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Abstract
Sudden cardiac death in the community remains a major public health problem. The purpose of this article is to outline the epidemiology, pathophysiology, and immediate treatment of the cardiac arrest victim. The subsequent in-hospital diagnostic evaluation and management will then be discussed with an emphasis on the role of the implantable cardioverter-defibrillator. A systematic and evidence based approach should help to optimize patient care. (Postgrad Med J 2001;77:363–370)
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Epidemiology
Sudden cardiac death is defined as unexpected natural death due to cardiac causes, heralded by abrupt loss of consciousness, and occurring within one hour of the onset of acute symptoms.1 It is estimated that approximately 300 000 cases of sudden cardiac death occur per year in the United States with about 50 000 cases per year in the United Kingdom.2 However, the nature of the condition makes estimates of incidence speculative. Around 80% of sudden cardiac deaths occur in the home, 40% are unwitnessed, and bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation is initiated in only 10%–20% of cases.3 Because up to 80% of cardiac arrest survivors have coronary artery disease, the epidemiology of these two conditions run parallel.4 Some autopsy series have documented significant coronary atherosclerosis in over 90% of victims.5 This may be because patients with non-coronary pathology are more likely to survive cardiac arrest.

The annual risk of sudden cardiac death for the whole population is about 0.1%, rising to an estimated 2%–4% for patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy, or arrhythmogenenic right ventricular dysplasia, and to around 20% for cardiac arrest survivors in the era before availability of the implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD).6

Underlying pathology
Table 1 outlines common and important conditions found in these patients. Major predisposing pathological substrates include the presence of a myocardial scar, ventricular hypertrophy from any cause, the various types of cardiomyopathy, and a primary electrical abnormality. About 80% of cardiac arrest survivors have coronary atherosclerosis, 15% have non-coronary structural heart disease, and the remaining 5% have no identifiable structural substrate.

Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is the commonest cause of sudden cardiac death in athletes aged less than 35 years whereas in older age groups coronary artery disease is the predominant aetiology. Concussion of the heart from non-penetrating blunt trauma to the anterior chest (commotio cordis) is a recognised cause of sudden death during sporting activities and is seen particularly in the young because of the presence of a more compliant chest wall.7

Drugs are an important preventable cause of sudden cardiac death. The Cardiac Arrhythmia Suppression Trial (CAST) served to highlight the dangerous proarrhythmic potential of antiarrhythmic drugs in patients with underlying structural heart disease.8 Diuretics have been incriminated as a cause of cardiac arrest in the hypertensive patient.9 This may be mediated by hypokalaemia or hypomagnesaemia.

In about 5% of victims of sudden death, no evidence of structural heart disease can be found. The Unexplained Cardiac Arrest Registry of Europe (UCARE) and the Idiopathic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coronary atherosclerosis</td>
<td>Remote myocardial infarction, acute myocardial infarction, acute myocardial ischaemia, left ventricular aneurysm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiomyopathy</td>
<td>Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, dilated cardiomyopathy, arrhythmogenenic right ventricular dysplasia, cardiac sarcoid, myocarditis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valvular heart disease</td>
<td>Aortic stenosis, prosthetic valve dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital heart disease</td>
<td>Eisenmenger's syndrome, tetralogy of Fallot, transposition of the great arteries, pulmonary vascular obstruction, congenital coronary artery anomaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrophysiological abnormality</td>
<td>Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome, conduction system disease, congenital long QT syndrome, idiopathic ventricular fibrillation,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brugada syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>Coronary artery spasm, Marfan's syndrome, pulmonary hypertension, drugs (non-cardiac, cardiac, antiarrhythmic, illicit), ruptured sinus of valsalva aneurysm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ventricular Fibrillation registry of the United States (IVF-US) have recently been established to gather more information on these cases.

Pathophysiology of sudden cardiac death

The mechanisms triggering fatal arrhythmias are complex and poorly understood but are likely to vary depending on the underlying pathology and probably also between patients with the same pathology (fig 1).

Plaque rupture with superadded thrombus in the coronary tree are found at necropsy in many sudden cardiac death victims with coronary atherosclerosis and suggests a role for myocardial ischaemia as an initiator of the terminal event. However, only about 20% of cardiac arrest survivors have features of acute transmural myocardial infarction, although approximately half have evidence of remote myocardial infarction with a healed scar. Small cardiac enzyme elevations are the rule and likely reflect a combination of factors including non-Q wave myocardial infarction, transient circulatory failure, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, and defibrillation.

