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Summary

Hypercalcaemia associated with malignancy is generally thought to carry a poor prognosis. Of 47 consecutive patients with hypercalcaemia and malignancy, serum parathyroid hormone (PTH) was elevated in seven, consistent with co-existing hyperparathyroidism. Median survival from onset of hypercalcaemia in these seven patients was 817 days; compared to 33 days in the remaining 40 patients with hypercalcaemia of malignancy, in whom PTH was suppressed (p = 0.007). Among patients with hypercalcaemia of malignancy, plasma PTH-related protein (PTHrP) concentration showed no correlation with survival (r² = 2.1%), but one patient with increased levels of both PTH and PTHrP survived only nine days after the onset of hypercalcaemia. A raised PTH had a positive predictive value of 86% for survival >100 days, and of 71% for survival >1 year. A raised plasma PTHrP predicted death within 100 days with a positive predictive value of 69%.

We conclude that measurement of serum PTH is indicated in patients with hypercalcaemia and malignancy to identify the 15% with hyperparathyroidism, since this is associated with prolonged survival. In patients with hyperparathyroidism, assay of plasma PTHrP may indicate concurrent hypercalcaemia of malignancy, with an associated poor prognosis.
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Introduction

Hypercalcaemia is an important complication of malignant disease.¹ The pathogenesis of hypercalcaemia of malignancy hypercalcaemia of malignancy was initially attributed to ectopic production of parathyroid hormone (PTH), but specific assays for PTH failed to demonstrate tumoral production. More recently, PTH-related protein (PTHrP) has been identified as the major humoral factor responsible for hypercalcaemia of malignancy.³⁴ A minority of patients, with extensive disease, have unmeasurable plasma PTHrP concentrations, and no evidence of activation of renal PTH receptors.

Plasma PTHrP

- Elevated in majority of patients with hypercalcaemia of malignancy
- Assays now readily available

Although serum PTH is generally undetectable or low in patients with hypercalcaemia and malignant disease, recent studies have demonstrated that a significant proportion (4–15%) of such patients have an elevated serum PTH, either alone or in combination with a high plasma PTHrP.⁵-⁶ In the majority of these patients, parathyroidectomy confirmed a diagnosis of hyperparathyroidism and was followed by remission of the hypercalcaemia.

The prognosis of patients with hypercalcaemia and malignancy is generally poor. Ralston et al found a median survival of 35 days, which was unaffected by hypocalcaemic therapy but which increased to 135 days following anti-tumour therapy.¹ It is thought that treatment of the hypercalcaemia is not always optimal,³ and this may lead to unnecessary morbidity. In contrast, the prognosis of patients with hyperparathyroidism and with no evidence of malignancy is extremely good.¹⁰ Here we compare survival in patients with malignant disease in whom hypercalcaemia was due to hyperparathyroidism or malignancy, and assess the value of assays for PTH and PTHrP in predicting survival in hypercalcaemic patients with malignant disease.

Patients

Forty-seven patients with solid tumours were identified from 121 consecutive unselected patients with hypercalcaemia (defined as a serum adjusted calcium concentration greater than 2.65 mmol/l; adjusted calcium = measured calcium + 0.02 [40 – albumin (g/l)], who had biochemical profiles (including serum calcium) measured in the pathology department of a district general hospital between October 1990 and May 1991.⁶ These 47 patients were divided into two groups, according to the serum PTH measured at the time of presentation with hypercalcaemia.

GROUP 1

This comprised seven patients in whom hyperparathyroidism had been diagnosed on the basis of an elevated serum PTH (> 4.0 pmol/l)
in the presence of hypercalcaemia. In three patients a parathyroid adenoma was confirmed surgically, with subsequent normalisation of the serum calcium. In no case was hyperparathyroidism diagnosed prior to presentation with malignancy. Primary sites of malignancies were adenocarcinoma of the breast (two patients) and one patient each with bronchial squamous-cell carcinoma with rectal adenocarcinoma, bronchus with myeloma, carcinoma of the stomach, carcinoma of the colon, and leiomyosarcoma.

GROUP 2
This comprised 40 patients with a suppressed or low-normal serum PTH, all of whom were considered to have hypercalcaemia of malignancy. Primary sites of malignancy were the bronchus (14), breast (five), gynaecological (five), two each with carcinoma of the kidney, prostate, pharynx or larynx, pancreas, and skin, one each with carcinoma of the colon and synovium and four unknown. Plasma PTHrP was elevated (≥0.5 pmol/l) in 35 of these patients.

Hypercalcaemia was treated with rehydration and intravenous bisphosphonates as required. Five patients received anti-tumour therapy after the onset of hypercalcaemia. One patient from Group 1 underwent colectomy; while two patients from Group 2 underwent surgery (one hysterectomy\(^1\) and one amputation, both with resolution of hypercalcaemia) and two received radiotherapy.

