LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Management of spontaneous pneumothorax

EDITOR,—Yeoh and colleagues were surprised that 80% of doctors opted to insert a chest drain for the initial management of a patient with a large pneumothorax,1 but the authors did not report which types of drain were used for pleural aspiration. Narrow bore drains have been used successfully for the treatment of pneumothoraces for over a decade,1 and have the advantage over large intercostal drains in that they are free from the trouble-some complication of subcutaneous emphysema that follows blunt dissection through the parietal pleura. Narrow bore chest drains that are safe, and easy to insert by a Seldinger technique (for example, the Portex 12 FG drain kit) are now widely available and are very effective. In contrast (and contrary to the published guidelines), many practising respiratory and general physicians have found that pleural aspiration is a time consuming procedure that frequently fails.2 Typically, after laborsious aspiration of two or more litres of air from the pleural cavity using a 50 ml syringe and then waiting for several hours for a further chest radiograph, it is found that the pneumothorax persists and the patient needs to have a chest drain inserted anyway. The availability of narrow bore chest drain kits means that in many centres “simple” aspiration will be largely abandoned in the treatment of moderate and large spontaneous pneumothoraces.

S P HART
Respiratory Medicine Unit, Western General Hospital, Croyde Road, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, UK
thurt@globalnet.co.uk


The authors respond:
Dr Hart has raised interesting points. How-ever, our study aimed to establish the current practice of Welsh physicians in the management of spontaneous pneumothorax, compar-ing it with the guidelines published by the British Thoracic Society (BTS),1 and highlighting some of the issues around variations from recommended practice. We hope to assist the BTS, which is set to review the evi-dence and revise the guidelines. The committee is debating the issues in the management, considering age of the patient, size and nature of the pneumothorax, and size of chest drain. Some of Dr Hart’s points have already been discussed in our paper. We disagree with the suggestion that simple percutaneous aspiration should be abandoned. There is evidence that this treatment works in a significant proportion of patients. A 43% success rate for simple aspiration was reported by Seaton et al,2 and this has been exceeded in the recent randomised trials by Andrivet et al3 and Harvey and Prescott4; 68% and 80% respectively. Besides, it is better toler-ated by patients and is less painful. We rec-ognise the lower rate of success with aspiration in cases of larger pneumothoraces.5 Despite that, it is still successful in a significant percentage and thus should be considered the initial treatment for the most.


Eosinophilic pericarditis caused by minocycline

EDITOR,—Davey and Laloo present a well described and discussed case of eosinophilic pericarditis caused by minocycline. Indeed, I find it particularly welcome because among the large numbers of drugs that appear to provoke pericardial disease,7 conclusion dem-onstration of their precise role is quite difficult and the authors have overcome this.

In contrast, I am surprised that representatives of some of a prestigious institution would make two errors in discussing the “typical ECG” of acute pericarditis. The first is citation of the editor of a multi-authored book as responsible for particular material (except, when the editor is an author); secondly, if citing Braunwald, the author to credit is Lorell who, herself, correctly cites my work,8 which long ago established the four stages of electrocardiographic response.

D H SPODICK
Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Saint Vincent Hospital at Worcester Medical Center, University of Massachusetts Medical School Correspondence to: Worcester Medical Center, 20 Worcester Center Boulevard, Worcester, MA 01608, USA DHPSPDICK@WMC.com


The authors respond:
We are very grateful to Professor Spodick for pointing out his fundamental contribution to the field of pericardial disease, a contribution that was inadvertently overlooked in our article.9 Professor Spodick is the world author-ity on pericardial disease, and we would like to take this opportunity to acknowledge the tremendous contribution he has made.

Correction
An editorial error occurred in the article by Dr Ismail and Dr Bhat (Ismail M, Bhat RV. Thyrotoxicosis of a rare aetiology. Postgrad Med J 2000;76:799). The email address was incorrect; the correct address is ismohammed@hotmail.com

BOOK REVIEWS

The reviewers have been asked to rate these books in terms of four items: readability, how up to date they are, accuracy and reliability, and value for money, using simple four point scales. From their opinions we have derived an overall “star” rating: * = poor, ** = reasonable, *** = good, **** = excellent.

The aim of this book is to emphasise the therapeutic effect of the doctor and the self healing powers of the patient. The authors have written the text in the context of the limitations of modern technologies and medicines, in an attempt to cure many diseases. The book explores the doctor-patient relationship with the purpose of producing better consultations to benefit both participants. Topics included are the placebo effect of the doctor, the placebo interaction, why it works, illnesses which respond to the placebo effect, and factors which determine its effectiveness.

The authors include chapters on psychoneuroimmunology detailing the scientific basis of the placebo effect and mechanisms by which physicians can facilitate healing. The final section of the book looks at how the preceding theory can be translated into practical help for patients by improving the techniques of consultations. For example the authors discuss the value of empathy, relaxation, reassurance, improving self esteem, and the importance of information and self help groups.

This book is interesting, well written, and will stimulate health professionals to assess their professional practice. Although the book will primarily be of value to general practitioners, it is relevant to doctors in secondary care and all professionals who have direct contact with patients. The book was well illustrated with clinical examples and the history of the subject.