Clearly, plaque vulnerability, electrical instability, and thrombotic tendency are key pathophysiological issues in the coronary patient. Experimental studies in animals with healed infarcts have confirmed that superadded ischaemia in this setting is particularly likely to provoke malignant ventricular arrhythmias. In the clinical arena, vigorous unaccustomed exercise and profound emotional or psychological stress are well recognised precipitants of sudden cardiac death in people with established coronary atherosclerosis. Rarely, a supraventricular arrhythmia can precipitate sudden death.

Resuscitation in the community

The key elements of a community resuscitation programme, represented in the “chain of survival” motif, are early access, early basic cardiopulmonary resuscitation, early defibrillation, and early advanced cardiac life support (fig 2). Victims of cardiac arrest in the community tend to have less comorbidity and fewer systemic precipitants than patients who arrest in hospital.

Bottiger et al reported long term outcome after out of hospital cardiac arrest with a physician staffed emergency response system serving an urban/suburban area of 330 000 inhabitants. For 338 patients, return of spontaneous circulation was seen in 49%, survival to intensive care unit admission in 38%, and survival to hospital discharge and one year was achieved in 14% and 12% of patients, respectively. Overall, five lives were saved per 100 000 population per year.

Early in-hospital management

As full recovery after cardiac arrest is rarely immediate, restoration of sinus rhythm marks the start and not the end of a resuscitation attempt. Without treatment, irreversible cerebral dysfunction occurs within about three minutes of circulatory arrest.

Meticulous control of blood gases, pH and electrolytes in the period immediately after the arrest is necessary. In many cases, this can be achieved only by endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation of a sedated and paralysed patient on the intensive care unit. The haemodynamics of the period after cardiac arrest are complex and generally require invasive monitoring of arterial, central venous, and pulmonary capillary wedge pressure as well as cardiac output. Myocardial dysfunction with haemodynamic instability is the rule. The maintenance of an adequate cerebral perfusion
pressure, by ensuring an optimal mean arterial pressure, may be aided by a low to normal carbon dioxide partial pressure, to minimise cerebral oedema. Close monitoring of haemodynamics and urine output can be used to guide fluid management and circulatory support with inotropes, vasodilators and, if necessary, the intra-aortic balloon pump. Cardiac arrhythmias are extremely common and recurrent ventricular fibrillation is seen in 10%–20% of patients, usually within the first 48 hours of hospitalisation. Persistent ventricular arrhythmias may be managed with intravenous lignocaine (lidocaine) or amiodarone.

Where arrest complicates acute myocardial infarction, decisions regarding acute percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary thrombolysis should be made on an individual patient basis, carefully weighing the risks versus benefits. Restoration of vessel patency at the time of acute infarction may reduce the occurrence of subsequent ventricular arrhythmias. These patients should be managed like any other acute myocardial infarct.

Common respiratory problems in these patients include the adult respiratory distress syndrome, aspiration, pneumothorax, and rib fractures. Some degree of aspiration is probably an inevitable consequence of cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Gastric decompression with a nasogastric tube and prophylactic antibiotics should be considered. Seizures secondary to anoxic encephalopathy occur frequently and may require anticonvulsants. Residual permanent cognitive impairment is seen in a significant minority of cardiac arrest survivors. As in all critically ill patients, the risk of stress ulceration should be countered with appropriate medications such as ranitidine or sucralfate.

Unfortunately, many patients who are initially resuscitated die within 72 hours from persistent cerebral or myocardial dysfunction after arrest. However, in the period immediately after resuscitation it is not possible to reliably predict long term outcome and therefore all patients should be managed aggressively. In patients who are unconscious when admitted to the hospital, the prognosis becomes more unfavourable the longer coma persists. In one study, 25% of patients who regained consciousness did so by the time of admission, 71% by day 1, 86% by day 2, and 92% by day 3. The usual practice is to provide full support in the intensive care unit for at least 72 hours. A persistent vegetative state may develop in a small minority of survivors. Diagnostic evaluation

Survivors of out of hospital cardiac arrest not associated with acute transmural myocardial infarction who are neurologically intact require an extensive diagnostic work-up. Two key issues are the diagnosis of the underlying substrate and the identification of reversible causes of cardiac arrest (table 2). The strong link between coronary artery disease and sudden cardiac death makes coronary angiography, stress testing, and assessment of left ventricular function essential and helps determine the need for coronary revascularisation. Left ventricular function is commonly abnormal, often severely so, and is the most important determinant of long term outcome in these patients. Among the cardiomyopathies, arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia is an uncommon but important cause of malignant ventricular arrhythmias. The diagnostic criteria for this condition have recently been reported.

