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Abstract
Pharyngeal pouches occur most commonly in elderly patients (over 70 years) and typical symptoms include dysphagia, regurgitation, chronic cough, aspiration, and weight loss. The aetiology remains unknown but theories centre upon a structural or physiological abnormality of the cricopharyngeus. A diagnosis is easily established on barium studies. Treatment is surgical via an endoscopic or external cervical approach and should include a cricopharyngeal myotomy. Unfortunately pharyngeal pouch surgery has long been associated with significant morbidity, partly due to the surgery itself and also to the fact that the majority of patients are elderly and often have general medical problems. External approaches are associated with higher complication rates than endoscopic procedures. Recently, treatment by endoscopic stapling diverticulotomy has become increasingly popular as it has distinct advantages, although long term results are not yet available. The small risk of developing carcinoma within a pouch that is not excised remains a contentious issue and is an argument for long term follow up or treating the condition by external excision, particularly in younger patients.
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Historical perspective
The first pharyngeal pouch was described by Ludlow in 1769,1 who reported an abnormal dilatation of the posterior pharyngeal wall in a postmortem examination of a patient who had complained of dysphagia during life. The pharyngeal pouch was reclassified by and Von Ziemsens concise pathological description of 34 patients with a protrusion of pharyngeal mucosa on the dorsal wall, immediately proximal to the transition from hypopharynx into oesophagus.2 The site of herniation through Killian’s dehiscence, between the thyropharyngeus and cricopharyngeal fibres of the inferior constrictor, was reported in 1908 (fig 1).3 However it was not until 1886 that Wheeler performed the first successful excision.4 Several surgical procedures have since been described for the treatment of pharyngeal pouches. These include diverticulectomy,5 diverticulopexy,6 diverticular inversion,7 8 cricopharyngeal myotomy,9 and endoscopic diverticulotomy.10 11 Mosher (1917) is widely credited as being the first to use an endoscopic technique to treat pharyngeal pouches by dividing the common septum between the oesophagus and pouch.14 In his publication Mosher reported good results on four patients and in view of these results he continued with this method until the seventh patient unfortunately developed mediastinitis and died. Dohlman redescribed and modified the endoscopic technique and reported on 39 patients on whom he had used this procedure since 1935.12 He used a specially designed double lipped hypopharyngoscope inserting the upper lip into the oesophagus and the shorter lower lip into the diverticulum, coagulated the common septum with insulated forceps, and divided it using a diathermy knife and electrocautery instruments. By 1960 this series had risen to 100 cases with a recurrence rate of 7% and no associated deaths or serious complications.16 The endoscopic method has been further modified to include the use of an operating microscope,17 carbon dioxide,17–19 and potassium titanyl phosphate (KTP)20 lasers to divide the muscular septum. More recently in 1993, endoscopic stapling diverticulotomy using a linear transecting and stapling device has been introduced by Martin-Hirsch and Newbegin11 in the UK and by Collard et al12 in Belgium.

Aetiology
A clear understanding of the pathogenesis of pharyngeal pouches is lacking. Several theories exist on the formation of these pulsion diverticulae and centre upon the structural or physiological abnormality of the cricopharyngeus muscle. The upper oesophageal sphincter between the hypopharynx and oesophagus is usually closed by resting muscle tone and opened during swallowing by relaxation of muscle fibres together with cephalic displacement of the larynx creating a negative pressure. Wouters and Van Overbeek proposed that an anatomical predisposition to a large Killian’s

Figure 1 Lateral view of pharynx showing Killian’s dehiscence.
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Pharyngeal pouch (Zenker’s diverticulum) is a pharyngeal diverticulum, which lies behind the cricopharyngeus muscle and usually contains an epithelial lining. It is often associated with a hiatal hernia, which causes the pouch to herniate through the diaphragm. The pouch is typically located in the posterior third of the hypopharynx, just above the inferior esophageal sphincter. The pouch is lined with stratified squamous epithelium and is usually present in patients over the age of 65. The pouch increases in size with age, and the incidence of pharyngeal pouches increases with age.

