13 deaths among 100 cases of the disease on the Spanish frigate Resolucion—which had been engaged in “blocking the coasts of Chili [sic] and Peru”. A Times reporter considered this fact, “more remarkable as [the outbreak had occurred in men of] a herbivorous and wine drinking nation”.

Elimination of scurvy was not to be the case in the British merchant navy—several SHS annual reports (see below) documented the high prevalence of this disease in merchant seamen in the Port of London; a report covering 1841, for example, recorded: “Out of the number of Asiatic Seamen ['Lascars'] introduced to this port, many most pitiable objects have been brought to the Dreadnought, afflicted with scurvy in its most aggravated form”. In 1838 the Committee of the SHS encouraged George Budd FRS (1808–82) one of their physicians to determine the cause(s) and cure, and he also wrote an erudite review in which he confirmed that this was a dietary disease, and that lime and lemon juice had a role in both prevention and cure.

INCIDENCE OF, AND REASONS FOR, PERSISTENCE OF SCURVY IN THE MERCANTILE MARINE

Figure 3 summarises the number of cases of scurvy encountered in the latter half of the 19th century by the SHS23 24 in their hospitals at Greenwich and the Albert Dock (the Branch Hospital of the Dreadnought which was opened in 1892). The Dreadnought hospital-ship had been succeeded in 1870 by the land-based Dreadnought [Seamen’s] Hospital. Most illness in the world’s merchant seamen when in the London docks, was referred to one of these institutions.25 26
Many cases of scurvy were severe, and during this period (1852–1905) there were 18 deaths.

The SHS was founded in 1821 (that is, soon after the Napoleonic Wars) with the objective of caring for the physical and spiritual requirements of the merchant sailors of all nations who were roaming around the Port of London in large numbers. The first hospital-ship, the *Grampus* was used until 1831; this was succeeded by a larger hulk, the *Dreadnought* (which had been a 104 gun ship and had served at Trafalgar) until 1857. The third hospital-ship, the *Caledonia* (renamed *Dreadnought*) was larger (120 guns) and served as the SHS hospital until 1870 when clinical facilities were transferred to dry land in what had previously been the Infirmary of the Royal Hospital, Greenwich. Britain’s merchant seamen, like those of other nations, lived a most erratic existence—often being employed (frequently by ship owners who were often unscrupulous) for a single voyage only. On account of the continuing (unacceptable) prevalence of scurvy in the merchant service in the mid-19th century, *The Times* (referring to a Board of Trade correspondence published in June 1865 in collaboration with the SHS) launched a “campaign” in January 1866 in an attempt to solve this problem which in the mind of the anonymous writer was endangering ships owing to disability of their crews as a result of scurvy. Persistence of the disease in the merchant service, due largely to scurvy (courtesy The Seamen’s Hospital Society).
jars”), and (iii) poor compliance (the standard(s) of discipline in the Mercantile Marine fell far short of that in the Royal Navy). The cure was “Good lime or lemon juice ... at the rate of from four to seven ounces a week ...”. “These evils [the anonymous writer also concluded] are aggravated by the frequent disinclination of the crew to drink the juice regularly”. However, the writer (erroneously) considered that the situation was “aggravated by bad water or bad meat”. He also agreed with the “Board of Trade [who], at the suggestion of the [SHS, had recommended] that inspectors [who should investigate all cases of the disease] should be appointed under the Mercantile Shipping Act ...”. It was the view of the Medical Officer of the Privy Council that the intentions of the 1854 Act were “not likely to be altogether realized unless particular inspectional regard be had to breaches of the Act”;

in the event that an inspection of a ship after a long voyage showing scurvy on board “inquiry under the Merchant Shipping Act should be held whether a punishable offence under that Act had been committed”. Sadly, five out of seven local Marine Boards who had replied to the SHS had rejected the proposal of medical inspectors.