It has been estimated that a precipitant of the arrhythmia can be identified in 25% of cases with no obvious trigger in the other 75%. This issue influences the risk of recurrence. Recognised factors include acute myocardial infarction and ischaemia, severe hypokalaemia, supraventricular tachycardia, and drug proarrhythmia.

Acute Q wave myocardial infarction is seen in about 20% of patients who have coronary atherosclerosis as the underlying pathology. Moderate cardiac enzyme elevations compatible with periarrest non-Q wave myocardial infarction are very common but may also be due to transient circulatory arrest, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, and defibrillation. As it is generally not possible to make the distinction with certainty, these findings do not preclude recommendation of an ICD.

Acute myocardial ischaemia can precipitate ventricular fibrillation. These patients give a history of angina before collapse and usually have angiographic evidence of severe coronary artery disease with physiological data confirming reversible ischaemia. The diagnosis is more secure if there is no wall motion abnormality and left ventricular function is normal.

Severe hypokalaemia (potassium <2.5 mmol/l), often related to diuretic use, can trigger a fatal ventricular arrhythmia. However, in the period after resuscitation, hypokalaemia may be due to the effects of catecholamine release and therefore can be a consequence rather than a cause of the cardiac arrest.

Ventricular arrhythmias secondary to various medications, antiarrhythmic agents, and illicit drugs such as cocaine are an important

### Table 2: Selected causes of sudden cardiac death and elements of their diagnostic work-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Investigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td>Coronary angiography, stress testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery spasm</td>
<td>Ergonovine study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocarditis; sarcoidosis</td>
<td>Endomyocardial biopsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertrophic and dilated cardiomyopathy; aortic stenosis</td>
<td>Echocardiography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia</td>
<td>Magnetic resonance imaging, signal averaged electrocardiogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome; supraventricular tachycardia</td>
<td>Electrophysiological testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brugada syndrome</td>
<td>Pharmacological challenge with a sodium channel blocker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital long QT syndrome; hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; Brugada syndrome</td>
<td>Family screening, genotyping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit drug abuse</td>
<td>Blood and urine toxicology screen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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General aspects of management

As approximately 80% of cardiac arrest survivors have coronary atherosclerosis, it is imperative that all coronary risk factors such as hypertension, hypercholesterolaemia, cigarette smoking, diabetes, and obesity are managed aggressively. Regular exercise on a cardiac rehabilitation programme may, by increasing vagal tone, be protective. Anxiety and depression are not uncommon and require early recognition, counselling, and psychological support.27

For patients with coronary atherosclerosis, aspirin 75–325 mg/day should be prescribed indefinitely, unless contraindicated. A recent overview of 145 randomised trials of prolonged antiplatelet treatment versus control in about 70 000 patients concluded that in a wide range of patients at high risk of occlusive vascular disease aspirin offers worthwhile protection against myocardial infarction, stroke, and death.28

Lipid lowering treatment with a hydroxymethylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase inhibitor has been shown to reduce total mortality in patients with coronary artery disease in several clinical trials. For example, the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S) showed that simvastatin significantly improved survival and reduced the occurrence of major coronary events and need for myocardial revascularisation in patients with angina pectoris or previous myocardial infarction with a raised serum cholesterol despite dietary measures.29

Cardiac arrest survivors with congestive heart failure should be treated with an angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor as there is overwhelming evidence that they confer prognostic benefit.30 Clinical trials in patients after infarct have also shown that these drugs reduce mortality. A recent meta-analysis of 15 clinical trials involving over 15 000 patients found that ACE inhibitor treatment significantly reduced total mortality, cardiovascular death, and sudden cardiac death in patients after recent myocardial infarction.27 Where ACE inhibitors are not tolerated or contraindicated, alternatives include nitrates plus hydralazine and treatment with a specific angiotensin II receptor blocker such as losartan.28

The Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study (RALES) found that spironolactone, an aldosterone receptor blocker, substantially reduces the risk of both morbidity and death among patients with severe heart failure.29 Spironolactone 25–50 mg per day or placebo was added to conventional treatment with an ACE inhibitor, loop diuretic and digoxin, and after a mean follow-up of 24 months, total mortality was reduced from 46% in the placebo group to 35% in the spironolactone group (p<0.001). Sudden death was also reduced (p=0.02) and the authors speculated that these beneficial effects might arise by the avoidance of potassium loss or the prevention of myocardial fibrosis.

The value of β-blockade in patients after myocardial infarction is well established.30 More recently, treatment with β-blockers in patients with chronic stable heart failure has been shown to reduce all-cause mortality and prevent sudden death in three prospective randomised trials. These were the US Carvedilol Heart Failure Study,31 the Metoprolol CR/XL Randomized Intervention Trial in Congestive Heart Failure (MERIT-HF),32 and the Cardiac Insufficiency Bisoprolol Study II (CIBIS II).33 The addition of carvedilol (3.125–50 mg twice a day), controlled release metoprolol (12.5–200 mg once a day), or bisoprolol (1.25–10 mg once a day) to conventional treatment was effective and well tolerated. These studies included patients with both ischaemic and non-ischaemic heart failure in functional class 2–4 and raise the possibility that β-blockade may prevent sudden death by an antifibrillatory effect. Although there is no direct evidence that any of the drugs outlined above are of value in the cardiac arrest survivor, their benefits are likely to extend to most of these patients.

Coronary revascularisation

Cardiac arrest in patients with coronary atherosclerosis occurs in three overlapping settings: acute myocardial infarction; transient myocardial ischaemia without infarction; and ventricular arrhythmias arising from a previous, healed, myocardial infarct (fig 3). A small group of patients have myocardial ischaemia as the primary cause of cardiac arrest. Collapse typically occurs in relation to exercise, there is an antecedent history of chest pain but no evidence of acute infarction and subsequent stress testing reveals severe ischaemia with a large amount of jeopardised myocardium. Cardiac catheterisation shows severe proximal multivessel disease and normal global left ventricular systolic function with no evidence of a regional wall motion abnormality. Most experts agree that these patients can be managed with coronary revascularisation alone without cardioverter-defibrillator implantation, with decisions between coronary artery
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bypass grafting and percutaneous coronary intervention being made on an individual case basis.

At the other end of the clinical spectrum are patients with previous myocardial infarction who collapse while resting and give no history of preceding chest pain or limiting angina. Stress testing typically reveals minimal or no reversible ischaemia. Cardiac catheterisation shows non-critical coronary artery disease and depressed global left ventricular function with a fixed wall motion abnormality. Implantation of a cardioverter-defibrillator without concomitant coronary revascularisation is appropriate. Many patients fall between these extremes and require both coronary revascularisation and an ICD. As the procedural risk of cardioverter-defibrillator implantation is higher in patients with untreated myocardial ischaemia, coronary revascularisation should be undertaken first.

Observational data from the Coronary Artery Surgery Study (CASS) registry supports this approach. A comparison of medical with surgical treatment in 13 476 patients using multivariate techniques found that coronary artery bypass grafting significantly reduced the incidence of sudden cardiac death and total mortality over five years of follow up. The relief of myocardial ischaemia may have reduced or eliminated the events triggering malignant ventricular arrhythmias in these patients. Similar positive findings were reported from Seattle et al in 265 survivors of out of hospital cardiac arrest resuscitated between 1970 and 1988.

Table 3 Implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) secondary prevention trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AVID</th>
<th>CIDS</th>
<th>CASH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of patients</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of patients with ventricular fibrillation (%)</td>
<td>455 (45)</td>
<td>314 (48)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean patient age (years)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per cent with CAD</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean left ventricular ejection fraction (%)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiarrhythmic drug group treatment</td>
<td>Amiodarone, sotalol</td>
<td>Amiodarone</td>
<td>Amiodarone, metoprolol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean follow up (months)</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary endpoint</td>
<td>Total mortality</td>
<td>Total mortality</td>
<td>Total mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two year total mortality in ICD group (%)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two year total mortality in AAD group (%)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AAD, antiarrhythmic drug; AVID, Antiarrhythmics Versus Implantable Defibrillators Study; CAD, coronary artery disease; CASH, Cardiac Arrest Study Hamburg; CIDS, Canadian Implantable Defibrillator Study.

