Resistance to recombinant human erythropoietin due to aluminium overload and its reversal by low dose desferrioxamine therapy
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Summary: Seventeen severely anaemic and transfusion-dependent haemodialysis patients with a haemoglobin less than 7 g/dl were treated with recombinant human erythropoietin (r-Hu-EPO). Aluminium toxicity was diagnosed by a positive desferrioxamine (DFO) test and bone biopsy. Seven out of eight patients without aluminium toxicity responded to r-Hu-EPO therapy. Similarly all patients with aluminium toxicity (n = 4) but pre-treated with standard dose of DFO prior to r-Hu-EPO therapy responded but none of the patients with untreated aluminium toxicity (n = 5) responded to r-Hu-EPO therapy. In order to achieve adequate response in these patients, r-Hu-EPO and DFO had to be given in combination. The dose of desferrioxamine used to reverse r-Hu-EPO resistance was less and also used for a short time. We therefore confirm r-Hu-EPO resistance owing to aluminium overload and report its successful and safe reversal with low dose DFO therapy.

Introduction

Erythropoietin deficiency has been incriminated as a principal cause of anaemia in patients receiving regular dialysis therapy (RDT).1-2 Recombinant human erythropoietin (r-Hu-EPO) has been used successfully in the treatment of anaemia of end stage renal disease in over 90% of patients on dialysis.3-5 Some treatment failures are undoubtedly due to subtle blood loss or haemolysis, secondary hyperparathyroidism2 and unrecognized iron deficiency.5 Aluminium intoxication may also occur in RDT patients (brought about by the chronic ingestion of aluminium-containing phosphate binders or with the use of untreated haemodialysis water) and cause microcytic, hypochromic or normochromic anaemia which is a recognized cause of r-Hu-EPO resistance.6,7 Aluminium-related anaemia can be successfully reversed by chelation of aluminium with DFO.11-13 However, the DFO dosage used has been high and can result in serious oculair and haemodynamic side effects.14-16 It is not known whether DFO administration would reverse r-Hu-EPO resistance when used in small doses either prior to, or simutaneously with r-Hu-EPO treatment. We report our experience of r-Hu-EPO administration in patients on RDT with and without aluminium toxicity.

Patients and methods

Seventeen severely anaemic and transfusion-dependent patients on RDT with haemoglobin (Hb) less than 7 g/dl were treated with r-Hu-EPO. Aluminium overload was diagnosed or excluded by a positive low-dose DFO aluminium mobilization test17 (all patients) and bone biopsy (12 patients) performed 2-4 weeks prior to r-Hu-EPO therapy as a part of baseline investigations.

Serum aluminium levels were measured by DC plasma emission spectrometry.18 Twelve patients had a transiliac bone biopsy after double tetracycline labelling.19 Bone histomorphometric analysis was done using standard techniques.20-22 Biopsy specimens were fixed in absolute alcohol ‘Analar’ before processing, sectioning and staining with van Geison stain to show osteoid and the solochrome azure method22 for aluminium. The presence of ferric iron was excluded by using Perl’s stain. Morphometry was performed using Kontron MOP-30 image analysis system and digitizing tablet. Aluminium-related bone disease was diagnosed by using the criteria similar to those used by others.25,21 Eight patients (five males) in the study...
had no evidence of aluminium toxicity (four also had a negative bone biopsy) and comprised Group 1. The remaining nine patients (four males) who were aluminium toxic (eight had a positive bone biopsy) were subdivided into two groups, Group 2 (n = 5) who prior to r-Hu-EPO therapy were not treated for aluminium toxicity and Group 3 (n = 4) who had been treated by DFO (1 g intravenously three times weekly on dialysis for 6 months) before r-Hu-EPO therapy was commenced because of the severity of musculo-skeletal symptoms. After 6 months of DFO therapy, a repeat DFO test performed before the commencement of r-Hu-EPO therapy was negative in each case. Salient clinical features and base line investigations of the patients are summarized in Table I.

