Ocular hazards of nebulized bronchodilators
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Summary: Three cases of pupillary dilatation associated with the development of acute glaucoma, precipitated by the use of nebulized bronchodilators are described. We suggest measures to reduce the risk of this complication.

Introduction

Nebulized bronchodilators, both beta-agonists and anticholinergics are in common use both in hospital and in domiciliary practice. The ocular hazards of these compounds, particularly ipratropium bromide in nebulized form, may be underestimated. The following 3 cases serve to illustrate the problem.

Case 1

A 75 year old woman was admitted with an infective exacerbation of chronic obstructive airways disease (COAD), and congestive cardiac failure. The patient wore reading glasses but had no other ocular problems. Initial treatment was with nebulized salbutamol 2.5 mg and ipratropium bromide 0.25 mg 6 hourly by Hudson disposable aerosol face-mask, enalapril 2.5 mg and frusemide 40 mg daily, hydrocortisone 100 mg intravenously (i.v.) 6 hourly, cefotizoxime 1 g i.v. twice daily. Five days after admission, her visual acuity deteriorated, and she could perceive light only. Both pupils were dilated, ovoid and non-reactive, and there was bilateral corneal oedema. Digital estimation suggested raised intra-ocular pressure, and a diagnosis of acute bilateral closed-angle glaucoma was made. Treatment was commenced with intravenous acetazolamide, and topical pilocarpine and betamethasone. The nebulized bronchodilators were stopped, and over the next 2 weeks, visual acuity improved to 6/12 and 6/24. Of note is the observation that ward staff had noted the face-mask displaced over the patient’s forehead and eyes on several occasions.

Case 2

A 72 year old man with COAD was admitted to the intensive care unit following a cardiac arrest. Ten days after commencing nebulized salbutamol 2.5 mg and ipratropium bromide 0.25 mg 4 times daily by face-mask, it was noted that both pupils were dilated, ovoid and non-reactive. Both eyes were stony hard with hazy corneas, consistent with a diagnosis of acute bilateral closed-angle glaucoma. The patient was semi-conscious and had not complained of pain. Other medications at the time were digoxygenin 0.25 mg daily, verapamil 80 mg 8 hourly, frusemide 40 mg (i.v.) daily and cefotaxime 1 g (i.v.) 6 hourly. The nebulized ipratropium bromide was stopped and treatment was commenced with intravenous acetazolamide and topical pilocarpine. One week later, eye pressures were normal, the pupils were reactive and visual acuity was 6/12 in both eyes.

Case 3

A 28 year old woman with a long history of severe asthma was admitted with an acute exacerbation. Maintenance medication on admission was inhaled fenoterol hydrobromide 90 µg and ipratropium bromide 36 µg per metered inhalation (2 inhalations 4 times daily), inhaled budesonide 400 µg twice daily, inhaled nedocromil sodium 4 mg 4 times daily, theophylline 300 mg 3 times daily, prednisolone 45 mg daily, azathioprine 50 mg 3 times daily, and nebulized ipratropium bromide 0.5 mg 4 times daily. The ipratropium bromide was increased to 6 times daily and the prednisolone increased to 60 mg daily on admission, and ofloxacin 100 mg twice daily was commenced. Nebulized beta-agonists were not administered because of previous adverse effects.

Nine days after admission the patient com-
plained of headache and blurred vision, and a dilated and sluggishly reactive left pupil was noted. Computed tomographic brain scan and cerebrospinal fluid examination were normal and on stopping the ipratropium bromide, the headache and pupillary signs resolved.

Discussion

These patients demonstrate significant side effects of nebulized bronchodilator therapy. The diagnosis of glaucoma in the first 2 cases was based on the presence of hazy corneae, dilated ovoid non-reactive pupils and increased intra-ocular pressure assessed digitally. Tonometry was not performed acutely but the diagnosis was confirmed by a consultant ophthalmologist in both cases. Unlike inhaled bronchodilators, 1, 2 nebulized ipratropium bromide and salbutamol in combination have been shown to cause a rise in intra-ocular pressure. 3 Topical absorption of the bronchodilators across the cornea is postulated to be responsible for this effect. Precipitation of acute closed-angle glaucoma by nebulized bronchodilators was first described in 1982, when ipratropium bromide was implicated. 4 In a second case the patient received both salbutamol and ipratropium bromide.5 Nebulized salbutamol used alone has been implicated in one case.5 The manufacturers of ipratropium bromide (Boehringer Ingelheim) have received 4 reports of glaucoma precipitated by the use of the drug. A recent report described 5 cases of pupillary dilatation attributed to ipratropium bromide.6

In our opinion, the main agent implicated in precipitation of glaucoma by nebulized bronchodilators is ipratropium bromide due to the anticholinergic effect of the absorbed drug, although salbutamol may have a facilitative action by its effect on aqueous humor production.

Promp diagnosis of acute glaucoma is essential to prevent blindness, but a high index of suspicion may be necessary in patients with COAD, hypoxia, hypercapnia and impaired consciousness, such as our first 2 patients who had no pain whatsoever.

We suggest that clinicians should maintain a high index of suspicion for ocular complications of nebulized bronchodilators. Strict attention should be paid to ensure that face-masks are tight-fitting and correctly positioned to prevent topical absorption of nebulizer solution across the cornea. Administration of solution via a ‘tube device’ may decrease the risk of topical absorption further. Patients with a past history of glaucoma warrant particular attention, but susceptible patients with narrow anterior chambers may have no past history of glaucoma. Patients being commenced on domiciliary nebulized bronchodilators should be warned about the possible risk of glaucoma, and about measures to minimize this risk. Furthermore, we would suggest that all wards should have a supply of acetazolamide and pilocarpine available for emergency treatment of glaucoma. Finally we note the manufacturer’s warning which states that caution is advised in the use of nebulized ipratropium bromide in patients with a history of glaucoma. We suggest this warning is inadequate and should state that all patients should be considered at risk of developing glaucoma with the use of this agent in nebulized form.
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