Figure 4 What proportion of total mortality is device preventable?

The implantable cardioverter-defibrillator

Implantation of a cardioverter-defibrillator is the most important element of treatment for the cardiac arrest survivor and has been shown to improve survival in several clinical trials (table 3). The Antiarrhythmics Versus Implantable Defibrillator (AVID) study observed clinical benefit from ICD therapy only in patients with a left ventricular ejection fraction <35%. Furthermore, the average unadjusted length of additional life associated with ICD therapy in AVID was only 2.7 months after three years of follow up raising the issue of competing causes of death and what proportion of total mortality is device preventable in these patients (fig 4). The Cardiac Arrest Study Hamburg (CASH) trial also had a propafenone group which was discontinued early after a significant excess mortality was observed. It is possible that the smaller ICD benefit found in the Canadian Implantable Defibrillator Study (CIDS) was due to its longer duration of follow up compared with AVID as the two studies were of similar statistical power. One would expect deaths that were not device preventable to become more prominent with longer follow up.

Based on the above studies the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association guidelines list cardiac arrest due to ventricular tachycardia or ventricular fibrillation without a transient or reversible cause as a class I indication for ICD therapy. Outcome data from the AVID registry which includes trial eligible randomised, eligible non-randomised, and ineligible patients has recently been reported. A surprise finding was that cardiac arrest survivors with or without an identifiable transient or correctable cause for the arrhythmia had a similarly poor prognosis: mortality was 18% compared with 17% during a mean follow up of 17 months. Clinical judgment regarding the presence of a reversible factor may be inaccurate raising the possibility that ICD therapy may be beneficial for a broader range of patients than previously thought, especially if the left ventricular ejection fraction is <35%.

Technological advances have greatly reduced the size of cardioverter-defibrillators such that transvenous prepectoral implantation under conscious sedation is now routine and has a mortality risk of less than 1%. Current devices
Biphasic shocks result in a substantially lower defibrillation threshold and have therefore replaced the monophasic waveform. Bidirectional shock vectors involving two lead coils and the ICD casing offer advantages over conventional bipolar or single coil unipolar configurations and are in common use. Defibrillation thresholds around 10 J are usual and tend to be lower with device implantation on the left side. It may be appropriate to abbreviate defibrillation threshold testing in some patients with poor cardiac function to minimise procedural risk. Current systems use integrated bipolar sensing between the lead tip electrode and the large distal coil which theoretically makes oversensing more likely. Leads with true dedicated bipolar sensing may be superior but are difficult to manufacture and not yet generally available. Device testing at implant is done at the least sensitive setting. If sensing of ventricular fibrillation is adequate the device is generally programmed to a more sensitive level which provides a fourfold safety margin, making oversensing more likely in the long term. Similarly, when programming shock therapy in the ventricular fibrillation zone, the first shock administered should have a 10 J safety margin over the defibrillation threshold as this threshold rises by about 5 J in around 50% of patients over the ensuing months. For most cardiac arrest survivors a single chamber ICD suffices. Detection enhancements such as stability algorithms, onset criteria, and arrhythmia duration have also helped to reduce the frequency of shocks triggered by sinus tachycardia, atrial fibrillation, or non-sustained ventricular tachycardia.

Defibrillator follow up is scheduled for every three months as a minimum and patients should be encouraged to carry personal identification and information about their device with them at all times. Patients should not drive for six months after implantation and for six months after each subsequent shock. Commercial driving should be prohibited indefinitely.42

Other aspects of arrhythmia management
Amiodarone is a potential alternative treatment if cardioverter-defibrillator implantation is contraindicated or refused. Contraindications include major cerebral dysfunction after arrest, significant psychiatric morbidity, terminal illness with life expectancy less than six months, and functional class 4 drug refractory heart failure in patients who are not candidates for cardiac transplantation. This drug has a complex pharmacological profile, multiple mechanisms of action, and the potential for serious side effects with long term treatment including pulmonary fibrosis, hepatic toxicity, and thyroid dysfunction. Close monitoring is therefore essential. Amiodarone has a half life of 30–100 days and therefore peak effect occurs only after several weeks of treatment with an oral loading dose.

