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This ophthalmological perspective of general medicine attempts to deal with the more common situations encountered clinically in general ophthalmic practice. Some priority has been given to the clinical usefulness of data. A comprehensive review would involve a wide range of rare conditions and has not been attempted. However, some mention of rarities is made in the context of important differential diagnoses.

The emphasis on vascular phenomena and somatisation syndromes reflects their preponderance in clinical practice.

Pathology of the peripituitary area, although important, is comparatively uncommon in ophthalmological practice. This and other afflictations of the central nervous system giving rise to the subspeciality of neuro-ophthalmology, are not included in this review.

Headache

Headache is a common, if not the commonest presentation symptom in clinical practice. A considerable number of patients with this symptom are referred to ophthalmologists. Ostensibly this is to exclude an ophthalmic cause. Without a relationship to prolonged use of the eyes for close work or a struggle to overcome diplopia, it is unlikely that an ocular cause will be present. Facultative hypermetropia, convergence insufficiency, esophorias and most esophorias (the tendency for the eyes to converge or diverge respectively) all have a relationship to use of the eyes especially for close work.

Sometimes, patients with headaches are referred for an opinion on the optic discs in order to exclude the possibility of papilloedema from raised intracranial pressure. High hypermetropia, buried drusen (see below) and familial pseudopapilloedema may all mimic the oedema of raised intracranial pressure (plerocephalic oedema). If venous pulsation is present at the disc head the clinician can be reasonably assured that there is no raised intracranial pressure causing plerocephalic oedema of the disc.

Buried drusen usually have a tell tale sparkling glint at the disc head and are small nodules of tissue with a specific histology.

Migraine

The visual auras and headaches of migraine can present in association or as separate entities. A history of a similar episode months or years previously is very helpful in clinical diagnosis. Intermittency of days or weeks between episodes is also very informative.

The duration of visual symptoms, typically five to 20 minutes, separates migrainous photopsiae from the lightning-like flashes of vitreoretinal traction which are of possible pre-retinal detachment significance.

Transient hemianopic or altitudinal visual field loss, whether uniocular (retinal) or binocular (cerebral) is more likely to have a migrainous vasospastic cause in young healthy adults than organic vaso-occlusive phenomena (see below). However, peripituitary space occupying lesions occasionally give a similar intermittency and duration of visual or visual field loss.

The classical throbbing unilateral headache is not always apparent. The ache may bifrontal, uniconal, bitemporal, or occipital. Some patients’ symptoms overlap with tension headaches or atypical facial pain. Various syndromes have been described centring around the distribution of pain. It is possible that most are the individuals’ biological response (in the broadest terms) to their life situations. Their importance lies in recognising their non-organic status, on clinical and historical criteria and in being selective regarding investigation.

Organic based binocular and uniocular visual loss

The nearer middle age progresses towards old age the greater the likelihood of an organic causation of occlusive vascular phenomena. Transitory uniocular visual loss (amaurosis fugax) lasting five to 20 minutes is mainly embolic from the carotid arteries with diabetes especially as a predisposing factor of presenile vascular disease.

Cardiac, paradoxical, fat or air emboli, etc, are extremely rare in comparison and may not be seen in a professional lifetime.

Rarely, migrainous spasm can result in infarction of the retina or visual pathways causing permanent uniocular or binocular homonymous visual field loss respectively.

When clinically obvious hypertension or diabetes is not the causative factor in permanent occlusive vascular disease in younger adults, inflammatory vascular disease (Behçet’s, sarcoid, collagenosis) together with blood viscosity syndromes and thrombophilic conditions should be eliminated.

Symptomatic and asymptomatic emboli found in the retinal vessels prompt investigation for hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidaemia. Whether the emboli are fragmented platelet, refractile cholesterol, or junctional impacted thrombin, the source and cause must be pursued. Duplex scan of the carotids, blood and cardiac investigations may be indicated. The age and general status of the patient may determine the appropriate treatment, for example aspirin, lipid lowering measures, anticoagulants, or endarterectomy.
**Temporal arteritis**

In the over 55 age group temporal arteritis may present with headaches and/or occlusive vascular disease.

Clinically the flat, pale blurring of the optic disc is pathognomonic of temporal arteritis. The multiple short posterior artery occlusions to the optic disc head do not allow any primary or secondary capillary congestion as in other disc oedemas.

