Vaccination against hepatitis B infection in patients with end stage renal disease
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Background: Experience of hepatitis B vaccination in a contemporary renal replacement programme is reported.

Methods: A total of 406 patients were involved: 214 on haemodialysis, 97 on continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis, 67 predialysis (serum creatinine >400 µmol/l), and 28 with a failing transplant. Primary vaccination comprised recombinant hepatitis B vaccine (Engerix B) 40 µg intramuscularly at 0, 1, 2, and 3 months. Booster doses were administered three monthly if anti-HBs titre was <100 IU/l.

Results: Uptake of vaccine was 61% (haemodialysis 70%, continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis 62%, predialysis 31%, transplant 61%, p<0.0001). Primary seroconversion occurred in 64% of vaccinated patients (anti-HBs; 10–100 U/l, 33%; >100 U/l, 31%). Booster doses led to further improvement in immunity in 66/115 (57%) patients after a first and 8/20 (40%) patients after a second booster dose, but uptake was again poor (first booster 74%, second 31%). Seroprotection declined unexpectedly rapidly; after a mean of 16 months 71/115 patients (62 %) had a significant fall in their anti-HBs titres; 30/115 (26%) lost detectable antibody.

Conclusions: Routine hepatitis B vaccination of patients with end stage renal failure is logistically difficult to administer on a large scale; primary seroconversion is relatively poor, but improves after repeated booster doses; protective anti-HBs titres decline rapidly, and yearly antibody checks with selective booster doses will be required to maintain seroprotection. The cost effectiveness of a vaccination programme will vary greatly depending on the prevalence of hepatitis B in the population at risk.

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection has higher mortality and is more likely to result in a carrier state in uraemic patients.\(^1,2\) Infection control measures (universal precautions) introduced after HBV outbreaks in several UK dialysis units have been successful in minimising the risk of cross infection with HBV. The prevalence of hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) in the UK dialysis population is now low (0.4%), in contrast to other European countries.\(^3,4\) Vaccination against HBV is mandatory for dialysis staff, and the UK Renal Association recommends vaccination against HBV for all patients receiving renal replacement therapy or approaching end stage renal disease (ESRD).\(^5\)

In January 1996 we identified a case of acute HBV infection in a patient on maintenance haemodialysis, the first case since the Hull unit opened in 1969. The patient, who was an intermittent intravenous drug user, had previously been HBsAg negative. The pattern of his seroconversion suggested approximately eight weeks between infection and clinical symptoms. He was dialysed in isolation, and universal precautions practised on the unit were continued without modification. Local discussion and wide consultation led to the decision to immediately vaccinate all patients with ESRD attending the unit, to reduce the risk of transmission if further cases were to occur. Two weekly HBsAg surveillance showed that no other patient treated on the unit after the estimated date of infection of the index case developed HBV infection or became HBsAg positive during the eight months up to August 1996, when we returned to our normal four monthly screening protocol.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

From March 1996 onwards we offered vaccination to all existing and new dialysis patients, and to predialysis and transplant patients with poor graft function (creatinine >400 µmol/l). The primary course of vaccine was 40 µg of recombinant hepB vaccine (Engerix B, SmithKline Beecham) intramuscularly in the deltoid muscle at 0, 1, 2, and 3 months, with the anti-HBs titre checked at six months. Anti-HBs titres were reported in four groups: >100 IU/l, 10–100 IU/l, <10 IU/l, and undetectable. Seroconversion was defined as an anti-HBs titre of >10 IU/l. Booster doses were intended to be given every three months to patients not reaching the target anti-HBs titre of >100 IU/l, which offers the most complete protection against infection.\(^6,7\)

There was extensive verbal and written explanation to the patients of the reasons for offering vaccination. Substantial logistic planning included outreach clinics to encourage compliance, with primary care involvement when geographically necessary. Monthly measures were made of serum creatinine, albumin, ferritin, and haemoglobin. Hepatitis B surface antibody was determined using the ELISA technique (Hepanostika anti-HBs, Organon Teknika, Boxtel, The Netherlands). Comparison between groups was by one way analysis of variance and \(\chi^2\) test. Independent \(t\) test was used to compare seroconverters and non-seroconverters. Statistical significance was \(p<0.05\).