The clinical features of pharyngeal pouches include dysphagia, regurgitation of undigested food, aspiration, choking, and hoarseness. Other symptoms include halitosis, cough, and weight loss. The duration of symptoms may vary from weeks to several years. The pouches are usually diagnosed on barium swallow studies, which should delineate the pouch well. The radiological study is incomplete if it does not include the lower esophagus, stomach, and duodenum, in order to look for any other abnormalities such as hiatus hernia or reflux esophagitis. Contrast video-fluoroscopy allows constant monitoring of the swallowing mechanism, which is valuable as single shot barium swallows may miss a small diverticulum. Barium swallow studies may demonstrate a filling defect which does not move between films (as food bolus does) and any loss of the smooth contour of the interior of the pouch should raise the suspicion of a carcinoma. If present, the pouch may include emaciation and rarely a swelling maybe felt in the neck which may gurgle on palpation (Boyce’s sign).

Pharyngeal pouches are readily diagnosed on barium studies, which should delineate the pouch well. The radiological study is incomplete if it does not include the lower esophagus, stomach, and duodenum, in order to look for any other abnormalities such as hiatus hernia or reflux esophagitis. Contrast video-fluoroscopy allows constant monitoring of the swallowing mechanism, which is valuable as single shot barium swallows may miss a small diverticulum. Barium swallow studies may demonstrate a filling defect which does not move between films (as food bolus does) and any loss of the smooth contour of the interior of the pouch should raise the suspicion of a carcinoma. If present, the pouch may include emaciation and rarely a swelling maybe felt in the neck which may gurgle on palpation (Boyce’s sign).

A possible malignancy in a pouch should be suggested when there has been a sudden increase in the severity of symptoms, particularly progressive dysphagia or aphagia or if there is pain, haemoptysis, or more marked regurgitation of food. Pharyngeal pouches are readily diagnosed on barium studies, which should delineate the pouch well. The radiological study is incomplete if it does not include the lower esophagus, stomach, and duodenum, in order to look for any other abnormalities such as hiatus hernia or reflux esophagitis. Contrast video-fluoroscopy allows constant monitoring of the swallowing mechanism, which is valuable as single shot barium swallows may miss a small diverticulum. Barium swallow studies may demonstrate a filling defect which does not move between films (as food bolus does) and any loss of the smooth contour of the interior of the pouch should raise the suspicion of a carcinoma. If present, the pouch may include emaciation and rarely a swelling maybe felt in the neck which may gurgle on palpation (Boyce’s sign).
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Three vertebrae. Three vertebrae in size and large if it is greater than one cervical vertebra. The myotomy and creating a single lumen. Essentially performing an internal cricopharyngeal myotomy. That lies between the oesophagus and pouch. The common muscular and mucosal septum which oral feeding is resumed. A nasogastric tube for five to seven days after the pouch and one into the oesophagus thus isolating the common septum. The gun is fired thus cutting the septum and simultaneously sealing the edges with staples. This achieves opening of the neck of the pouch and division of the cricopharyngeus with closure of the party wall between the pouch and oesophagus thereby sealing the potential opening into the mediastinum. The advantages of using a stapling device over laser or diathermy are a reduced risk of perforation and subsequent mediastinitis as the divided edges of the septum are sealed by the staples, better haemostasis and avoidance of thermal damage to the recurrent laryngeal nerve. In addition, endoscopic stapling has the advantages of a short anaesthetic time (which is particularly important in the elderly or medically unfit), early resumption of oral intake, short inpatient stay, minimal postoperative pain and straightforward revision surgery, which in the case of excision can be difficult as scar tissue makes identification of the diverticulum hazardous (see table 1). However, there are limitations with the endoscopic approach as exposing both the diverticulum or oesophagus may prove difficult due to patient anatomy or underlying disease such as kyphosisis. Also in patients with a small pouch there can be difficulty in fitting the stapling gun around the common septum, which may result in an insufficient cricopharyngeal myotomy. In addition, there is no specimen available for pathological assessment, although the pouch should be carefully inspected before stapling with biopsies taken of any suspicious areas of mucosa. Despite these limitations this technique has been recommended as the treatment of choice for an established pharyngeal pouch.

