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Summary: The purpose of this response paper is to document a form of mental illness, namely, personality disorder: (1) whose impact is far-reaching, impinging on different ‘key areas’ identified in ‘The Health of the Nation’ (The Health of the Nation: A Consultative Document. HMSO, London, 1991), including: eating and drinking habits, smoking, prevention of accidents, human immunodeficiency (HIV)/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS), and other mental illness itself; (2) which tends to be underdiagnosed by health-care professionals in spite of its aetiopathogenic relationship to other ‘key areas’ (as above); (3) which tends to be associated with negative therapeutic attitude in spite of well-documented, albeit specialist, treatment expertise; (4) which, importantly, transmits psychopathology from one generation to the next and hence has a pivotal role to play in prevention; and (5) which, for the reasons enumerated above, could form a ‘target’ for various, measurable, interventions.

Personality disorder

Personality disorder is defined by the International Classification of Diseases, 9th revision1 as: ‘Deeply ingrained maladaptive patterns of behaviour generally recognisable by the time of adolescence or earlier and continuing throughout most of adult life, but often becoming less obvious in middle or old age. Personality is abnormal either in the balance of its components, their quality and expression or in its total aspect. Because of this deviation or psychopathy the patient suffers or others have to suffer and there is an adverse effect upon the individual or on society. This includes what is sometimes called psychopathic personality, but if it is determined primarily by malfunctioning of the brain, the issue should not be classified here but as one of the non-psychotic organic brain syndromes ...’ Other classificatory schemas tend to use very similar criteria, for example, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (3rd ed).2 Subcategorization of personality disorders is unreliable especially where the condition is severe.3,4

Prevalence of personality disorder

Reported prevalence rates vary, but there is accumulating evidence for a prevalence of approximately 10% of the total population suffering from personality disorder.5,6 Other research has identified a gradient within the referral system to psychiatrists.7 Thus prevalence is increased in general practitioner (GP) attenders (approximately 20%) and is greater in psychiatric out-patient attenders (approximately 30%) and greatest in psychiatric in-patients (approximately 40%). Corresponding data for prevalence of personality disorder in other medical conditions are lacking although it is known that the addictions (to illicit drugs, prescribed drugs, alcohol and tobacco) are all positively associated with personality disorder. Likewise, disorders of eating (anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, and obesity) are all over-represented in personality disordered individuals.8-11 The sexual behaviour of personality disordered individuals is also abnormal spanning the perversions12 and also including sexual victimization, for example, rape and child sexual abuse.13

Reporting of personality disorder patients’ statistics

Personality disorder, as a primary diagnosis, represents 8.4% of first psychiatric in-patient admissions in the UK and 7.2% of all admissions to mental hospitals (Health and Personal Social Ser-
vice Statistics). Since secondary diagnoses are seldom reliably coded, the above figures represent an under-estimate of the true rates of personality disorder in such populations. A recently cited study\(^7\) found that the reported rate of personality disorder increased from 15 to 50% once all psychiatric in-patients were screened for a personality disorder diagnosis.

**Co-morbidity**

The co-existence of personality disorder with other symptom disorder diagnoses, such as schizophrenia, manic-depressive psychosis and neurosis, is not solely of academic interest. It is associated with poorer treatment response and longer, hence more costly, treatment.\(^7\) The effect of personality disorder on the treatment of medical conditions is not known. It is likely, however, that compliance with treatment will be impaired, as will be taking up of medical advice. The end result is a poorer cost–benefits analysis.

**Aetiology of personality disorder**

There is no single cause of personality disorder. Aetiology is multifactorial and there are substantial associations between later personality disorder and childhood influences such as poor supervision, parental uninvolvment, parental criminality and aggressiveness, composite family handicap and deviant peers.\(^{14,15}\) There is also a positive association between personality disorder and previous child sexual abuse\(^{16}\) and alcohol and drug misuse in parents.\(^{17}\) Aetiological agents act particularly via disturbed relationships with close family members during the formative years of the personality. These effects act mainly through long-term repeated influences rather than a single traumatic event. In this way, personality-disordered parents produce personality-disordered children. Although difficult to quantify, the genetic contribution to this familial transmission of psychopathology is likely to be small.\(^{18}\)

**Engagement in treatment**

Not surprisingly, personality-disordered patients are difficult to engage in treatment. Their presentation to professionals is often with a self-damaging act (overdose, wrist-slashing, burning) or else via an act of delinquency (theft, violence) rather than by the presentation of a symptom such as depression or anxiety. To an extent, the traditional medical model demands a 'symptom' as a *sine qua non* for treatment. Thus many clinicians feel disempowered, professionally, by personality-disordered patients who do not produce such an 'entry ticket'. Failure of the clinical encounter can lead to the maintenance of patients' mistrust of authority figures, on the one hand, and therapeutic nihilism from clinicians, on the other.\(^{22}\)

The first therapeutic challenge, therefore, is to facilitate the personality-disordered individual in becoming a patient, that is, a person who is capable of verbalizing a complaint or symptom rather than expressing painful feelings solely via actions. 'Actions' do indeed speak louder than words but clinicians need to be able to delve below the level of actions (and of symptoms) in order to address the underlying negative attitudes towards the self, which are at the root of the disorder of personality and which fuel the self-damaging and maladaptive behaviours.
Goals of treatment

The goal of treatment is to convert the 'actor' into a 'thinker and feeler'. For this to be achieved, patients with personality disorder need to take on responsibility for their own actions rather than devolving this to professionals upon whom they often become overly dependent. Individual treatment approaches which are always more costly than group treatments, tend to founder partly because of the fostering of inappropriate dependency which militates against the owning of responsibility. Professionals are, confusingly, both idealized and denigrated. For personality disordered patients the power imbalance implied by the helper–helped relationship is particularly difficult to bear and their response to this is often a sabotaging of treatment.

