Elbow fistulas using autogeneous vein: patency rates and results of revision
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Background: The provision and maintenance of vascular access remains a major cost to end stage renal failure programmes. There are few reports regarding the surgical revision of the failing native elbow arteriovenous fistula (AVF).

Patients and methods: A retrospective case note review was performed on all patients identified from the hospital vascular access database as having undergone construction of an autogeneous vein elbow AVF. Over a seven year period 282 autogeneous vein AVFs were fashioned in 232 patients using the brachial artery as the in-flow conduit. Of these 208 were brachioceplhalic fistulas, or a variant thereof, and 74 were fashioned using the transposed autologous basilic vein (136 male: 96 female; median age 60 years, range 14–94 years).

Results: Of 282 elbow fistulas 197 were successfully used for dialysis (70%). Cumulative primary patency of elbow fistulas using autogeneous vein in this series was 68%, 54%, and 44% at one, two, and three years respectively. A further 34 revision procedures were performed on 28 fistulas to maintain fistula function, and cumulative secondary patency after surgical revision was 75%, 60%, and 46% at one, two, and three years. Overall 21 out of 34 procedures (62%) successfully restored fistula function and cumulative primary patency of the revised fistulas was 56% at one year. Eighteen AVFs (brachioceplhalic, n=12; autologous basilic vein, n=6) required revision for access dysfunction secondary to a short stenoses within 4 cm of the arteriovenous anastomoses. Of these 18 AVFs eight were revised by excision of the stenosed segment and either primary anastomoses of the two cut ends of arterialisled vein or reanastomoses of the proximal venous limb proximally on the brachial artery. In another nine fistulas the excised segment was replaced with a short interposition graft (polytetrafluoroethylene, n=7; native basilic vein, n=1; bovine carotid artery, n=1). One fistula with postanastomotic stenoses and a more proximal needle site stenoses was revised using two vein patches. Overall 100% were patent at 24 hours, 13 provided successful dialysis (72%), and cumulative primary patency was 67% and 50% at six months and one year respectively.

Conclusions: Successful surgical revision of failing native elbow fistulas can restore patency and improve cumulative secondary patency with potential benefits in terms of patient morbidity and mortality. These results compare favourably to published patency rates after fistula salvage using interventional radiological techniques.
Definitions of patency and success

For the purposes of this study fistulas were classified as successful, never used for dialysis, or failures. Technical success was defined as the presence of a thrill on palpation or audible venous flow on auscultation. Primary patency refers to fistulas functioning for dialysis up to the time of first failure or intervention performed to maintain patency and excludes those fistulas that were never used for haemodialysis for whatever cause. Intervention for the purpose of this study refers only to surgical procedures. Fistula revision refers to any surgical procedure performed to maintain patency that does not change the nature of the fistula and includes short interposition grafts. Cumulative secondary patency refers to fistulas functioning for dialysis, regardless of the number of interventions required to maintain patency. When no attempt was made to needle a fistula it was designated as never used. Fistula failure was defined as inability to use the fistula for haemodialysis due to a cause other than transplantation or death. Operative ligation were classified as failures. Patients who underwent renal transplantation were considered as lost to follow up and not as technical failures. Deaths being unrelated to fistula failure were also treated as lost to follow up. Life table analysis was used to evaluate cumulative primary and secondary patency.

RESULTS

Patency

Of 282 elbow fistulas 197 were successfully used for dialysis (70%), 48 failed before needling for dialysis (16%), 10 are currently maturing (4%), 10 remain patent in predialysis patients (4%), and 17 (6%) are considered lost to follow up due to death (n=13), transplantation (n=2), recovery of renal function (n=1), and transfer to another dialysis unit (n=1). Cumulative primary patency of elbow fistulas using autogenous vein in this series was 68%, 54%, and 44% at one, two, and three years respectively (fig 1). A further 34 revision procedures were performed on 28 fistulas to maintain fistula function and cumulative secondary patency after surgical revision was 75%, 60%, and 46% at one, two, and three years (table 1). Overall 21 out of 34 procedures (62%) successfully restored fistula function (see below) and cumulative primary patency of the revised fistulas was 56% at one year (table 2).