Although the pouch has not been removed, it no longer fills and food passes into the oesophageal lumen with relief of symptoms. Patients can usually resume oral intake within 24 hours.

Dohlman’s technique divides the septum using electrocoagulation. This technique was widely used until Van Overbeek in 1984 introduced microendoscopic surgery using the operating microscope and either electrocoagulation or a carbon dioxide laser to achieve division of the septum. His experience with 274 patients confirmed microendoscopic treatment as a reliable treatment method. He felt that using a microscope was a significant improvement in endoscopic surgery and felt little difference in patients who had undergone carbon dioxide laser or diathermy treatment except that pain was probably less in patients undergoing laser in the first few postoperative days. His recent published experience has now extended to 545 cases. A modification of this method described by Kuhn and Bent employed a KTP/532 laser and they reported no major complications on a small series of 10 patients.

Recently endoscopic stapling devices have become increasingly popular. A distending Storz double lipped hypopharyngoscope is used to visualise the common septum. It allows passage of the stapling gun and is based on the original Dohlman’s hypopharyngoscopy. An autosuture disposable surgical staple is passed through the endoscope with one jaw in the pouch and one into the oesophagus thus isolating the common septum. The gun is fired thus cutting the septum and simultaneously sealing the edges with staples. This achieves opening of the neck of the pouch and division of the cricopharyngeus with closure of the party wall between the pouch and oesophagus thereby sealing the potential opening into the mediastinum. The advantages of using a stapling device over laser or diathermy are a reduced risk of perforation and subsequent mediastinitis as the divided edges of the septum are sealed by the staples, better haemostasis and avoidance of thermal damage to the recurrent laryngeal nerve. In addition, endoscopic stapling has the advantages of a short anaesthetic time (which is particularly important in the elderly or medically unfit), early resumption of oral intake, short inpatient stay, minimal postoperative pain and straightforward revision surgery, which in the case of excision can be difficult as scar tissue makes identification of the diverticulum hazardous (see table 1). However, there are limitations with the endoscopic approach as exposing both the diverticulum or oesophagus may prove difficult due to patient anatomy or underlying disease such as kyphosis. Also in patients with a small pouch there can be difficulty in fitting the stapling gun around the common septum, which may result in an insufficient cricopharyngeal myotomy. In addition, there is no specimen available for pathological assessment, although the pouch should be carefully inspected before stapling with biopsies taken of any suspicious areas of mucosa. Despite these limitations this technique has been recommended as the treatment of choice for an established pharyngeal pouch.

4 cm. Van Overbeek and Groote classify the pouch as small if it is less than one cervical vertebra and large if it is greater than three vertebrae.32

Box 2: Surgical treatment methods

**Endoscopic**
- Dohlman’s (electrocoagulation).
- Dohlman’s (laser).
- Stapling.

**External**
- Cricopharyngeal myotomy.
- Diverticulectomy.
- Inversion.
- Diverticulectomy (suspension).
of choice for all pouches by several authors. The amount of septum that can safely be divided is a matter of judgment for the surgeon and is probably related to the experience of the surgeon. The reported complication rates are very low but long term outcomes are yet to be reported.

Diverticulectomy has the advantage that it completely removes the pouch and technically can be used to manage all sizes of pouch, although this maybe difficult in the smallest pouches. A diverticulectomy also eliminates any theoretical risk of carcinoma which may exist in residual mucosa of a pouch that may remain after endoscopic treatment. However it does carry a higher complication rate including wound infections, haematoma, fistula formation, surgical emphysema, mediastinitis, and vocal cord paralysis (box 3). It also requires a longer anaesthetic time, making it less appropriate for the elderly or frail patient and a longer hospital stay.