The Cardiac Arrest in Seattle: Conventional versus Amiodarone Drug Evaluation (CASCADE) study compared empiric amiodarone to a Holter or electrophysiological study guided alternative antiarrhythmic agent (most commonly quinidine or procainamide) in 228 survivors of out of hospital ventricular fibrillation.43 Survival free of cardiac death, resuscitated ventricular fibrillation, or a syncopal defibrillator shock at two years was 82% for amiodarone compared with 69% for conventional treatment (p=0.007). A recent meta-analysis of 15 randomised trials of amiodarone for the prevention of sudden cardiac death found that amiodarone significantly reduced total mortality by 10% (placebo controlled trial only) to 19% (all trials).44 Mortality reductions were similar in trials enrolling patients after myocardial infarction (21%), with left ventricular dysfunction (22%), and after cardiac arrest (25%).

Approximately one third of cardioverter-defibrillator recipients also receive antiarrhythmic medication, most commonly for the control of coexisting supraventricular arrhythmias such as atrial fibrillation. Another important indication is frequent device discharges, which, if unchecked, can substantially reduce the ICD’s usual five year longevity. Patient’s presenting with multiple appropriate shocks are generally admitted to hospital and stabilised with intravenous lignocaine or procainamide. If recurrent ventricular tachycardia persists, intravenous or oral amiodarone combined with a β-blocker is usually effective.45 Sotalol (160–320 mg per day) has been shown to significantly reduce the probability of an appropriate first shock and also to prevent the occurrence of shocks for supraventricular arrhythmias in a double blind placebo controlled clinical trial.46

The possibility of an adverse drug-device interaction should always be borne in mind. Many drugs, including amiodarone and calcium channel blockers, especially verapamil, may increase the defibrillation threshold, sometimes dramatically. Antiarrhythmic drugs can also slow the ventricular tachycardia cycle length below the detection rate or increase shock frequency through proarrhythmic effects.

Some patients continue to experience frequent device discharges or have sustained ventricular tachycardia below the ICD rate cut off despite optimal antiarrhythmic drug treatment. Strickberger et al prospectively evaluated the utility of adjunctive radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in this situation.47 Ablation of the clinical arrhythmia was successful in 76% of 21 consecutive patients
with coronary artery disease and significantly improved quality of life in these cases. Bundle branch re-entrant ventricular tachycardia is uncommon but treatable by catheter ablation and therefore should always be sought at electrophysiological testing in such patients.

As previously mentioned, Wang et al found that supraventricular tachycardia was the cause of aborted sudden cardiac death in 13 (4.5%) of 290 consecutive patients referred for electrophysiological evaluation.15 This uncommon clinical scenario is important to recognize as many of these patients can be cured by catheter ablation and cardioverter-defibrillator implantation is unnecessary.

Rarely, infranodal conduction system disease presents as a bradycardia-tachycardia cardiac arrest and permanent pacing, rather than defibrillator implantation, is indicated.16 Recent evidence suggests that isolated congenital complete heart block may not be as benign a condition as previously thought.17 In a large follow up study, there was a high incidence of Stokes-Adams attacks with considerable mortality from the first episode.18 Prophylactic permanent pacemaker implantation should therefore be considered even for adults who are asymptomatic.

In conclusion, the unsolved and enigmatic problem of sudden cardiac death continues to face patients with heart disease, health care providers, and society as well as regulatory bodies, funding agencies, and legislators. A close collaborative effort is required to meet the immense challenge posed by this condition.


Medical Anniversary

Sir George Pickering, 26 June 1904
George Pickering (1904–80) was born in Whalton, Northumberland, son of a schoolteacher with strong farming traditions. He was educated at Dulwich, Pembroke College, Cambridge, and St Thomas’ where he qualified in 1928. He became professor of medicine at St Mary’s in 1939 and Oxford in 1956. His research provided the basis of the epidemiology of blood pressure in populations, and he brought numeracy into clinical thinking. He was a devoted historian of medicine and helped to found the Osler Club of London (1928). He died on 3 September 1980.—D G James