The consideration of temporal arteritis in the differential diagnosis of amaurosis, temporal headaches, or acute visual loss in the elderly must be a priority. Prepresentation constitutional malaise, tenderness of temporal arteries, raised erythrocyte sedimentation rate and rarely, biopsy will usually exclude this potentially blinding and lethal condition.

**Basilar artery migraine**

Without the classical occipital headache this is a diagnosis which is frequently overlooked. It can present in younger patients with transitory diplopia, with or without vertigo, and/or bilateral amourosis. Myasthaenia gravis, demyelinating disease, and breakdown of phorias (the tendency to squint) can similarly give transient diplopias in young adults. Rarely, raised intracranial pressure without headache can give sixth cranial nerve paresis with transient diplopia but pterocephalic oedema will usually be evident.

The unwary may miss a uniocular diplopia resulting from a meibomian cyst of the upper lid.

**Painful third cranial nerve palsies**

An episode occurring some years previously will signify migraine as the cause. In the absence of a previous episode the patient must be deemed to have a leaking aneurysm until proved otherwise.

Both conditions can give a recent onset of episodicity in the presence of a third cranial nerve palsy. If the pupil is spared it is unlikely that a space occupying lesion is affecting the third cranial nerve. The lid is usually ptotic and the eye divergent.

Other causes of a painful third cranial nerve palsy are temporal arteritis, diabetes, and herpes zoster.

**Preocclusive vascular disease**

The role of ophthalmology in the diagnosis and management of target organ damage is now well established in diabetes. Criteria demanding tighter control of blood glucose, blood lipids, and blood pressure are often clinically evident on ophthalmoscopy.

Recently, the results of the hypertension optimal treatment randomised control trial have confirmed the experience of clinical ophthalmologists: namely that ophthalmoscopically evident peripheral vascular disease (grade I or II hypertensive arteriopathy) occurs at blood pressure levels deemed acceptable to general practitioners and physicians. Apparently, the British Hypertensive Society may revise the threshold for the initiation of treatment downward to 140/90 when target organ damage is evident.

It will be an interesting prospect as to whether the appearance of retinal arterioles relative to the age of the patient, or retinal branch vein occlusions, become accepted criteria of end organ damage.

With target blood pressures envisaged at 140/80 such low pressures may be more easily attained if treatment is started early before extensive peripheral arteriolar structural changes have taken place.

Clinical appraisal of the retinal arterioles as an early indicator for treatment of hypertension may yet become more generally accepted.

The following case study illustrates a not uncommon situation. The patient was a male college lecturer, age 62, who first presented in March 1996 with loss of vision in lower half of his left visual field. On examination it was found that he had left papilloedema with congestion and haemorrhage at disc head, inferior altitudinal field loss to confrontation, widespread peripheral field loss on computerised analysis (see fig 1), and bilateral retinal artery attenuation with irregular lumina. His blood pressure on ophthalmic presentation was 150/100 mm Hg and erythrocyte sedimentation rate 8 mm/hour. Non-inflammatory anterior ischaemic optic neuropathy was diagnosed.

The patient was referred for consultant physician investigation. All investigations were found to be normal and no treatment was prescribed. The patient’s blood pressure was recorded as 150/90 mm Hg. Two and a half years later the patient presented with identical clinical features in his second eye and he had lost his driving licence (see binocular fields in fig 2).

It would appear that some individuals are vulnerable to occlusive vascular disease with blood pressures regarded as “labile” or “white coat”. Pressures previously deemed to be normal especially when there is absence of target organ...
damage certainly coexist with retinal arteriolar disease. Perhaps more significance should be attached to vulnerable patients with borderline hypertension. Or as in ophthalmology where the concept of “low tension glaucoma” exists, some patients may be vulnerable to occlusive vascular disease at blood pressure levels at or just above the average for their age.

The question which needs an answer is whether the patient would still be driving if his blood pressure had been maintained at around 138/83 from presentation. Pressure lowering to this level has been found to have therapeutic protective value when target organ damage has clearly occurred.1

In appropriate younger patients (<60 years) with occlusive retinal vascular disease, treatment could now reasonably include folic acid supplements to reduce homocystine blood concentrations, aspirin or anticoagulants in thrombophilic conditions (activated protein C resistance antiphospholipid syndromes, Leiden mutation factors, hyperfibrinogenaemia, protein S deficiency, etc).