The magnitude of the problem (and its solution)—by providing good quality lime or lemon juice, preferably the latter (see below)—was highlighted in a series of letters to The Times from the pen of Harry Leach (1836–79), Resident Medical Officer on the Dreadnought hospital-ship.32–40 He also emphasised that the majority of cases seen at that hospital had been contracted on British owned ships. It was “a mockery [he wrote] to talk about ‘smart’ ships, with miserably debilitated inmates, such as are constantly brought to us [at Greenwich], and it is equally futile to legislate about wood, iron, and tow if healthy vital force be not combined therewith”. Another experience of the disease (emphasising the importance of good quality lime or lemon juice) came from John Pitchall, a surgeon in “Her Majesty’s Indian Service”—he had, he wrote, had extensive experience of “scurbutic dysentery” at the European General Hospital at Howrah, Calcutta. A Deputy Inspector-General of the Royal Navy (R Armstrong)—based at Chatham, but with extensive experience in “nearly all parts of the world”—also gave his view; he too contrasted the health of “seamen in our merchant service” with those in the Royal Navy, and emphasised the outstanding value of lime juice—which must be consumed under observation! The breakdown of the 1854 Act (he considered) lay jointly with the ship owners (because they sent their ships to sea poorly victualled, and without satisfactory supplies of lime juice), and the Board of Trade (which did “not exercise due vigilance and supervision over the supplies to merchant ships”). The Times leading article also provoked a letter from a master mariner (“Limejuice”) who had himself suffered from scurvy; in his opinion the “owners and captains [were] not so black as they [were] painted”; the real problem lay with the sailors who would “not stay by their ships in harbour” but changed vessels at each port! An anonymous writer to the British Medical Journal again emphasised that the problem affected British vessels; 12 men suffering from scurvy had recently been taken into the Dreadnought hospital-ship from two recently arrived vessels from Calcutta—which had taken 135 and 149 days respectively over their homeward bound voyages. Serious doubts concerning the quality of lime juice, and the prevailing frequency of its administration were again raised; these reservations were born out at an inquest at a Board of Trade inquiry on one of these men who actually died of the disease (although he also had cirrhosis and tuberculosis); it was also recommended that “some action should be taken by the Local Marine Boards in all ports”, with a view to the inspection of lime juice shipped for the “use of seamen”.41

QUALITY OF LIME JUICE

Both Budd and Leach (see above) had, among others, stressed that lime (or lemon) juice of good quality was the correct preventive/curative agent for scurvy. This view had also been echoed by most contemporary writers. Commenting on the outcome of yet another inquest (see below), W B Harrison47 attested that he had personally supplied good quality lime juice to the St Andrew’s Castle (which had had five cases of severe scurvy on board)—and that this remained satisfactory at analysis some 12–14 months later. This short letter immediately brought forth a rebuttal from Leach48; tests had, he claimed, shown that “… the fluid was not lime-juice at all[!]. It was either citric acid and water, or alternatively lemon juice and water, but [it was believed to be] merely citric acid and water”. Analysis of lime juice at this time was far from satisfactory.47 Leach had merely reported on the colour, smell, consistence, specific gravity, and content of citric, sulphuric, tartaric, oxalic, and acetic acids; from this he reported: “… that this lemon juice is at least one-third … weaker than any lemon juice yet analysed by us …”; and he concluded “(1) That this mixture consists of 2 parts lemon juice and 1 part water, or (2) that it is simply a solution of citric acid”. In the first half of the 19th century, the Royal Navy had issued either the preserved juice of lemons or Mediterranean sweet lime; from 1860 onwards, they had used the preserved juice of the West Indian (usually Jamaican) sour lime—which contained lower antiscorbutic activity.49 50 Further support for the provision of supplies of good quality juice on board merchant ships came from HW
(a Commander in the Merchant Service who had 16 years experience on “long voyage” ships)1; “that the lime juice is often of inferior quality has long been patent to the seafaring public” he wrote; in his opinion, owing principally to poor storage, “... the juice goes bad just when most required—viz, at the latter part of the voyage”. He too re-emphasised poor compliance; discipline was undoubtedly inferior in the Mercantile Marine when compared with that in the Royal Navy. There can be no doubt that the vitamin C content of lime/lemon juice was lowered after a long voyage (which applied to the Royal Navy also) even when storage facilities were excellent (see below), but this must have been proportional to its initial content.

ALTERNATIVE VIEWS ON CAUSATION/CURE OF SCURVY

The true aetiology of scurvy continued to be a contentious matter. Not all observers were of the opinion that good quality lime (or lemon) juice was the sole solution to the problem. Both Picthall41 and King52 53 for example, favoured potatoes as a cure; the latter wrote of Sir F L M’Clintock’s Arctic expedition (on The Fox) in which “the only death from scurvy occurred to one man who ... disliked the preserved potato”. King felt that potatoes afforded the best cure; it was wrong (he contended) that ship owners should be obliged by the Act to provide lime juice to all men under their care, when preserved potatoes were available. The marinier who signed himself “Limejuice” also favoured “preserved potatoes”.44 But the major protagonist for potatoes as the cure for scurvy was undoubtedly W D Stone, a Fellow of the Royal College of Surgeons.45–57 Stone was of the opinion that cooking did not diminish the antiscorbutic quality of the potato: “... this vegetable is equally effective when cooked, and certainly much more palatable ...”. “In several prisons [as Barnes (see above) had written] the occurrence of scurvy has wholly ceased on the addition of a few pounds of potatoes being made to the weekly dietary”. “Wine [he continued] is also decidedly, though not infallibly, antiscorbutic”. Statistics [showed, Stone wrote] that “the number of cases of scurvy admitted on the Dreadnought [in 1865; fig 3] was in excess of any previous year” (a statement which was not true); he therefore also sought an amendment of the 1854 Mercantile Marine Act, and this further correspondence seems to have been “taken on board” by the Board of Trade.