Inversion of a pouch is usually used to treat small to medium sized pouches. Bowdler and Stell reported a reduced mortality, complication rate, and hospital stay with inversion surgery over diverticulectomy. They proposed that inversion should be the treatment of choice. However they excluded patients who underwent endoscopic procedures. A more recent report concurred with this conclusion but also stated that inversion is better if pouches are not too large and not too longstanding to risk leaving subclinical carcinoma. In a recent review of current practice in pharyngeal pouch surgery among otolaryngologists, general surgeons and cardiothoracic surgeons, excision was found to be the commonest procedure performed by general surgeons but the Dohlman’s procedure was popular among otolaryngologists probably because of their familiarity with rigid endoscopy. It was also mentioned that endoscopic stapling diverticulotomy was becoming increasingly popular.

Both external excision and endoscopic methods can be used to treat recurrences of the condition. However, endoscopic methods have been proposed as a safer alternative. Koay et al have reported improved symptoms after restapling in recurrent diverticulae without increased morbidity.

In comparing the treatment modalities, most series show that the endoscopic and external approaches are equally effective treatments. However there is no doubt that the external approach has a higher complication rate, including vocal cord paralysis, mediastinitis, fistula formation, glottic oedema, and stricture formation. However these studies were retrospective and lacked criteria for selecting patients and the procedures were performed by a large number of surgeons from several specialties. It is thus difficult to draw firm conclusions as to which is the better method of treatment, although in centres performing endoscopic diverticulotomy as a first line treatment for pharyngeal pouch, the results seem to be consistently good.

However as endoscopic stapling is a relatively new technique, complications may not as yet be well reported.

There is a definite learning curve with endoscopic procedures and therefore higher success rates can be expected from larger series of patients. This is borne out by Van Overbeek who achieved a low complication rate in one of the largest published series of 545 patients.

Assessment of treatment outcome can be made clinically. There is no role for post-operative contrast studies as they bear little correlation to symptoms and lax mucosa that remains following stapling may appear to be a residual pouch in an asymptomatic patient. Therefore the need for further treatment should be guided clinically by patient symptoms.

Patient satisfaction studies have been used to evaluate treatment methods. Wouters and Van Overbeek reported a 99% satisfaction rate after endoscopic diathermy or laser myotomy performed on 507 patients. In this series there was an 8% incidence of significant complications, a 2% rate of mediastinitis and one death. In a comparison of excision and endoscopic stapling, Van Eeden et al reported improved symptoms in 88% of endoscopically treated patients and 70% of those undergoing excision with a 5% complication rate for endoscopic procedures and a 23% rate for external procedures. Short and long term follow ups of patients testify to a satisfactory outcome in 90% or more patients treated by endoscopic or external surgery, although there are at present no long term results of endoscopic stapling diverticulotomy.

### Table 1 Advantages/disadvantages of endoscopic v external surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>External</th>
<th>Endoscopic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Longer procedure</td>
<td>Short procedure and anaesthetic time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longer hospital stay (typically 5–7 days) with nasogastric feeds for 5 days</td>
<td>Short hospital stay (1–2 days) with oral intake within 24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher complication rate</td>
<td>Lower complication rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen available for histological assessment to exclude carcinoma</td>
<td>No histological assessment of pouch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proved long term satisfactory results</td>
<td>Long term results of stapling awaited, although good results reported with laser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revision surgery can be difficult</td>
<td>Revision surgery straightforward</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Box 3: Complications of pharyngeal pouch surgery
- Recurrent laryngeal nerve damage.
- Pouch perforation.
- Mediastinitis.
- Pharyngeal fistula.
- Wound infection.
- Pharyngeal stenosis.
- Recurrence of pouch.
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Questions (answers on p 511)

1. What is the site of herniation of a pharyngeal pouch?
   (A) Cricopharyngeus.
   (B) Thyroid cartilage.
   (C) Middle constrictor.
   (D) Between the cricopharyngeus and thyropharyngeus fibres of the inferior constrictor muscle.
   (E) Upper oesophagus.

2. What are the possible aetiological factors in the development of a pharyngeal pouch?
   (A) Age (over 50 years).
   (B) Female sex.
   (C) Poor upper oesophageal sphincter pressures.
   (D) Hiatus herniation and oesophageal reflux.
   (E) Large Killian’s dehiscence.

