Prevention of venous thromboembolism in Wales: results of a survey among general surgeons
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Objective: To examine the current attitudes towards the prevention of venous thromboembolism among a cohort of surgeons.

Design: A postal survey, comprising a questionnaire covering various aspects of venous thromboembolism prophylaxis was sent to all (n=84) consultant general surgeons in Wales.

Results: Replies were received from 57 surgeons (68%), all of whom routinely used prophylaxis, the most frequent modalities used being heparin (100%) and graded compression stockings (79%). A combination of physical and pharmacological methods was used by over 89% of surgeons, with 60% starting prophylaxis more than two hours before operation. All surgeons continued prophylaxis after surgery, 53% until patients were mobile, 45% until they were discharged, and one surgeon continued prophylaxis for seven days after discharge. The thrombosis risk factors considered most important by surgeons when deciding about prophylaxis were: (i) a previous history of venous thromboembolism, (ii) hypercoagulability, and (iii) malignancy.

Conclusions: This study confirms that Welsh surgeons conform to standard methods, but also highlights some uncertainties that are present in current surgical practice. Those who responded all routinely used prophylaxis, the timing of which was variable. The main risk factors identified when considering prophylaxis were previous history of deep vein thrombosis/pulmonary embolism, hypercoagulability, and the presence of malignancy. Suggestions for future practice are made.


Abbreviations: DVT, deep vein thrombosis; LMWH, low molecular weight heparin
Venous thromboembolism survey among Welsh surgeons

[1] Do you consider venous thromboembolism a problem in your unit? Yes/No

[2] What is your specialty?
- General
- Gastrointestinal
- Breast
- Endocrine
- Vascular
- Urology
- Hepatobiliary
- Other...

- H = Heparin (UFH) L = Low molecular weight heparin (LMWH)
- A = Aspirin OA = Oral anticoagulant
- D = Dextran
- S = Graduated compression stockings
- P = Pneumatic compression
- None
- Other...

Please state: [H, L, or combination]...

If none, why?
- Low incidence of venous thromboembolism
- Available methods not fully effective
- Risk of complications
- Too costly
- Other (please state)...

[4] Have you experienced any complications following DVT prophylaxis? Yes/No

- If yes, tick box and state which agent/method [H, L, A, S, etc]
- Wound oozing...
- Wound haematoma...
- Minor haemorrhage...
- Death...
- Other (please state)...

[5] At what time would you start prophylaxis?
- > 2 hours preoperatively
- With premedication
- Intraoperatively
- Postoperatively

If yes, would you continue prophylaxis after the surgery? Yes/No

- When mobile
- On discharge
- After specific duration (please state)...
- Other (please state)...

[6] What risk factors would you consider most important when deciding to use prophylaxis? [please score 1–9 in boxes, 1 = most important, 9 = the least etc]
- Previous venous thromboembolism
- Duration of operation
- Age
- Malignancy
- Obesity
- Hypercoagulability
- Others...

[7] Have you changed your thromboprophylaxis in the last 10 years? Yes/No

- If yes, why?
- Improved physical methods
- Improved pharmacological agents
- Increased awareness of the problem
- Concerns about liability
- Other (please state)...

[8] What diagnostic modality do you use to diagnose DVT?
- Clinical examination only
- Contrast venography
- Doppler ultrasound
- Outflow plethysmography
- Other (please state)...

[9] Do you have thromboprophylaxis protocol in your unit? Yes/No

[10] With the following clinical scenarios, would you prescribe prophylaxis?

- If so, what method?
- H = UFH L = LMWH A = Aspirin OA = Oral anticoagulant
- Other...

- Modality: Varicose vein surgery: Unilateral Yes/No
- Bilateral Yes/No
- Other...

- Patients on oral contraceptive pill Yes/No
- Patients on HRT Yes/No
- Colecystectomy Yes/No
- Lap cholecystectomy < age 40 Yes/No
- Lap cholecystectomy > age 40 Yes/No
- Laparotony < age 40 Yes/No
- Laparotomy > age 40 Yes/No
- Inguinal hernia repair < age 40 Yes/No
- Surgery with epidural anaesthesia Yes/No

Thank you for your help.

