A man whose psoriasis was well controlled on methotrexate treatment developed pellagra-like photosensitive dermatitis when he started taking haloperidol. Reactivation of his dermatitis was observed with methotrexate as a recall photosensitivity phenomenon. This false photosensitivity reaction is not an important but rarely encountered adverse effect. A possible interaction between methotrexate and haloperidol is emphasised.

Methotrexate has been associated with a variety of cutaneous adverse reactions, of which recall or reactivation of acute sunburn response is a peculiar reaction. This has also been termed as a false photosensitivity reaction. Methotrexate is also well known for its interaction with other drugs. Pellagra-like dermatitis, an adverse reaction of many cytotoxic drugs like 6-mercaptopurine, 5-fluorouracil, and azathioprine, however, has not been reported with the use of methotrexate. Although phenothiazines are commonly associated with photosensitivity, haloperidol, a closely related drug, has rarely been reported to induce photosensitivity.

CASE REPORT
A 41 year old man had been taking weekly oral methotrexate (15 mg) for the past 10 months for psoriasis. Haloperidol 1.5 mg twice daily was then introduced for his psychotic illness. Two weeks after starting haloperidol, he presented with a sudden onset of redness and swelling of face and hands accompanied by redness and watering of both eyes. There were no other complaints, his food intake was normal, and he did not take alcohol or herbal medicines. He denied having excessive exposure to the sun in the recent past. His general physical and systemic examination was normal. His psoriasis was well controlled and he was applying emollients over a few well defined plaques of psoriasis.

Examination revealed diffuse erythema, oedema, scaling, and erosions over his face, anterior aspect of the neck, and dorsa of both hands (fig 1). It was sharply demarcated to photo-exposed areas with characteristic sparing of upper eyelid folds, retroauricular and submental areas. In addition, he had exfoliative cheilitis, angular cheilitis, diffuse oral mucositis, bilateral conjunctival injection, photophobia, and excessive lacrimation. A differential diagnosis of pellagra-like dermatitis precipitated by haloperidol, photosensitivity to haloperidol, exacerbation of psoriasis, and a possible interaction of haloperidol and methotrexate leading to photosensitive dermatitis was considered.

The patient was hospitalised and investigations revealed a normal complete blood count, platelet count, urine analysis, and hepatic and renal function tests. A skin biopsy from the dorsum of the hand revealed parakeratosis, acanthosis, spongiosis, focal epidermal cell degeneration and dermal oedema, accompanied by a moderate lymphomononuclear infiltrate consistent with subacute dermatitis. A diagnosis of pellagra-like photosensitivity dermatitis caused by a combined deficiency of niacin, riboflavin, and other water soluble vitamins probably precipitated by haloperidol was thus considered. Haloperidol was stopped immediately, emollients were continued, and a multivitamin preparation containing niacin (100 mg) and riboflavin (10 mg), thrice daily, orally was started. The dermatitis and oral and eye symptoms resolved completely within the next five days. The next weekly dose of methotrexate was due after two days of resolution of this rash and led to a severe relapse of dermatitis within 24 hours but this time without oral and eye symptoms. As the relapse was of a different nature from that of the previous eruption and occurred despite being indoors and on a multivitamin supplement, the role of a methotrexate induced reactivation of photosensitivity was considered. The next weekly dose of methotrexate was withheld and multivitamin supplementation was also stopped. Dermatitis resolved completely within a week. After two weeks of resolution of the dermatitis, the patient was restarted on weekly methotrexate as before without any relapse over the next three months of follow up.

DISCUSSION
Photosensitivity is an adverse effect of many drugs, characteristically producing skin lesions in the areas exposed to light, which includes the face, “V” area of the neck, extensor surfaces of forearms, and dorsa of hands with sparing of submental and retroauricular areas. Two major mechanisms mediating drug induced photosensitivity reactions are phototoxic and photoallergic responses. The phototoxic response resembles a primary irritant reaction and the lesions are erythematosus and oedematous accompanied by a burning sensation. In contrast the photoallergic response mimics an
ordinary allergic contact dermatitis and its morphological appearance is vesicular and eczematous with intense pruritus. Tetracyclines, phenothiazines, sulphonamides, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, amiodarone, psoralsens, and coal tar derivatives are common causes of drug induced phototoxic reactions while halogenated salicylanilides, phenothiazines, benzodiazepines, sunscreen agents, and piroxicam are photoallergic drugs. Photosensitising agents may also result in an exacerbation or induction of a disease with a photosensitive component such as pellagra, in which a deficiency of niacin or tryptophan results in a deficiency of coenzymes that aid in repair of ultraviolet induced epidermal damage. Pellagra-like dermatitis has been associated with the use of drugs like isoniazid, 5-fluorouracil, hydroxyurea, and vinblastine.