Group treatments

After World War II, group-based treatment in psychiatry proliferated in the UK as a response to the increased number of psychological casualties resulting from the War action. Of the curative factors in group therapy, which have been extensively studied, a peer group aspect, providing opportunities for interpersonal learning, is prominent. Patients within group-based psychotherapeutic treatments are enabled to become dependent, not on an individual professional nor upon medication, but on the group comprising mainly non-professional members. The result is a decreased sense of alienation and an enhanced self-esteem breaking into the vicious circle which otherwise leads to difficulty asking for help, in a mature manner, using words.

In-patient treatment programmes

For the more severely ill personality disordered patients, in-patient programmes of treatment are required since out-patient approaches (whether individual or group) tend to fail. The approach adopted by Henderson Hospital, which was set up in 1947, has become a unique treatment resource for the in-patient treatment of personality disordered individuals, utilizing a 'therapeutic community' method. This approach involves no psychotropic medication, so lessening the potential for substitute dependence, but fosters more mature dependence on other people – initially in the form of fellow patients. Staff/patient hierarchy is diminished, yet present, and the emphasis is on a much more collaborative style of relationship rather than an authoritarian one. In this way clients' own personal resources are utilized to the full. The treatment approach has been shown to be successful both in alleviating personal distress, increasing self-esteem, and in decreasing psychiatric re-admission and reconviction rates.

Implications for 'The Health of the Nation' document

(1) The above factors suggest a targeting of personality disorder since it is an invisible factor in the production of much ill-health (both mental and physical) including also the specific 'target areas' identified in the document. As such, personality disorder is an influence which can attack and undermine the Nation's health in a particularly poisonous way.

(2) The way in which personality disorder expresses itself makes it problematic to treat since engagement in treatment is impaired because many personality disordered patients do not 'complain' of symptoms but rather enact them, sometimes dramatically.

(3) The result of (2), above, is therapeutic pessimism in the minds of professionals who are often surprisingly ignorant of the factors which fuel deviant presenting behaviours and of the ways to harness the patient's own more healthy resources.

(4) The treatment setting of Henderson Hospital represents one resource which has specialized in the treatment of personality-disordered individuals for over 40 years. Research findings records its beneficial impact on the outcome of such disorders.

Possible targets

Recognition

Given the prevalence of personality disorder (as indicated by research studies cited above), there is much unrecognized personality disorder in ordinary clinical practice which accounts for poor compliance and poor outcome of treatment for a wide variety of medical conditions. To increase the recognition of personality disorder, therefore, represents a credible target since its presence alone and its co-existence with other conditions contributes to a waste of important National Health Service resources. This target could be achieved via the launching of a suitable educational initiative to psychiatric and non-psychiatric medical personnel.

Engagement

Even when the true prevalence of personality disorder is recognized, therapeutic pessimism may remain and this would need to be countered by an educational programme targeted at health-care
professionals (including those within psychiatry itself) and focusing on the learning of skills to achieve meaningful engagement of personality disordered individuals in treatment.

Specialist treatment

Once skills for engaging personality-disordered patients in treatment are widespread, then, and only then, can treatment be undertaken to an appropriate degree given the prevalence of personality disorder in the UK population at large. For a substantial minority (the precise number of which cannot be accurately predicted at present) there will be a need for intensive, long-term (measured in months or years) in-patient psychotherapeutic treatment, for example, as represented by the Henderson Hospital model of treatment. An appropriate target, already calculated by the Royal College of Psychiatrists, would be one such in-patient treatment resource per Region in the UK.

Range of treatment resources

There is a range of severity of personality disorder which demands treatment of differing types and also differing levels of security of the treatment setting. Consequently there needs to be a range of treatment provisions which span the existing range of resources: from the Special Hospitals and Regional Secure Units, at one end of the treatment spectrum; to in-patient psychotherapy units and through to community/out-patient psychiatric resources which properly merge with the District-based psychiatric and psychotherapy out-patient services. In order to produce the necessary ‘targets’, the ratio of the size of various treatment settings to one another would need to be formulated. This would then allow for more detailed calculations to be carried out.

Comment

The above goals and objectives could be included within the ‘target’ of re-aligning resources which might accrue from the closure of the remaining 90 large psychiatric hospitals as indicated in The Health of the Nation. However, given the far-reaching influence of personality disorder on aspects of health which are not strictly ‘psychiatric’, it might be that consideration of sources of funding for the above possible targets (recognition; engagement; and treatment) also includes non-psychiatric sources.
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