A R HART
Norfolk and Norwich NHS Trust, Norwich, UK


Professor Dickinson has written an intriguing book. As a well known physician and clinical scientist he has witnessed at first hand medical mysteries being discussed and sometimes solved. In this volume he records his personal observations on a number of topics. Each chapter is devoted to a particular illness, symptom, or physical sign. The topics are widely chosen and each is stimulating. In a section on anaemia, for example, he suggests that television sets may be partly responsible for the increasing incidence of the disease. High voltages generated by television sets attract electrically charged dust particles which could act as lung irritants. Dickinson’s chapter on fainting promotes his hypothesis of “collapse firing” of low pressure baroreceptors in the right heart consequent upon diminished venous return. This in turn leads to a centrally mediated dilatation of systemic blood vessels and loss of consciousness. Other chapters in the book ranging from Alzheimer’s disease to chronic fatigue, irritable bowel syndrome to inflammatory bowel disease, and migraine to motor neurone disease have equal appeal and contain snippets of valuable information. There is an excellent chapter on finger clubbing and lucid chapters on essential and renal hypertension. For whom is the book intended? The author clearly intends in his introduction that the book should be read by non-scientists. I suspect however that the volume will appeal mainly to clinicians as bedside reading. I enjoyed this book and look forward to a second volume on medical mysteries.

J S MORRIS
Consultant Physician, Princess of Wales Hospital, Bridgend, UK


This book aims to be a reference for general practitioners, their practice nurses, and hospital doctors “alike”. There are six sections: haematology, microbiology, fertility and pregnancy testing, rheumatology, biochemistry, miscellaneous and there is a useful glossary of acronyms. In each section there are lists of laboratory tests and interpretative comments.

Much of the book is useful. However, some tests are given disproportionate description relative to the importance of utility, for example, faecal fats and toxoplasmosis, including treatment, are mentioned extensively but nothing on treatment of urinary tract infections. Many tests are obsolete: urinary keto/oxotest, plasma oestriols, Rose-Waaler test, Lange curve. Some results units are unsuitable, for example, urinary sodium, given as mean and range, in mmol/l and mEq/l (not used in the UK). Some interpretative advice is worryingly misleading; “decrease sodium may be found in: diarrhoea and vomiting, glycosuria . . .” but no mention of mineralocorticoid deficiency— including Addison’s disease or dilutional hyponatraemia. “Hypercalcaemia . . . at >3.7 mmol/l cardiac complications can be fatal”, yet no mention of possible serious dysrhythmias with a high potassium. “Samples for suspected primary hyperparathyroidism should be taken on three consecutive days”; this is no longer necessary.

Although a small book, trying to cater for the varying needs is unlikely to meet everyone’s expectations, there are too many examples of a lack of reliable information in how to undertake tests and how to interpret the results. It is not on my recommended list.

S J IQBAL
Consultant in Biochemical Medicine, Leicester Royal Infirmary, Leicester, UK


Caring for Muslim Patients is written by different authors and covers demographic and socioeconomic data, background on beliefs, customs and practices, and how this affects health, disease, and death within different family structures. Useful appendices steer readers to the wide world web for information and Muslim organisations. This book contains a wealth of information about Islam, with examples from the Qur’an and case studies for illustration. There is little made of individual interpretation of religious writings and how this affects behaviour. One author states that use of donkey sperm and eggs is categorically prohibited by Islam but this is likely to be interpreted differently by someone who service from someone who does not.

In my own field of diabetes there is information on the Hajj so appropriate advice can be given, information about Ramadan fasting, with suggestions about consultation before Ramadan to make changes in medication. Many suggestions made are standard practice in our diabetes unit. There is, however, no mention of educational materials to support patients and health professionals. Perhaps of necessity, generalisations are used but we prefer to individualise advice and ask people what they intend to do and negotiate “safety rules”. An example: inquire if prepared to break their fast if hypoglycaemic (thereby exempt from fasting because acutely ill). Pressure to conform to the fast is not mentioned—my patients say it is difficult not to fast if everyone else is, and even more difficult to fast alone if deferred. There is no encouragement to health professionals to talk to and learn from their Muslim patients. I have learnt most from this method and it is an effective way of ensuring an equitable and culturally sensitive service.

In summary, a useful resource for all health services caring for Muslim people.

M BURDEN
Senior Diabetes Specialist Nurse, Leicester General Hospital, Leicester, UK

Royal Society of Medicine
23 March 2001: Key advances in rheumatoid arthritis
29–30 March 2001: TB drug resistance: from molecules to macroeconomics
Details: Rosamund Snow, RSM, 1 Wimpole Street, London W1G OAE, UK (tel: +44 (0)20 7290 2904, fax: +44 (0)20 7290 2992, email: rosamund.snow@rsm.ac.uk).

6th European Forum on Quality Improvement in Health Care
29–31 March 2001: Bologna, Italy
Details: BMA/BMJ Conference Unit, BMA House, Tavistock Square, London WC1H 9JP, UK (tel: +44 (0) 20 7383 6409, fax: +44 (0) 20 7383 6869, email: Quality@bma.org.uk, web site: quality.bmjg.com).

5th Annual Conference on Self Directed Learning in General Practice
24 April 2001: London, UK
Organised by the Open Learning Unit, University College London, and sponsored by the British Medical Journal. The conference will be organised around the themes of: • Revalidation • Web based learning resources for self directed learning
The day will be based around small group workshops, with some offering hands-on training in the relevant online learning resources. Places will therefore be strictly limited and allocated on a first come, first served basis. For further details please contact Marcia Rigby on m.rigby@ucl.ac.uk, tel +44 (0)20 7288 3246, +44 (0)20 7281 8004. A web site is in preparation.

www.postgradmedj.com