The possibility that scurvy was a contagious disease also received strong support48; for example, the master of the Riversdale which had sailed from Bombay to Falmouth on which an “outbreak” of scurvy had occurred, considered at an inquest that “the disease was introduced into the ship by a man ... who joined at Bombay, where he had been in the hospital under treatment for scurvy”.49 Contaminated water was another candidate for causation of the disease; HW considered that water supplied to ships in “Madras Roads”, although appearing “good and clear” when taken on board was “… black and very offensive to the taste and smell” when the ship had not “been long at sea”.50 The Times considered that “the outbreak of the disease [on the bark Emily Flinn which had recently arrived in Plymouth from Moulmein (Myanmar, formerly Burma)] could be attributed to bad water put on board at Moulmein”.51 The jury which considered this case however, returned a verdict of: “Death from natural causes, accelerated by a long voyage and the excessive use of salt meat”.52

However, Stone, who rightly considered that land and sea scurvy were identical, commented “Dr [George] Budd [see above], probably the highest authority on this disease says ... that scurvy is caused neither by contagion, nor by cold weather, nor by impurity of the air, nor by the continued use of salt provisions ... but the privation for a considerable length of time of fresh succulent vegetables”.54–57 AGH of the Royal Navy (“we never hear of scurvy on board a man-of-war ...” he wrote) also contributed to The Times correspondence. He observed, quite correctly, that most cases occurred during the homeward bound journey of a long voyage. “Is it, then [he asked that], the man’s blood has become vitiated by long sojourn in [a] hot climate, by excesses committed on shore in the tropics, by a long sameness of food, or by his having absorbed a greater amount of salt from his long continuance upon salt provisions?” He continued, “… the men shipped for the home run are frequently deserters from other ships, they have been wallowing in the back slums of Calcutta, or some equally vile slums, until they are thoroughly contaminated, mind and body”. “Good water [he concluded] is far more essential to health than even lime juice”.55

Yet another aetiological theory involved alcoholism as being causative, but this suggestion was roundly debunked by Leach: “… we find that patients suffering from delirium tremens usually [he wrote] come to us [on the Dreadnought] from the best class vessels—i.e. those in which scurvy is known never to exist ...;”

INQUESTS AND LITIGATION RELATING TO SCURVY DEATHS

A sailor died (of scurvy) on board the St Andrew’s Castle (see above), a 659 ton vessel lying at the time at St Katherine’s Dock, which had sailed from Shanghai to London between 22 October 1865 and 16 February 1866; seven out of 13 crew members on board had been “disabled by scurvy”. Leach pronounced that these “were the worst [cases of scurvy] he had ever seen” and that the so-called lime juice was lacking potency (see above).66 An inquiry was conducted by the Middlesex coroner, and the jury returned the verdict “That the deceased died ... from exhaustion, scurvy, and dysentery”.67 Another man on the same voyage also died later from scurvy—and this was the subject of a further inquest, this time conducted by the Kent coroner. The Coroner stated that the “firm which supplied the ship (with lime juice) were liable to a penalty of 20 l [that is £20] under the Merchant Shipping Act, a fine far too small ... for such an offence”. He stated furthermore, that the “case of this ship would be brought under the notice of the House of Commons”68; this court “returned a verdict—"That the deceased died from scurvy and the jury further say that the juice shipped on board the St Andrew’s Castle was a chymical decoction perfectly useless as a preventive for scurvy".69

A further outbreak of the disease involved the 1490 ton Riversdale, registered in the Port of Liverpool; six men had developed scurvy on the homeward journey from Calcutta (3 June to 26 September 1867), and all had joined the ship at Calcutta, a mere six days after arrival there in another vessel. These authors concluded that scurvy resulted from the fact that the affected sailors “had made two long oceanic voyages (with the interval of only six days), ... and to the consequent privation of fresh vegetable food for nearly eight months”. The master, who was apparently aware that the lime juice was of “faulty character” was criticised for not procuring “a fresh supply at the Cape of Good Hope, or St. Helena”; the report stated that this was “the only point in which the requirements of the [existing 1854] Act do not seem to have been complied with ...”.70

