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Rokitansky is generally credited with the earliest description (in 1861) of the compression of the third part of the duodenum by the superior mesenteric artery (SMA). Suprisingly, there was little interest in the subject until 1927, when Wilkie reported seven instances of superior mesenteric artery syndrome (SMAS). Since then, this entity has remained a subject of controversy. The signs and symptoms characterising the syndrome were not regarded as unique. Many observers considered them to be mere manifestations of another abdominal process and remained unconvinced of the reality of the syndrome. They regarded the role of the SMA in producing duodenal obstruction as circumstantial, especially as in many of the reported cases, the obstruction was later found to be due to neoplastic or inflammatory disease, or electrolyte imbalance.

The advent of modern radiological techniques in the 1960s provided workers with the evidence needed to support the existence of SMAS, although the skeptics persisted in their view, despite clear evidence of the actuality of the syndrome. Over the years, SMAS has also received other names, such as aortomesenteric duodenal compression, Wilkie's syndrome, Cast syndrome and chronic duodenal ileus.

**Aetiology**

One of the consequences of the erect posture of humans is that the superior mesenteric artery leaves the aorta at an acute downward angle, rather than at a nearly complete right angle as it does in quadrupeds. It is through this vascular angle, between the aorta and the SMA, that the third part of the duodenum passes (figure 1), and is thus vulnerable to becoming pinched in between the SMA anteriorly and the aorta and vertebral column posteriorly. A number of factors may predispose to this vascular compression of the duodenum.

The duodenum is suspended in the angle by the ligament of Treitz. This suspensory ligament's site of attachment and structure may differ from individual to individual. In most people, the duodenum crosses the vertebral column at the level of the third lumbar vertebra, but a short suspensory ligament may raise it, bringing the duodenum higher into the vascular angle between the SMA and the aorta. A lower duodenal position may not be advantageous either, as the spine curves anteriorly at L4 thus reducing the width of the gap between SMA and aorta at that level.

In a normal person, the mass of fat and lymphatic tissue around the origin of the SMA provides adequate protection to the duodenum against compression. However, it has been postulated that if a patient loses weight rapidly, for example, as a sequelae to debilitating injury or an eating disorder, or, indeed, gains height rapidly without a concomitant increase in weight, the fatty cushion around the SMA diminishes. Consequently there is a decrease in the angle between the SMA and the aorta from the usual 45° down to 15°, resulting in occlusion of the third part of the duodenum.

Other factors that may narrow this vascular angle and contribute to the development of SMAS include increased lordosis and external pressure such as the application of a body cast in the treatment of scoliosis or vertebral fracture. Recent reports also describe SMAS as a rare postoperative complication following total proctocolectomy and ileal pouch anastomosis, and in abdominal aortic aneurysm or following repair of the latter, with *Strongyloides stercoralis* infection, in hyperthyroidism, and even during pregnancy.

Choi and Pfalzer have suggested that the critical factor is not the narrow aorto-mesenteric angle and distance alone but the course of the SMA running anterior and parallel to the aorta. Others have refuted the theory of vascular compression and suggested that the compression of the duodenum is caused by peritoneal adhesions which are a result of duodenal malrotation or inflammatory thickening of the mesenteric root secondary to acute pancreatitis, a duodenal ulcer or infarction of the bowel.
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**Clinical features**

Patients may present acutely or with a chronic, insidious history. Acutely, patients usually present with symptoms and signs of duodenal obstruction, namely, nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, distension, tympany, tenderness and abnormal bowel sounds. These symptoms are aggravated by eating. They are, however, classically relieved with postural change in particular the knee-chest or prone positions, both of which increase the angle between the SMA and aorta. Manifestations of electrolyte imbalance may occur.

The chronic cases may present many times over many years for investigation of intermittent abdominal pain associated with vomiting, early satiety and anorexia.

**Diagnosis**

Plain abdominal X-ray demonstrates gastric dilatation. Endoscopic examination usually does not indicate the diagnosis. On barium meal, a positive diagnosis of SMAS can be made in the presence of duodenal dilatation, retention of barium within the duodenum and the characteristic vertical linear extrinsic pressure in the third portion of the duodenum. The dilated segment proximal to the obstruction may show reverse peristalsis. Hayes manoeuvre is the demonstration of the disappearance of these radiological features in the knee-chest position on fluoroscopy. Hypotonic duodenography combined with simultaneous SMA arteriography has been noted as being a more accurate investigation than a routine barium meal examination.

More recently, computed tomography (CT) has proved successful in providing diagnostic insight into cases of SMAS. CT was able to demonstrate simultaneously the characteristic duodenal distension and the close proximity of superior mesenteric vessels and aorta. Its advantages include that it is a safe, rapid and relatively noninvasive technique.

**Treatment**

The condition may be managed conservatively, though surgical treatment is sometimes required, perhaps partly to establish the diagnosis.

**CONSERVATIVE MANAGEMENT**

Gastric dilatation occurs early in vascular compression of the duodenum and nasogastric suction accompanied by alterations in posture such as placing the patient into the prone position may abort full-blown duodenal obstruction. After gastric decompression, restoring retroperitoneal fat by giving multiple small feeds peroral in the left lateral or prone position has been effective in relieving the obstruction. Others favour aggressive nutritional support using nasojejunal feeding past the point of obstruction as the primary method of nutrition support. Alternatively, total parenteral nutrition or a combination of enteral and parenteral feeding may be necessary to meet nutritional needs until
the duodenal obstruction resolves, the aim being to supply sufficient calories to increase mesenteric fat and expand the angle of the mesenteric root. Occasionally, treatment with metoclopramide intravenously and later orally has facilitated gastroduodenal emptying.24

SURGICAL MANAGEMENT

For patients in whom conservative methods fail, several surgical procedures have been described. Strong's procedure25 which mobilises the duodenum by dividing the ligament of Treitz has the benefit of not requiring anastomosis of the bowel. But, on its own, Louw26 claims this produces an unacceptably high failure rate and recommends division of this ligament with complete mobilisation of the duodenum followed by passage of most of the jejunum and ileum underneath the superior mesenteric vessels into the right side of the abdomen. The ascending colon is then separated from its retroperitoneal attachments and placed in the left side of the abdomen. The end result is a configuration similar to that seen in congenital malrotation of the intestine.

When intraoperative adhesions make Strong's operation difficult, duodenoejunostomy, first described by Staveley,29 is the preferred operation (figure 2). This method has been favoured over the years and the vast majority of results have been excellent. Occasionally, merely emptying the stomach by gastrostomy will relieve the situation, particularly if associated with full mobilisation of the duodenum. In patients in whom gastric distension dominates the clinical picture and when the other procedures are technically difficult, gastrojejunostomy can provide the needed drainage. Consideration should be given to a vagotomy when performing the latter procedure because of the risk of marginal ulceration.30 Transposition of the third part of the duodenum anteriorly to the superior mesenteric vessels has also been successful and aims at permanently circumventing the obstruction.41
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Figure 2 Duodenoejunostomy (from Jones PA, Wastell C. Superior mesenteric artery syndrome. Postgrad Med J 1983; 59:376-9)