Access dysfunction/thrombosis

A total of 18 AVFs (brachiocephalic, n=12; autologous basilic vein, n=6) required revision for access dysfunction and included four AVFs that thrombosed before planned revision. Sixteen had prior fistulography, demonstrating a narrowing of the venous limb within 4 cm of the arteriovenous anastomoses (n=15, including poststenotic aneurysmal dilatation, n=2) and needle site stenoses, n=1), and proximal needle site stenoses (n=1). Two further patients who presented with thrombosis without fistulography were seen at operation to have thrombosed due to a narrowing of the venous limb adjacent to the arteriovenous anastomoses. Of these 18 AVFs eight were revised by excision of the stenosed segment and either primary anastomoses of the two cut ends of arterialised vein or reanastomoses of the proximal venous limb several centimetres proximally on the brachial artery. In another nine fistulas the excised segment was replaced with a short interposition graft (PTFE, n=7; native basilic vein, n=1; bovine carotid artery, n=1). The fistula with postanastomotic stenoses and a more proximal needle site stenoses was revised using two vein patches. Overall 100% were patent at 24 hours, 13 provided successful dialysis (72%) and cumulative primary patency was 67% and 50% at six months and one year respectively (table 3).

A further four AVFs, which presented with acute thrombosis and without prior fistulography, underwent attempted thrombectomy of which one remained patent at 24 hours but failed to remain patent for dialysis.

Two other fistulas underwent revision of the juxtaanastomotic venous limb. One fistula had an aneurysmal dilatation of the venous segment immediately adjacent to the anastomoses excised and the cephalic vein reanastomosed to the brachial artery more proximally. One perforating vein elbow fistula that was draining preferentially into the basilic physiological parameters including physical examination, patient biochemistry, urea kinetic modelling, and raised venous dialysis pressures. No systematic fistula surveillance programme was in place during this time period. Steal was a clinical diagnosis characterised by distal ischaemia presenting as claudication, paraesthesia, muscle wasting, or tissue loss due to shunting of arterial blood away from the extremities. One patient was lost to follow up after transfer to another haemodialysis unit.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (months)</th>
<th>No at risk</th>
<th>Primary patency</th>
<th>Secondary patency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (months)</th>
<th>No at risk</th>
<th>Cumulative primary patency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 Kaplan-Meier survival curves for elbow fistula using autogenous vein representing cumulative primary and secondary patency rates.
rather than the cephalic vein had a segment of the basilic vein transposed to a more superficial position. Both provided successful dialysis.

Steal
Six fistulas were revised for steal (brachiocephalic, n=4; autologous basilic vein, n=2). This included diameter reduction of the draining limb by placing a crescent shaped plication suture in the draining vein with Doppler monitoring of fistula flow (n=2). Ligature of draining venous tributaries (n=2), patch angioplasty of the brachial artery immediately adjacent to the arteriovenous anastomoses (n=1), and ligation of the artery distal to the fistula anastomoses with subsequent saphenous vein bypass from the proximal brachial artery to the brachial artery beyond the ligature (n=1). Three fistulas (diabetic, n=2; lupus, n=1) required early postoperative ligation (persistent steal, n=2; graft infection, n=1) and three were used subsequently for dialysis of which two required subsequent ligation (arm oedema and venous hypertension, n=1; progressive ischaemia, n=1).

Bleeding
Three fistulas required revision for needle site bleeding (brachiocephalic, n=2, autologous basilic vein, n=1). All provided access for dialysis, however, two later failed because of previously undiagnosed venous outflow obstruction. One fistula (autologous basilic vein) had a vein patch angioplasty for a bleeding false aneurysm and provided immediate postoperative access for haemodialysis.

DISCUSSION
These results demonstrate that surgical revision is an excellent treatment option in the management of the failing elbow fistula particularly in fistulas that have developed stenoses of the draining vein within 4 cm of the anastomoses.