Methods
PTHrP(1-86) was assayed in plasma by an in-house immunoradiometric method, as previously described.\(^7\) The detection limit of this assay was 0.5 pmol/l, and levels in normocalcaemic controls are undetectable. PTH(1-84) was measured in serum using the 'N-tact' immunoradiometric assay (Incstar, Wokingham UK). The reference range for this assay in normocalcaemic controls is 0.9–4.0 pmol/l. All specimens for assay of PTH and PTHrP were collected prior to treatment of patients with bisphosphonates.\(^12\)

The dates when malignancy and hypercalcaemia were first diagnosed were obtained from patients' notes. Because of this retrospective dating of the onset of hypercalcaemia and the variety of clinicians (both hospital and community-based) in overall charge of patient care, accurate staging of disease at the time of onset of hypercalcaemia was rarely available; however, the presence or absence of extra-lymphatic metastatic disease as indicated by imaging techniques or at surgery was noted. Dates of death were obtained from hospital, general practitioner or Family Health Services Authority records.

Differences between groups in the mean age at diagnosis, and in serum PTH and plasma PTHrP at the onset of hypercalcaemia, were compared using Student's t-test. Differences in survival at 100 days and at one year from both diagnosis of malignancy and the onset of hypercalcaemia were compared using Fisher's exact probability test.\(^1\) In addition, differences in median survival from diagnosis and from the development of hypercalcaemia were compared by the Mann–Whitney test, using the MINITAB statistical program (Minitab Inc, Pennsylvania, USA).

Results
Patient characteristics are shown in the table. No significant difference was seen between groups 1 and 2 in the mean age at diagnosis of malignancy, although patients in group 1 tended to be older. Malignancy was diagnosed before the onset of hypercalcaemia in three (43\%) patients in group 1, and 29 (73\%) in group 2 (no statistically significant difference). Extra-lymphatic metastatic disease was known to be present in 23 (57.5\%) patients from group 2 at the time of onset of hypercalcaemia, compared to none in group 1 (p = 0.011). After investigation, four patients (57.1\%) in group 1 and five (12.5\%) in group 2 had no evidence of extra-lymphatic metastases; data were not available in three patients (42.9\%) from group 1 and 12 (30\%) from group 2. The mean serum PTH was 8.97 (SEM 2.01) pmol/l in group 1, and 0.81 (SEM 0.08) pmol/l in group 2 (p < 0.01). Mean PTHrP concentrations were 0.83 (SEM 0.38) and 4.87 (SEM 0.70) pmol/l in groups 1 and 2, respectively (p < 0.001).

Following the diagnosis of malignancy, 6/7 (86\%) patients in group 1 survived for one

---

**Table** Biochemistry at onset of hypercalcaemia, mean age at death, and median survival following the diagnosis of malignancy and of hypercalcaemia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 1 PTH elevated</th>
<th>Mean (SEM)</th>
<th>Number with known metastases</th>
<th>Calcium (mmol/l)</th>
<th>PTH (pmol/l)</th>
<th>PTHrP (pmol/l)</th>
<th>Age at death (years)</th>
<th>Survival (days) from diagnosis of malignancy and of hypercalcaemia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 (0%)*</td>
<td>2.28 (0.05)*</td>
<td>9.0 (2.0)*</td>
<td>0.8 (0.4)*</td>
<td>77.8 (4.0)</td>
<td>949 (26–3652)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2 PTH suppressed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>817 (9–1213)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchus</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5 (36%)</td>
<td>3.23 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.1)</td>
<td>7.1 (1.3)</td>
<td>71.1 (2.8)</td>
<td>82 (6–221)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5 (100%)</td>
<td>3.00 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.1)</td>
<td>2.8 (1.1)</td>
<td>66.0 (4.8)</td>
<td>24 (2–221)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other tumours</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13 (62%)</td>
<td>3.15 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.1)</td>
<td>3.8 (0.9)</td>
<td>70.4 (2.3)</td>
<td>307 (19–6965)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SEM)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>23 (57.5%)</td>
<td>3.16 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.1)</td>
<td>4.9 (0.7)</td>
<td>70.1 (1.7)</td>
<td>44 (8–1107)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*p < 0.05 vs Group 2; other comparisons not significant.
year, compared to 16/40 (40%) in group 2 (p = 0.03; Fisher's exact probability (figure 1). After the onset of hypercalcaemia, 6/7 patients (86%) from group 1 and 9/40 (22%) from group 2 survived for 100 days (p = 0.0009), and 5/7 (71%) from group 1 and 1/40 (2%) from group 2 remained alive after one year (p = 0.0002) (figure 2). The single survivor in group 2 had a hysterectomy with complete resection of her tumour and subsequent normalisation of calcium, PTH and PTHrP levels. Median survival following the detection of hypercalcaemia was 817 days in group 1 compared to 33 days in group 2 (95% confidence limits 85–923 days for difference between medians; p = 0.007, Mann–Whitney test). Unlike survival from diagnosis, survival from the onset of hypercalcaemia in group 2 was not dependent on the site of the primary malignancy. None of the survivors in group 1 received anti-tumour therapy after the detection of hypercalcaemia.