In diabetes, cardiac and renal events, and even retinopathy, may be reduced by angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors beyond any hypertensive effect.2 Target blood pressures for this group of 140/85 rather than the accepted 160/90, as has been recorded in recent publications, could further reduce vascular morbidity.

Dysthyroid disease

Ophthalmic involvement may be the presenting feature. Periorbital subcutaneous swelling of the upper and lower lids and/or upper lid retraction are the commonest changes.

Sclera showing above the cornea in the primary position is often bilateral and symmetrical, indicating upper lid retraction. It may be accompanied by incomplete closure of the eyes on blinking or when gently closed (lagophthalmos). This can cause irritable eyes and photophobia from punctate epithelial erosions of the cornea.

Lid retraction may be intermittent or demonstrable during vertical eye movements (lid lag). Sclera showing below the cornea indicates proptosis with the potential complications of diplopia, optic nerve threat, and exposure keratopathy. All such exophthalmos should be reviewed by ophthalmologists. Therapy may involve protective drops, medical or surgical upper lid lowering procedures, tarsorrhaphy, medical or surgical decompression.

Bilateral lid retraction may be seen also in some anxiety states and mid-brain disorders, for example, Parkinson’s disease.

Where diplopia is a prominent symptom, especially if the pattern of extraocular paresis does not fit with dysthyroidism and/or there is little or no proptosis, associated myaesthenia gravis should considered.

Somatisation syndromes

As in all other areas of medicine, ophthalmology experiences many patients with symptoms for which a peripheral organic cause is absent. Pain or varying levels of discomfort are the commonest presentations. Recent onset of symptoms in a stable personality should “ring bells”. The unioocular pain of acute sinusitis, retrobulbar neuritis, herpes zoster, migraine, and leaking aneurysm can all occur in the absence of physical findings in the early stages.

Increasing clinical maturity will allow the recognition of “top dressing” anxiety or “hysterical” reactions of patients suffering severe somatization.

Primary psychogenic symptomatology may be an easy diagnosis as, for example, the chronic aching of both eyes in the elderly patient admitting depression and isolation. Likewise, it may be easy to miss an organic presentation in a previously “hysteroid” frequent attender. Careful history taking, eliminating the chaff from the wheat, and pertinent examination remain as important in ophthalmology as in general medicine.

Interestingly, “morbid periodicity” certainly occurs where the symptom appears on the anniversary of an emotionally traumatic event.1 Mimicry of symptoms of the patient’s nearest and dearest such as headache from a feared cerebral tumour, also occurs as does the engendering of symptoms from simple fatigue, mental or physical; worry about a life situation; or the state of eye health. Such phenomena clearly occur across the entire spectrum of medicine but are possibly less appreciated in the “high tech” area of ophthalmology.2 It is, however, an area of medicine that does not change much with time!

2 Barnett AH. Editorial. Eye 2000;14:
Multifocal breast cancer

Computed tomography is not a usual primary imaging modality for examining breast abnormalities. Mammography and ultrasonography are the standard techniques with magnetic resonance imaging reserved for equivocal cases such as possible post-treatment recurrence. This patient underwent thoracic computed tomography (see fig 1) and was found to have multiple dense lesions in the right breast. Subsequent histological examinations of specimens from core biopsy and mastectomy confirmed multifocal lobular carcinoma.
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Figure 1 Computed tomogram of patient.

Multifocal breast cancer

K S HINDLE and A HUANG

Postgrad Med J 2000 76: 554
doi: 10.1136/pmj.76.899.554

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://pmj.bmj.com/content/76/899/554

These include:

References
This article cites 1 articles, 0 of which you can access for free at:
http://pmj.bmj.com/content/76/899/554#BIBL

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections
Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

  - Screening (oncology) (91)
  - Breast cancer (23)
  - Clinical diagnostic tests (393)
  - Radiology (416)
  - Radiology (diagnostics) (289)
  - Breast surgery (1)
  - General surgery (168)
  - Surgical diagnostic tests (164)

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/