The cumulative secondary fistula patency rates of native elbow fistula reported in this series are comparable to others with reported secondary patency rates of 69%–85% and 57%–63% at one and two years respectively. Cumulative primary patency of elbow fistulas in this series was 44% at three years.

Table 3 Cumulative patency (primary) for AVF after revision of vein stenoses within 4 cm of the arteriovenous anastomoses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (months)</th>
<th>No at risk</th>
<th>Primary patency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In conclusion successful surgical revision of failing native elbow fistulas can restore patency and improve cumulative secondary patency with potential benefits in terms of patient morbidity. These results compare favourably to published patency rates following fistula salvage using interventional radiological techniques. A randomised controlled trial of the two techniques is required to establish the most cost effective way to maintain fistula patency. Our experience shows that for continued cannulation. This can be achieved in a large proportion of cases by excising the affected segment and reanastomosing the arterialised vein more proximally to the brachial artery. Such procedures involve minimal intimal trauma, are relatively non-invasive, and can be performed under local anaesthetic. In the case of insufficient length of vein a short interposition graft can be inserted however this carries the added risk of severe intimal hyperplasia in autogenous vein grafts or stenoses at the graft/vein anastomoses in the case of PTFE grafts.

The results from our present study compare favourably with those achieved by interventional radiologists, particularly with regard to primary patency. Reports of primary patency after percutaneous angioplasty of stenoses in native AVFs vary widely (16%–60% one year primary patency) with the best reports of 62% one year primary patency after percutaneous angioplasty of juxta-arteriographic venous stenoses in Brescia fistulas. Percutaneous angioplasty is less invasive than surgery, can be performed under local anaesthetic as a day case procedure, and allows continued use of the fistula for haemodialysis. There is, however, a high restenosis rate (50% at six months) necessitating repeated angioplasty to maintain patency, although in such cases surgery or stenting remains a possibility. Such results in combination with the need to improve access patency has led to the proliferation of fistula surveillance programmes with percutaneous intervention widely used to treat stenoses. These and other reports would appear to suggest a significant benefit with endovascular intervention in prolonging fistula patency while preserving venous capital for future access. However many of these studies, like the results presented here, suffer from their retrospective design and lack of suitable control group. Two randomised controlled trials of percutaneous angioplasty in the management of thrombosed and failing prosthetic graft AVFs demonstrated no overall benefit of percutaneous angioplasty compared with no percutaneous angioplasty for significant luminal stenoses and improved primary patency after surgery compared with percutaneous angioplasty after graft thrombosis. It is probable that the results of percutaneous angioplasty and surgery are largely institution dependent and that they are complementary rather than in competition. Their precise roles in prolonging access patency in autogenous vein AVF remain undefined and these issues need to be addressed in a randomised controlled trial.

The results of attempted surgical revision after thrombosis were disappointing, as were the results for the surgical management of steal. Although the data presented here would suggest that thrombectomy of thrombosed native vein fistula must be accompanied by revision of the stenosed segment, surgical thrombectomy and subsequent imaging of the stenosed segment can prove technically challenging and overall success rates in native fistulas are generally low. In such cases preoperative detection of critical stenoses by active investigation of access dysfunction and fistula surveillance carries the best chance of salvage. Arteriovenous steal also presents a formidable surgical problem in that it usually represents diversion of arterial blood from extremities that already have a significant degree of vascular impairment as is commonly found for example in diabetics or those with lupus. In this case the small numbers of each procedure make interpretation difficult, although 50% of fistulas revised for steal did provide further vascular access in this study.

In conclusion successful surgical revision of failing native elbow fistulas can restore patency and improve cumulative secondary patency with potential benefits in terms of patient morbidity. These results compare favourably to published patency rates following fistula salvage using interventional radiological techniques. A randomised controlled trial of the two techniques is required to establish the most cost effective way to maintain fistula patency. Our experience shows that
surgical salvage is particularly successful in the case of juxta-anastomotic venous stenoses and we recommend surgical revision in such cases.
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