All patients received r-Hu-EPO 50 U/kg body weight intravenously at the end of each dialysis session (duration 4 hours three times weekly). The dose was increased by 25 U/kg body weight every 4 weeks until 100 U/kg body weight or haemoglobin (Hb) concentration of more than 9 g/dl was achieved. The study period lasted for 12 weeks. The DFO dose used for the treatment of aluminium toxicity was 1 g intravenously over the last 2 hours of each dialysis session for 6 months. Iron deficiency detected by serum ferritin < 100 µg/l and transferrin saturation < 20%23,24 was treated by intravenous iron dextran (100 mg elemental iron) once a week. The patients from the three groups continued to take their usual medication which included anti-hypertensive drugs, phosphate binders (calcium carbonate and aluminium hydroxide), one alpha cholecalciferol, and oral iron and vitamin supplements. Iron supplements were doubled before the start of the study in all but one patient who was iron overloaded (serum ferritin > 9,000 µg/l).

The haematological profile was measured twice as a baseline before starting r-Hu-EPO therapy and thereafter once weekly before dialysis during the study period. Serum iron, total iron binding capacity and serum ferritin were done before and repeated at monthly intervals during r-Hu-EPO administration.

### Results

All but one patient in Group 1 responded well to r-Hu-EPO therapy and within 12 weeks reached the target Hb greater than 9 g/dl. The unresponsive patient in this group had florid hyperparathyroid disease. Patients from Group 3, who were aluminium toxic and were first treated by DFO for 6 months, responded during DFO chelation therapy with transient improvement in their anaemia. Pre-DFO Hb (g/dl) 5.2 ± 0.67 rose to 7.4 ± 0.24 at the end of 6 months DFO therapy but became anaemic again with Hb falling to 6.6 ± 0.37 2 months after stopping the DFO therapy. Group 3 patients who treated subsequently with r-Hu-EPO alone, achieved the target Hb like Group 1 patients within 12 weeks. The five patients in Group 2 who were not pretreated with DFO did not achieve the target haemoglobin. Moreover, the mean rise of Hb above baseline within the study period in

---

**Table I** Salient clinical and laboratory details of study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>52 ± 5.9</td>
<td>52 ± 6.8</td>
<td>40 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of RTD (years)</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.6</td>
<td>7.2 ± 1.8</td>
<td>14.7 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline Hb (g/dl)</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferritin (µg/l)</td>
<td>571 ± 123</td>
<td>430 ± 100</td>
<td>438 ± 87*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTH (pmol/l)</td>
<td>250 ± 122</td>
<td>538 ± 207</td>
<td>520 ± 277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFO test t1</td>
<td>61 ± 7.8</td>
<td>93 ± 17.3</td>
<td>63 ± 24.2†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t2</td>
<td>82.5 ± 8.9</td>
<td>201 ± 25.7</td>
<td>86 ± 35.2†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t2-t1 (A1 rise)</td>
<td>24.5 ± 5.1</td>
<td>108 ± 15.6</td>
<td>22.5 ± 11†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post r-Hu-EPO Hb (g/dl)</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>10.4 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferritin (µg/l)</td>
<td>336 ± 95.6</td>
<td>230 ± 77</td>
<td>267 ± 73.3*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Excluding iron overloaded patient; †corresponding values of DFO test pre-DFO therapy in Group 3 were t1 = 100 ± 35.5, t2 = 225 ± 39.3 and t2-t1 = 125 ± 14.5; †corresponding Hb in Group 2 after 12 weeks of combined r-Hu-EPO + DFO therapy (10.6 ± 0.3); PTH = parathyroid hormone; DFO = desferrioxamine; r-Hu-EPO = recombinant human erythropoietin; RTD = regular dialysis therapy.
Group 1 (3.62 ± 0.55) and Group 3 (4.06 ± 1.06) was significantly higher than in Group 2 (1.32 ± 0.28) (P < 0.05). The rate of rise of haemoglobin in Groups 1 and 3 was also higher than in Group 2 (Y = 5.53 ± 0.11X) (Figure 1).

There was a significant negative correlation between baseline serum aluminium levels and the mean rise of Hb, and between Hb rise during r-Hu-EPO therapy and aluminium increment following DFO challenge (Figures 2 and 3), but there was no correlation between baseline parathyroid hormone levels, serum ferritin and the mean Hb rise during the study period. All patients except one from Groups 1 and 3 needed to increase the dose of r-Hu-EPO to a maximum of 100 U/kg body weight during the 12 week study period.