Four patients with an elevated PTH (group 1) also had detectable plasma concentrations of PTHrP (>0.5 pmol/l). In one patient with myeloma and bronchial carcinoma, plasma PTHrP was markedly elevated (3.0 pmol/l), suggesting coexistent hypercalcaemia of malignancy. Death occurred nine days after the development of hypercalcaemia. Plasma PTHrP was less than 1 pmol/l in the remaining three patients; the minimum survival from the onset of hypercalcaemia in these patients was 102 days. The patient with dual bronchial (squamous-cell) and rectal malignancies (PTH 5.9 pmol/l, PTHrP 0.94 pmol/l) survived for 817 days, despite the presence of unresectable hilar lymph node metastases from her bronchial carcinoma at the time this was diagnosed.

Within group 2, there was no difference in median survival from the onset of hypercalcaemia between those in whom plasma PTHrP was increased (34 days; range 2–1107) and those in whom it was undetectable (26 days; range 10–87). In the 31 patients in whom plasma PTHrP was increased and who received no anti-tumour treatment subsequently, there was no correlation between PTHrP concentration and the duration of survival (r² = 0.021, p = 0.44) (figure 3).

Among all 47 patients with malignancy, an elevated serum PTH (>4.0 pmol/l) had a positive predictive value of 86% for survival greater than 100 days, and of 71%, for survival greater than 1 year; negative predictive values were 77% and 97%, respectively. A detectable plasma PTHrP (>0.5 pmol/l) had a positive predictive value of 69% for death within 100 days and 92% for death within one year.

Discussion

Solid malignancies and hyperparathyroidism are both common causes of hypercalcaemia, and together account for approximately 85% of cases in routine clinical practice. Hypercalcaemia of malignancy is the more frequent diagnosis among hospital in-patients, and the combination of hyperparathyroidism with malignancy is probably under-diagnosed. However, it is to be expected that malignancy and hyperparathyroidism may coexist by chance, and it has also been suggested that hyperparathyroidism may be associated with a slight increase in the incidence of malignancy.

We found that the median survival of patients with both malignant disease and hyperparathyroidism was in excess of two years. In contrast, patients with hypercalcaemia of malignancy and a suppressed serum PTH had a median survival of approximately one month, in keeping with the findings of Ralston et al. These workers found that treatment of the underlying malignancy improved median sur-
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vival, but only to 135 days. We found that survival from the time of diagnosis was longer in patients with coexistent hyperparathyroidism than in those who subsequently developed hypercalcaemia of malignancy. Although we were unable to stage patients' disease at the time of onset of hypercalcaemia, the majority of patients with hypercalcaemia of malignancy were known to have metastases distant from the site of the primary tumour. In contrast, no patient with hyperparathyroidism was known to have non-lymphatic metastases. Hypercalcaemia of malignancy is usually a late complication of malignant disease, while investigation of hypercalcaemia in patients with hyperparathyroidism may lead to early diagnosis of concurrent malignancy.

Malignancy is the commonest cause of hypercalcaemia in hospitalised patients, and a tendency (witnessed by us in this study, and also by others) exists to assume that hypercalcaemia in a patient known to have malignant disease (past or present) implies hypercalcaemia of malignancy with a poor prognosis. Although rigorous investigation and treatment may be unethical in patients known to have widespread metastatic disease and a poor quality of life, failure to recognise those patients with malignancy and coexisting hyperparathyroidism (approximately 15% of those with hypercalcaemia and malignancy) may lead to their receiving inadequate treatment, with increased morbidity and possible increased mortality. When a search for skeletal metastases is performed, radionuclide investigations may be misleading unless the presence of hyperparathyroidism has been recognised.

The treatment of hypercalcaemia in patients with malignancy may be suboptimal even within a tertiary referral centre. Assays for plasma PTH are widely available, and involve minimal patient stress. Diagnosis of hyperparathyroidism, with the associated improvement in prognosis compared to that of hypercalcaemia of malignancy, may encourage both the use of specific hypocalcaemic therapy, and further investigation and treatment of the malignancy.

It has been suggested that in patients with a past history of malignancy, the onset of hypercalcaemia merits assessment of parathyroid function before being attributed to (recurrent) malignancy. The same should apply to patients with active malignant disease, unless other factors (eg, known severe metastatic disease) indicate a prognosis of less than 3–6 months. Parathyroid function should be assessed prior to treatment with bisphosphonates, as this can lead to artificial elevations in PTH concentration, even in the presence of persisting hypercalcaemia.

The combination of malignancy and hyperparathyroidism is compatible with relatively prolonged survival. In patients with hyperparathyroidism, assay of plasma PTHrP can give further prognostic information, which may help to guide therapy.

Learning points

- up to 15% of hypercalcaemic patients with cancer have hyperparathyroidism
- the prognosis in these patients is comparatively good
- measurement of serum PTH and plasma PTHrP should be considered in every case
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