RESULTS

Four hundred and six patients were targeted (table 1). Two hundred and forty seven patients (61%) completed the primary vaccination course (haemodialysis 149 (70%), continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis 60 (62%), predialysis 21 (31%), transplant 17 (61%), \(p<0.0001\)). Causes for non-completion of the primary course included death, transfer to another unit, intercurrent illness, non-compliance,
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and administrative failures in tracking patients. Sixteen patients died before having anti-HBs checked and titres were unavailable in four other patients due to intercurrent illness. One hundred and forty-six patients (64%) seroconverted after primary vaccination, but only 71 of these (31%) reached an anti-HBs titre of >100 IU/l. The seroconversion rate was similar in haemodialysis (66%; 90/136), peritoneal dialysis (66%; 36/55), and predialysis patients (68%; 13/19), but was lower in transplant patients (41%; 7/17); p=0.227 (table 2). Patients who seroconverted after the primary vaccination course tended to be younger (mean (SD) age 54 (18) years, p=0.01), and had higher levels of serum albumin (39.4 (3.1) years, p=0.025).

A first booster was given to 115/156 patients (74%) (table 3). Sixty six patients (57%) increased their anti-HBs titre by at least one level after one booster dose; including 17/25 patients (68%) with new seroconversion. Another 43 patients (37%) achieved an anti-HBs titre of >100 IU/l had no detectable antibody. Anti-HBs was unchanged in nine (45%) patients, while three (15%) had a fall in anti-HBs.

Follow up anti-HBs titres were obtained from 115 patients who had achieved full (anti-HBs titre >100 IU/l, n=90) or partial immunity (anti-HBs titre 10–100 IU/l, n=25), after a mean of 16 months (range 11–25) (table 4). Fifty seven patients (63%) with a previous anti-HBs titre of >100 IU/l had a reduction in anti-HBs of at least one group, including 17 patients (19%) who lost all detectable antibody. Anti-HBs antibodies were undetected in 13 out of 25 patients (52%) with a postvaccination anti-HBs titre of 10–100 IU/l.

### Table 1

Characteristics and uptake rates of primary vaccination course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Haemodialysis (n=214)</th>
<th>Peritoneal dialysis (n=97)</th>
<th>Predialysis (n=67)</th>
<th>Failing transplant (n=28)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) age (years)</td>
<td>59 (16.7)</td>
<td>52.6 (16)</td>
<td>60.5 (10.6)</td>
<td>37.7 (15.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (m/f)</td>
<td>94/42</td>
<td>34/21</td>
<td>15/4</td>
<td>10/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) albumin (g/l)</td>
<td>39.4 (3.1)</td>
<td>36.1 (5.7)</td>
<td>41.3 (3.4)</td>
<td>40.2 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary uptake (%)</td>
<td>149/214 (70)</td>
<td>60/97 (62)</td>
<td>21/67 (31)</td>
<td>17/28 (61)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

Antibody titres after primary HBV vaccination; values are number (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anti-HBs titre (IU/l)</th>
<th>Haemodialysis (n=136)</th>
<th>Peritoneal dialysis (n=55)</th>
<th>Predialysis (n=19)</th>
<th>Failing renal transplants (n=17)</th>
<th>Total (n=227)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>47 (34)</td>
<td>14 (26)</td>
<td>8 (42)</td>
<td>2 (12)</td>
<td>71 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–100</td>
<td>43 (32)</td>
<td>22 (40)</td>
<td>5 (26)</td>
<td>5 (29)</td>
<td>75 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>11 (8)</td>
<td>4 (7)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>17 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undetected</td>
<td>35 (26)</td>
<td>13 (27)</td>
<td>5 (26)</td>
<td>9 (53)</td>
<td>64 (28)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3

Uptake and effects of the first two booster doses of the hepatitis B vaccine; values are number (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Booster dose</th>
<th>1st Booster</th>
<th>2nd Booster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Booster uptake</td>
<td>115/156 (74)</td>
<td>20/65 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-HBs titre after booster dose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>66* (57.4)</td>
<td>81 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>43 (37.4)</td>
<td>9 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worse</td>
<td>6 (5.2)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 16 new seroconversions; † five new seroconversions.