Group 2 patients (n = 5) were continued on r-Hu-EPO 100 U/kg body weight for a further 8 weeks with no improvement in anaemia (12 weeks post r-Hu-EPO Hb (6.9 ± 0.5) vs post 20 weeks Hb (7.0 ± 0.38). Patients from this group were then treated with combined r-Hu-EPO (100 U/kg body weight), DFO (1 g twice weekly, given intravenously on dialysis) and 100 mg elemental iron once weekly to prevent iron deficiency due to DFO administration. All patients responded to combined therapy and achieved a target Hb greater than 9 g/dl within 12 weeks. The mean Hb rise on combined r-Hu-EPO and DFO therapy was significantly higher (3.72 ± 0.21) than with r-HuEPO treatment only (P < 0.01). Similarly, the rate of Hb rise on combined therapy became comparable to Group 1 and Group 3 (Figure 1). The utilization of iron was similar in the three groups (Table 1). Four patients became iron deficient on r-Hu-EPO therapy, two each from Group 1 and Group 3 and needed weekly intravenous iron supplements. The iron overloaded patient responded with dramatic fall in serum ferritin levels from >9,000 µg/l to <5,000 µg/l within 12 weeks. All patients from the three groups remained transfusion independent during the study period.

Discussion

All the anaemic patients on regular dialysis therapy responded to r-Hu-EPO therapy (transfusion independent) but the response was not uniform. Patients who were aluminium toxic and not pretreated by DFO, responded poorly and did not achieve the target Hb, furthermore the rate and mean rise of Hb was significantly less than for patients without aluminium toxicity or those pretreated with DFO for aluminium toxicity. Baseline and post-DFO test aluminium levels correlated negatively to Hb response, suggestive of its role in erythropoietin resistance which was reversed by either pretreatment with DFO or by combined DFO and r-Hu-EPO therapy. One
patient from the non-aluminium toxic group failed to achieve the target Hb, but had severe hyperparathyroid bone disease which is a recognized cause of r-Hu-EPO resistance. However, there was no correlation in this study between baseline parathyroid hormone levels and the degree of response.

Grutzmacher et al. have previously demonstrated the effect of aluminium overload on the bone marrow response to r-Hu-EPO therapy. In this study patients with higher baseline serum aluminium levels (>50 mg/l) as well as those with post-DFO challenge aluminium rise of >175 mg/l responded poorly to r-Hu-EPO treatment. The mechanism of aluminium-related anaemia and its partial resistance to r-Hu-EPO therapy remains uncertain. Various proposals have been made, including interference with iron utilization resulting in a reduction in haem synthesis. Some investigators believe aluminium-related inhibition of aminolaevulinic acid dehydrogenase (ALAD), a key enzyme in haem synthesis, plays an important role in the aluminium-related anaemia. Erythropoietin is increased and ALAD activity is reduced in aluminium overload, supporting the enzyme inhibition theory. Since no measurement of erythropoietin or ALAD were made in this study no further comments can be made.

Aluminium-related bone disease is treated by DFO administration with a starting dose of 40 mg/kg body weight and increasing it to 60 mg/kg body weight and if tolerated by the patients is continued for approximately a year. Praga et al. used 2 g DFO three times a week for 6 months for improvement of anaemia in haemodialysis patients with no evidence of overt aluminium toxicity. Roger et al. have shown that DFO enhances the haemopoietic response to r-Hu-EPO even in patients without aluminium toxicity but DFO dose used was 30 mg/kg body weight and had to be reduced to 20 mg/kg because of high incidence of visual toxicity. Similarly, Casati et al. were able to reduce the maintenance dose of r-Hu-EPO for necessary haemopoietic response in patients after DFO (20 mg/kg body weight/week for 6 months) chelation therapy. In our study DFO dosage used was considerably lower and it was possible to reverse r-Hu-EPO resistance within 12 weeks despite the presence of overt aluminium toxicity. Serious side effects associated with the use of high DFO dosage can be minimized and were not observed in this study. However, the number of patients in this and other studies were small, owing to a falling incidence of aluminium toxicity in the dialysis population.

The present study has thus identified an inhibitory effect of aluminium on r-Hu-EPO responsiveness in patients with overt aluminium toxicity. The serum aluminium levels >100 mg/l, positive DFO mobilization test and bone biopsy evidence of aluminium overload are predictive of poor responsiveness to r-Hu-EPO therapy. This partial resistance can be reversed by aluminium chelation with minimal DFO either prior to or during r-Hu-EPO therapy. We therefore confirm that aluminium toxicity is an important cause of resistance to r-Hu-EPO therapy and can be reversed safely and successfully by chelation with low dose DFO treatment.
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