Photosensitivity is a well documented side effect of methotrexate and in a true photosensitivity reaction simultaneous exposure to both the drug and ultraviolet radiation results in enhancement of the radiation effect. False photosensitivity or recall or delayed reactivation phenomena is a rare adverse effect of methotrexate in which it reactivates an ultraviolet-B or radiation induced injury within one to four days of the institution of the drug. If the drug is introduced simultaneously or after four to seven days, it fails to evoke the reactivation of photosensitivity. Photosensitivity recall was first observed by Vogler et al in 1965 and was subsequently confirmed by others. Although this recall phenomena is observed typically after an ultraviolet-B induced sunburn, methotrexate has rarely been observed to aggravate the photosensitivity induced by another drug like ciprofloxacin. Methotrexate has similarly been implicated in delayed reactivation of contact dermatitis and scabies. A similar recall phenomenon has been reported with other cytotoxic drugs like Adriamycin, 5-fluorouracil, hydroxyurea, and vinblastine.

The pathogenesis of this reactivation reaction, whether immunological or metabolic, is not well understood. Korosy and Houd have proposed that cells undergoing increased DNA, RNA, and protein synthesis during the epidermal hyperplasia after sunburn may be damaged by methotrexate. It may also inhibit local mononuclear cell responses to ultraviolet injury and thus augment local tissue damage. The initiation of pellagra-like dermatitis in this patient, whose psoriasis was otherwise well controlled on methotrexate treatment, was clearly associated with the institution of haloperidol. Its resolution with high dose niacin and multivitamins indicates that haloperidol probably interacted with tryptophan metabolism, which was overcome by a high dose of niacin. Reactivation of the dermatitis despite the patient being kept indoors because of hospitalisation and the failure of niacin to control the dermatitis suggests a different pathogenetic mechanism. The dermatitis persisted most probably because of the photosensitivity recall phenomena of methotrexate and subsided only when methotrexate was withdrawn. Since both methotrexate and haloperidol are not known to cause this kind of dermatitis when used alone, the possibility of interaction between the two in precipitating this photosensitive dermatitis cannot be also excluded. With increased use of methotrexate in rheumatological and dermatological disorders, it is important to remember this recall photosensitivity of methotrexate, which can reactivate a drug induced photosensitivity.

Learning points
• Patients on methotrexate should avoid sunburn or prolonged exposure to sun.
• Methotrexate should be avoided within one to four days of an acute sunburn reaction.
• Methotrexate can interact with “drugs causing photosensitivity” by reactivating their photosensitivity reaction.
• Methotrexate can be reintroduced safely after two to three weeks of reactivation phenomena.
• A similar reactivation phenomena can be observed with Adriamycin, 5-fluorouracil, hydroxyurea, and vinblastine.

Authors’ affiliations
G P Thami, S Kaur, A J Kanwar, Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Government Medical College and Hospital, Sector 32 B, Chandigarh 160047, India
Correspondence to: Dr Kanwar; goraya@glide.net.in
Submitted 4 April 2001
Accepted 21 August 2001

REFERENCES
Delayed reactivation of haloperidol induced photosensitive dermatitis by methotrexate

G P Thami, S Kaur and A J Kanwar

Postgrad Med J 2002 78: 116-117
doi: 10.1136/pmj.78.916.116

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://pmj.bmj.com/content/78/916/116

These include:

References
This article cites 11 articles, 1 of which you can access for free at:
http://pmj.bmj.com/content/78/916/116#BIBL

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections
Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections
Chemotherapy (37)
Dermatology (110)

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/