### Table 4

Changes in anti-HBs titres after primary vaccination (number)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anti-HBs titre after primary vaccination (IU/l)</th>
<th>Follow up titre (IU/l)</th>
<th>&gt;100</th>
<th>10–100</th>
<th>&lt;10</th>
<th>Undetected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;100 (n=90)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–100 (n=25)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

There is an inverse relation between the risk of HBV infection after exposure and the maximal anti-HBs response to vaccination, with anti-HBs titres of >100 IU/l conferring very effective protection. Various protocols for HBV vaccination report seroconversion rates of around 77% reinforced intradermal administration is an alternative effective policy. We chose a relatively intensive schedule because of the need to protect patients as rapidly as possible. The overall seroconversion rate using this protocol was 64%. There was significant benefit from repeated booster doses, even when the initial response was partial or absent. No patient received more than two booster doses, but it is likely that further improvement in anti-HBs titres would follow additional booster doses. The optimal management of persistent non-responders is not clear.

Vaccination against hepatitis B infection was first recommended in 1982 for UK renal patients likely to dialyse abroad, and the UK Renal Association currently recommends vaccinating patients awaiting renal replacement therapy as early as possible, and that “all long term dialysis patients should be immunised against HBV. Those who develop an adequate antibody response should be given a booster dose of vaccine every five years. As there is evidence that non-responders and poor responders derive some benefit from vaccination, they should be given a booster after one year and every five years thereafter”.

The rapid decline in post-vaccination anti-HBs titre in uraemic patients is well recognised. In our series 17/90 patients (19%) achieving an anti-HBs titre of >100 IU/l had no detectable anti-HBs after a mean of only 16 months; loss of antibody was even greater in patients with partial response to vaccination (13/25, 52%). Some protection against infection may be retained even at low anti-HBs titres, but a rapid decline in...
to have been acquired abroad.
Infection was often unclear, but four infections were thought to be associated with haemodialysis units in the UK were reported; the source of infection was often unclear, but four infections were thought to have been acquired abroad. It is not known how many UK dialysis units were following the Renal Association recommendations. The perceived low risk of acute hepatitis B infection in the UK dialysis population may be a disincentive to implementing vaccination. Based on the costs involved in our programme, the cost of such a scheme in all UK renal units would be considerable, and maintaining adequate antibody titres would require substantial logistical organisation.

We have illustrated practical difficulties in implementing hepatitis B vaccination on a large scale in a busy unit, despite considerable administrative and nursing effort. The programme was expensive, with a high opportunity cost in administrative and nursing time. Some units delegate vaccination to general practitioners. In our group, predialysis patients whose hepatitis B vaccination was delegated to general practitioners had the lowest vaccine uptake rate (31%).

We recognise that a number of dialysis patients run a high risk of hepatitis B infection because of their lifestyle and duration of foreign travel, both within and outside Europe. In many units non-immune, HBsAg negative patients who dialyse abroad are routinely dialysed in isolation for six months on return to the UK. Vaccination against hepatitis B infection of high-risk dialysis patients could save both the patient and the dialysis programme a lot of inconvenience.

In conclusion, stringent application of “universal precautions” prevents transmission of hepatitis B infection within a dialysis unit. Vaccinating all dialysis patients against hepatitis B is logistically difficult. The cost effectiveness of vaccination will vary according to the population at risk. Where routine hepatitis B vaccination of all patients is to be implemented, yearly antibody checks will be required with booster doses administered when anti-HBs titres fall below 100 IU/l.
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