THE PROBLEM SOLVED

The Times of 6 April 186771 drew attention to a “voluminous Parliamentary paper, of 123 pages ... in continuation of that issued in June 1865”.72 This paper in fact formed the basis for the Merchant Shipping Amendment Act of 1867 (fig 4) (see below). The Act stipulated that only lime or lemon juice containing 15% of “proper and palatable Proof Spirits”
obtained from a Bonded Warehouse” was acceptable “on board … Ship”, and furthermore that it must be accompanied by “a Certificate under the Hand of an Inspector appointed by the Board of Trade”. The master of every ship was required to serve out an ounce per day to every member of the crew of lime or lemon juice or “other such Anti-scobic substances” … as soon as they have been at sea for Ten Days, and during the Remainder of the Voyage, except during such Time as they are in Harbour and are there supplied with fresh Provisions".70 Leach had clearly taken a major role in the preparation of this work; significantly, only two out of 26 members of the crew of lime or lemon juice subsequently analysed were “declared genuine”. The anonymous writer in The Times considered that the report contained a “most valuable resumé of facts for reference in connexion with the Mercantile Maritime Amendment Bill [which would soon be] introduced into the House of Lords under the auspices of the [sixth] Duke of Richmond [(1818–1903) President of the Board of Trade]”. In fact, the Act took longer to implement than anticipated by the anonymous writer.

The Lancet summarised the major content of the Bill (although unsatisfactory conditions of accommodation were covered simultaneously), and emphasised “the examination of lime— and lemon— juice by certified medical officers, for its subsequent storage in bond, and for adding to it when so stored a certain amount of spirit [which had to] be added to the juice in the presence of the Customs Officer”. The juice was to be “subject to visits of inspection from the officer appointed by the Board of Trade” and given daily to each man “at the rate of one ounce … per diem”. Any seaman “who refuses or neglects to drink it [the Bill stated] shall be reported in the log-book of the ship”.71 The content(s) and implementation of the Bill (the Act eventually came into force on 1 January 1868) were outlined in greater detail in subsequent issues of The Lancet;72–76 it was regretted by one writer that the Bill did not also cover venereal disease(s) from which “the merchant seaman suffers more … than does any other class of the community”. The 47th annual report of the SHS hailed the Bill (and subsequent Act, and welcomed the “Medical Inspection of Lime Juice before the Ship goes to sea”) which was precisely what the Society had recommended.77

Under the amended Act, it became compulsory therefore for the ship’s captain to provide lime juice of good quality to all men under his command. Figure 3 shows the sharp decline in cases of scurvy admitted to the Dreadnought hospital-ship after this Act had come into effect. But despite the stringent provisions outlined in the Act, cases of scurvy continued to be admitted to the SHS hospitals in 1868 and beyond (albeit at a lesser rate).78–82 There were several reasons for this but probably the most important was lack of adherence due to poor discipline; for example, “The Court ascribed the rapid spread of the disease [on board a Liverpool vessel, the Bremen] to the disinclination of the black members of the crew [it remains unclear as to what percentage were Lascars] to take lime-juice or compressed vegetables”79; this latter term was a substitute for preserved (mixed) vegetables. Stone (see above) however, continued with his theme of 10 years previously, that is, that lime juice alone was insufficient to prevent the disease, and that preserved potatoes “are now universally admitted to possess all the antiscorbutic properties of the fresh potato”. Another view expressed was that “mustard and cress” (easily grown on a wet blanket on board ship) had potent antiscorbutic qualities; this method had apparently been used in 1809 to prevent scurvy on a ship which formed part of a “Fleet of East Indiamen” under the medical charge of James Haviland, FRCS.80

Eventually scurvy in the Mercantile Marine was brought under control but not until the last days of the 19th century (fig 3). It had taken far longer to achieve than should have been the case, and the SHS (largely via the efforts of Harry Leach, Resident Medical Officer on board the Dreadnought (hospital-ship)) was very largely responsible for sweeping away this major scourge of the British Mercantile Marine.

EPILOGUE

In retrospect, it is arguable whether the recommended quantity or condition of the lime juice was in fact in itself sufficient to prevent scurvy; experimental studies have demonstrated that even genuine lime juice coming into contact with copper and/or as a result of other preservative procedures may become virtually inactive after a prolonged period of storage.83 Of course, there were also other factors in operation apart from the quantity/quality of lime (or lemon) juice alone; for example, the introduction of steam ships in the mid-19th century which led to much faster voyages, and it was then possible to stop at coaling stations where fresh food could be brought on board.
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