Intravenous infusions of ifosfamide/mesna and perturbation of warfarin anticoagulant control
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Summary: We report three patients who had serious disturbance of anticoagulant control while receiving ifosfamide/mesna-containing cytotoxic chemotherapy in association with warfarin. In view of the likely biological potentiation of warfarin by ifosfamide/mesna, close monitoring of anticoagulant control should be performed during the co-administration of these drugs.

Introduction

Patients with cancer receiving cytotoxic chemotherapy may suffer from thromboembolic complications that require anticoagulant drugs.1 Ifosfamide is an oxazaphosphorine alkylating agent that is increasingly being used with the uroprotector mesna to treat a variety of cancers. We have recently observed three patients to have serious disturbance of the international normalized ratio (INR), a measure of anticoagulant control, while receiving treatment with ifosfamide/mesna-containing combination cytotoxic chemotherapy for cancer. In our institution patients who are not receiving anticoagulant therapy should have an INR of 1.0 and the target range for appropriate anticoagulation using warfarin is an INR of 2–4.

Case reports

A 16 year old girl with a malignant ovarian endodermal sinus tumour was given warfarin for a left femoral vein thrombosis and her INR was stable while she received an oral dose of warfarin 4 mg each day for 2 months. She was given etoposide 100 mg/m2 in 1 litre of normal saline which was infused intravenously over 4 hours. Cisplatin 20 mg/m2 in 1 litre of normal saline was then infused intravenously over 6 hours. Ifosfamide plus mesna both in doses of 3 g/m2 dissolved in 3 litres of dextrose saline were infused intravenously, each litre over a time period of 8 hours. Forty-eight hours after initiation of intravenous chemotherapy the INR had risen to 8.4. Oral medications started just prior to intravenous chemotherapy were nabilone 2 mg/day domperidone 120 mg/day and lorazepam 1 mg/day.

A 61 year old woman with advanced breast cancer was given warfarin for an axillary vein thrombosis and anticoagulation control was stable on an oral dose of warfarin 4 mg daily and the INR was 2.3. Intravenous chemotherapy was given with doxorubicin 40 mg/m2 as an intravenous bolus and ifosfamide 5 g/m2 given as a 24 hour infusion in 3 litres of normal saline, preceded by 1 g/m2 intravenous bolus of mesna. Four g/m2 of mesna were given by intravenous infusion over a 32 hour period starting at the same time as ifosfamide. When given together ifosfamide and mesna were mixed in the same infusion fluid. Forty-eight hours after initiation of chemotherapy the INR had risen to 7.5. The INR subsequently fell to 1.3 on cessation of warfarin, but 5 days after chemotherapy the INR remained below 2.0 despite oral doses of warfarin of 10 mg daily. Five days later the INR was within the therapeutic range and stable on a maintenance warfarin dose of 4 mg per day. Oral medications started just before intravenous chemotherapy were domperidone 120 mg/day, lorazepam 2 mg/day and dexamethasone 8 mg/day.

A 25 year old man was given warfarin following partial resection of a retroperitoneal malignancy. Anticoagulation control was stable on 4 mg of warfarin each day with an INR of 2.1. Intravenous chemotherapy was given and consisted of doxorubicin 20 mg/m2 and vincristine 2 mg both given as intravenous bolus injections. Ifosfamide, a total of 9 g/m2 and mesna 10.5 g/m2 dissolved in 9 litres of normal saline were given by intravenous infusions, each litre infused over an 8-hour period. Forty-eight hours after initiation of chemotherapy the INR was noted to be 5.5 and warfarin was
discontinued, but it was possible to reintroduce warfarin 2 days later with stabilization of the INR on a dose of 4 mg of warfarin each day. Oral anti-emetic therapy given just prior to chemotherapy consisted of intermittent metoclopramide 10 mg, intermittent chlorpromazine 25 mg and the patient was also taking intermittent diamorphine in a dose of 5–10 mg. Three weeks later the patient was given a second course of combination chemotherapy. Immediately prior to this the INR was 2.8 and rose again to 4.8, 3 days after initiation of the second course of chemotherapy.

Two other patients receiving ifosfamide/mesna-containing chemotherapy but not given warfarin had blood tests to examine the effect of this chemotherapy on the INR. Both patients had pre- and post-treatment INR values of 1.0.

**Discussion**

Ifosfamide is an analogue of cyclophosphamide with similar but not identical biological characteristics. Although cyclophosphamide itself is only 12–24% protein bound, its metabolites are more widely bound to protein (nor-nitrogen mustard 67%, phosphoramide mustard 33%). It is likely that the protein binding of ifosfamide and its metabolites follows a similar pattern. The increase in INR could thus be due to an increase in free warfarin levels due to displacement from protein binding by ifosfamide metabolites.

A second mechanism may be the inhibition of cytochrome P<sub>450</sub> mediated metabolism of warfarin by ifosfamide or one of its metabolites. Cyclophosphamide has been shown to inhibit microsomal enzyme function in the rat. Ifosfamide has been shown to auto-induce its own metabolism, presumably due to induction of cytochrome P<sub>450</sub>. Ifosfamide could therefore inhibit initially the enzymes metabolizing warfarin but then induce them and this could explain the relative warfarin resistance observed in our second patient who initially had potentiation of warfarin effect.

A possible effect of mesna or anti-emetics or the other cytotoxic drugs used in combination in causing perturbation of anticoagulant control cannot be excluded. However, treatment with ifosfamide/mesna was common to all 3 cases and was a likely cause of the observed potentiation of anticoagulant effect. Patients who are given warfarin and are concomitantly prescribed ifosfamide should have close monitoring of anticoagulant control, even if this has previously been stable.

**References**

Intravenous infusions of ifosfamide/mesna and perturbation of warfarin anticoagulant control.

G. Hall, M. J. Lind, M. Huang, A. Moore, A. Gane, J. T. Roberts and B. M. Cantwell

doi: 10.1136/pgmj.66.780.860

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://pmj.bmj.com/content/66/780/860

These include:

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/