Table 1 Modalities of prophylaxis used by Welsh surgeons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>No (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elastic stockings</td>
<td>45 (79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumatic compression</td>
<td>31 (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfractioned heparin (UFH)</td>
<td>33 (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low molecular weight heparin (LMWH)</td>
<td>17 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined UFH and LMWH</td>
<td>7 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextran</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warfarin</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Twenty-three (40%) surgeons reported problems after prophylaxis, with wound oozing and haematoma appearing as the most frequent. The death of three patients has also been attributed (through the anonymous questionnaires) directly to venous thromboembolism prophylaxis. The main risk factors contributing to surgeons describing a “high risk” state were a previous history of venous thromboembolism, hypercoagulability, and malignancy (table 2). The degree of agreement between the surgeons is very good and these variables were found to be highly significant.

Table 3 shows the results regarding the various clinical scenarios. All respondents were general surgeons with a variety of subspecialty interests working mainly in district general hospitals. Over half (51%) considered that venous thromboembolism was a potential problem in their unit, but regardless of this nine surgeons (16%) stated that they did not have a departmental protocol. During the past 10 years only 26 surgeons (46%) have modified their approach to prophylaxis for venous thromboembolism, the most common reasons for doing so was the availability of improved pharmacological methods and increased awareness of the problems.

DISCUSSION

This survey has shown that current prophylactic measures are universally employed by all responding Welsh surgeons. The preferred thromboprophylactic modalities utilised were heparin, graded compression stockings, and intermittent pneumatic compression (table 1). These results are similar to those found in Australia and New Zealand and in America with the high implementation of physical methods. All Welsh surgeons used heparin (in one form or other), with less than half using LMWH. The reasons for this poor uptake are not clear, it may be related to cost, as LMWH is certainly more expensive than the conventional unfractionated heparins. There seems little doubt that the LMWHs are the most convenient of the pharmacological methods to administer: they are given once daily and require no laboratory monitoring. We feel that there should be a wider adoption of LMWH for prophylaxis, which is justified on the basis of greater safety, patient acceptability, and saving of nursing time. It is also surprising to note that two surgeons are still using aspirin. This modality has been found to be ineffective in preventing venous thromboembolism in general surgical patients, and is therefore not recommended as an appropriate strategy.

All surgeons as expected start prophylaxis before surgery, with the majority starting it more than two hours before operation. There appears to be no adverse consequence of giving the first dose two hours before operation, and there may be an additional benefit of preventing DVT developing during operation or in the immediate postoperative period. However high risk patients (where higher doses are required) would probably benefit more if prophylaxis started earlier (10–12
surgery is still a controversial issue. Several studies have shown an increased risk of calf DVT after surgery,

Regarding risk factor assessment, it is no surprise that previous venous thromboembolism is the most important indicator for prophylaxis, as several studies have shown that the incidence of postoperative DVT in these patients is over 50%. What is surprising, however, is the low percentage who consider age as an important risk factor, particularly as several studies have shown an association between advancing age and increased risk of venous thromboembolism.

It is interesting to assess the surgeons’ opinions in specific clinical situations, where a noticeable trend is the combined use of pharmacological and physical modalities, with only a few having a preference for physical methods alone. A major concern, however, is that two surgeons (4%) would perform a colectomy without prophylaxis. There has been an association between varicose veins and DVT, where a number of studies have shown an increased risk of calf DVT. It is therefore surprising that 73% of the non-responders were antiprophylaxis, and 32% of the non-responders were antiprophylaxis.

Finally consideration for using a “default” system should be encouraged. One possible method is that absolutely everybody gets prophylaxis, which could become an integral part of the treatment chart. All patients would therefore receive heparin unless it is actively crossed off. So, the “fail safe” situation is that all patients are given heparin and not that they are not given heparin. If such a policy is introduced, then vigilance is necessary to ensure that all patients are given prophylaxis.

### Table 2: Risk factors that are considered most important indicators for prophylaxis for venous thromboembolism (VTE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Total score given</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Kendall’s W test mean rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Previous VTE</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercoagulability</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignancy</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>3.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic surgical procedure</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>4.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of operation</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>5.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>5.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immobility</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>5.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>6.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varicose veins</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>8.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No, number of respondents.