3. What are the common symptoms that a pharyngeal pouch may present with?
   (A) Dysphagia.
   (B) Voice change.
   (C) Odynophagia.
   (D) Regurgitation.
   (E) Weight loss.

4. What symptoms or signs may raise the possibility of a malignancy within a pouch?
   (A) Aphagia or rapidly progressing dysphagia.
   (B) Pain.
   (C) Haemoptysis.
   (D) Smooth contour on videofluoroscopic examination.
   (E) Dyspnoea.

5. Treatment of a pharyngeal pouch, if indicated, is surgical. What are the possible options?
   (A) Pouch excision.
   (B) Pouch inversion.
   (C) Pharyngocoele and dilation of the pharynx.
   (D) Endoscopic stapling diverticulotomy (Dohlman’s procedure).
   (E) Endoscopic electrocautery (Dohlman’s procedure).

6. Early studies have suggested a reduced complication rate with the endoscopic approach to treatment, but what are the other potential benefits of this approach?
   (A) Shorter anaesthetic time.
   (B) Reduced recurrence rate.
   (C) Early resumption of oral intake.
   (D) Various surgical specialties can perform it.
   (E) Shorter hospital stay.

7. Which of the following are the disadvantages of the endoscopic approach?
   (A) Due to neck anatomy (for example, kyphosis) access may be too limited to carry out the procedure.
   (B) Difficult to treat large pouches.
   (C) Increased risk of mediastinitis.
   (D) Increased risk of damage to the recurrent laryngeal nerve.
   (E) No specimen is sent for pathological assessment.

Carcinoma and carcinoma in situ are both rare. The main predisposing factor in carcinoma developing within pharyngeal pouches is thought to be chronic inflammation of the pouch lining over many years, secondary to food retention. To date 45 cases of carcinoma have been reported in the English language literature. The diagnosis is often made at surgery when cleaning of the pouch and careful examination with an oesophagoscope or Hopkins rod should be performed prior to any definitive surgical procedure. However cases of carcinoma in situ or small carcinomas may not be detected radiologically or by endoscopic examination. In a recent review by Bradley et al, two cases of carcinoma in situ were reported. Both of these had not been suspected clinically or found on endoscopy and the diagnosis was established by histopathological examination. Thus such lesions can be potentially left in pouches treated endoscopically. A further potential problem in patients treated by endoscopic surgery is that if the pouch persists despite a lack of symptoms, will it still become irritated by food bolus and are they therefore still at risk of a carcinoma? In such cases it could be argued that patients should be informed of the risk of carcinoma development in the pouch years later if it is not excised at the first presentation. It is for this reason that some authors propose that patients less than 65 years should undergo excision of the pouch with a long cricopharyngeal myotomy and pathological examination of the pouch. Long term follow up should be considered in these cases and endoscopic examination of the whole oesophagus should be undertaken if symptoms persist or recur. Such long term follow up of endoscopic cases will hopefully clarify the true risk of carcinoma developing in such instances.

Conclusion

The surgical procedures used to treat pharyngeal pouches vary widely. The preferred treatment depends on individual experience and proponents of all methods report good results. Endoscopic stapling diverticulotomy is becoming increasingly popular and is the treatment of choice in many centres, although the potential risk of a subclinical carcinoma being missed in a pouch which is then not excised remains. Hence long term follow up studies which are available for all other treatment methods are necessary for endoscopic stapling as these may change our future management approach to the pharyngeal pouch.

1 Ludlow A. A case of obstructed deglutition from a preternatural dilatation of a pouch formed in the pharynx. Medical observations and enquiries by a society of physicians in London. 2nd Ed. 1769:3-85-101.
4 Wheeler WD. Pharyngocoele and dilation of the pharynx, with existing diverticulum at lower portion of pharynx lying posterior to the oesophagus, cured by pharyngotomy, being the first of the kind recorded. Dublin Journal of Medical Science 1886;82:349-56.
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