Kendall’s coefficient of concordance, W=0.531, p=0.000.

### Table 3: Prophylactic modalities prescribed in specific clinical situations (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical scenario</th>
<th>No prophylaxis</th>
<th>No answer</th>
<th>Prophylaxis given</th>
<th>Pharmacological</th>
<th>Physical</th>
<th>Combination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unilateral varicose veins</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral varicose veins</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients on OCP</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients on HRT</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colectomy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lap chole &lt;40</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lap chole &gt;40</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laparotomy &lt;40</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laparotomy &gt;40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hernia repair</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidural anesthesia</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>54*</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes 7% given after implantation of epidural. HRT, oestrogen replacement therapy; OCP, oral contraceptive pill.

hours before an operation), in order to avoid excessive intraoperative bleeding. The restoration of mobility is seen as the commonest indication for stopping prophylaxis. There is evidence that the thrombotic risk persists for many weeks after surgery, despite this prophylaxis being stopped by the majority within days of operation.

With regard to the numerous (40%) complications sustained, these are merely speculative remarks on behalf of the surgeons. Unfortunately in the absence of evidence, no conclusions can be drawn.

This survey shows good evidence on the practice of consultant surgeons in Wales, and allows comparisons with other surgeons throughout the world. It also confirms the uncertainties that are present in today’s current surgical practice. This type of survey has several limitations. Our response rate is 90% and therefore would have given a totally different complexion to our results. It is also important to realise that although all surgeons state that their use of prophylaxis is universal, this does not always equate to the proportion of patients who actually receive prophylaxis. Despite these limitations, some suggestions for future practice are listed (box 1).

Whether to stop the oral contraceptive pill before major surgery is still a controversial issue. Ninety per cent of surgeons would give prophylaxis to those on the pill, which is probably a reflection of their concerns over these uncertainties. In contrast to the oral contraceptive pill, there are no reliable data to suggest that postmenopausal oestrogen replacement therapy increases the risk of venous thromboembolism. It is therefore surprising that 73% of surgeons would recommend prophylaxis for patients on oestrogen replacement therapy. As expected, fewer surgeons would adopt prophylaxis for hernia repair, as the duration of current surgical practice is short and venous thromboembolism risk minimal. With the use of epidural anaesthesia, 37% of surgeons would not adopt prophylaxis, with the obvious concerns about haemorrhage, leading to spinal cord compression. However it has been shown that provided adequate caution is taken, epidural anaesthesia can be safely used in patients receiving anticoagulant therapy. We suspect that most surgeons would regard this as an anaesthetic issue and would therefore do whatever their anaesthetist instructs.

Finally consideration for using a “default” system should be encouraged. One possible method is that absolutely everybody gets prophylaxis, which could become an integral part of the treatment chart. All patients would therefore receive heparin unless it is actively crossed off. So, the “fail safe” situation is that all patients are given heparin and not that they are not given heparin. If such a policy is introduced, then vigilance is
Box 1: Suggestions for future practice

- All surgical units to establish departmental protocols.
- A risk assessment chart to be evaluated for each patient on admission.
- All patients should receive prophylaxis according to their risk, based on the THRIFT guidelines.
- Consider pharmacological (LMWH) and physical methods of prophylaxis.
- Duplex ultrasound should be the principal tool to investigate for DVT.
- Encourage early postoperative mobilisation.
- Reasons not to give/withdraw prophylaxis should be fully communicated and recorded.
- Audit of surgical practice is essential.

needed to exclude those patients with a bleeding tendency, active peptic ulceration, severe hypertension, heparin induced thrombocytopenia, or known hypersensitivity to heparin.

CONCLUSION

The results of this survey indicate that Welsh surgeons conform to standard practice, as all the respondents routinely use prophylactic measures with over 89% using a combination of pharmacological and physical methods. Venous thromboembolism is considered a major problem and over 84% of surgeons adhere to protocols that are available in their units. Worryingly and potentially with medicolegal implications is that nine surgeons (16%) do not have a departmental protocol. The main risk factors when considering prophylaxis are previous venous thromboembolism, hypercoagulability, and malignancy.
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