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Introduction

‘Please do not write any more articles about cholesterol and coronary disease and the diet and drugs which are supposed to influence them. The facts about coronary disease are these: the less atheromatous your ancestors, the harder your water, and the more habitual exercise you take, the less likely you are to be troubled by it. Do stop bothering about whether your fats are saturated or unsaturated, help yourselves liberally to butter and stop propagating these erroneous legends.’

This remains too frequently the view of medical practitioners in Britain. Sadly it must be one of the few statements of Richard Asher which does not remain as penetratingly accurate today as it was when first made: prescience had on this rare occasion deserted him. We still, of course, believe that susceptibility to coronary atheroma is in some individuals inherited, but this does not mean that their risk is immutable. Evidence that coronary disease morbidity and mortality can be decreased by therapy aimed at lowering serum cholesterol, is now strong. Indeed our patients are likely to benefit more from this than from many other medical practices, which are accepted without question.

The debate concerning the ‘cholesterol hypothesis’ has now moved on to consider how cholesterol or some factor closely related to its metabolism provokes atherogenesis and to determine the particular levels of cholesterol at which therapeutic intervention would be expected to produce benefit. It is this latter issue which is the subject of this article.

In some patients with hypercholesterololaemia (perhaps the minority) the decision to prescribe lipid-lowering drug therapy, when diet has not produced a satisfactory decrease in the serum cholesterol, is easy. In others, however, it may involve a difficult clinical judgement. Indeed the majority of patients with hypercholesterololaemia must be regarded as borderline when drug therapy is to be considered. It is our purpose to provide a background of ideas, which will assist in making that decision. The origins and fate of the different lipoproteins which transport cholesterol are diverse, and it is naive in the extreme to believe that any single numerical value for the serum cholesterol can stimulate a therapeutic reflex response without the proper diagnostic assessment of each individual patient. Supposeing we limited ourselves to but a single therapeutic approach to hypercholesterolaemia: what disasters would follow! Some knowledge of lipoprotein metabolism is essential to the clinician contemplating the management of hypercholesterolaemia.

Lipoprotein physiology (Figure 1)

The average Briton consumes almost 100 g of fat every day and much of this is triglyceride. The products of fat digestion are absorbed in the small intestine where they are synthesized into large triglyceride-rich lipoproteins called chylomicrons, which are secreted into the lacteals. They enter the blood circulation from the lymphatic system via the thoracic duct. As they circulate they come in contact with the enzyme, lipoprotein lipase, located in the capillary beds of tissues, such as muscle and adipose tissue, which have a high requirement for triglyceride as an energy source and as an energy store respectively. That enzyme releases fatty acids and glycerol from the chylomicron triglyceride and the lipoprotein particle becomes progressively smaller. Finally the small remnant particle, which is formed, is cleared by a special receptor on the liver cells, which recognizes one of the proteins present in the remnant called apolipoprotein E. This whole process is usually completed within a few hours of a meal and chylomicrons are not normally present in the plasma following an overnight fast.

Triglyceride is extremely important to any animal organism (except perhaps one living our present cosseted existence when our only movement is to be carried by car from one centrally heated, labour-saving building to another!). This is because triglyceride is a rich source of energy and is light and compact to store. Being a lipid it eschews water and thus the adipose cell comprises a triglyceride droplet with only a tiny rim of cytoplasm: no more than 15% of its weight is water and every gram of adipose tissue thus yields almost the full 9 Calories locked in each gram of triglyceride. Compare this with carbohydrate. Although refined carbohydrate contains 4 Calories per gram, because it is osmotically active, even substances
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such as glycogen can only be stored in limited amounts in any cell, meaning that the store of carbohydrate energy in a gram of muscle or liver is much less than one Calorie. The 70 kg man has about 15 kg of stored triglyceride representing 140,000 Calories (compare this to his 6 kg of protein equivalent to 24,000 Calories and 225 mg of glycogen representing 900 Calories). Even with an energy expenditure of 2000 Calories per day these triglyceride stores would not be completely depleted after starvation for two months. This illustrates the very real difficulty experienced by patients, who are obese, in reducing their weight. To have an ideal weight of 70 kg, but to be 20\% overweight, is to have an extra 10 kg of triglyceride stored, which represents 90,000 Calories and many months of suffering, if it is to be removed!

The primal importance of triglyceride dictates that systems exist to transport it, at times other than after meals. The release from adipose tissue of non-esterified fatty acids, which can be directly respired by tissues, such as muscle, or converted to ketone bodies by the liver to act as respiratory substrates for other tissues, constitutes one transport system. The other is provided by the secretion of a triglyceride-rich lipoprotein by the liver, called very low density lipoprotein (VLDL). These lipoproteins, which are generally smaller than chylomicrons, are present in fasting plasma. Most of the triglyceride in a fasting blood sample is in VLDL. Within the circulation VLDL undergoes a similar sequence of events to the chylomicron: a progressive removal of its triglyceride load by the enzyme, lipoprotein lipase. This time the remnant particle, which is formed, is the low density lipoprotein (LDL). This lipoprotein is sufficiently small to cross the vascular endothelium and enter the extravascular extracellular fluid, where it comes in contact with all the cells of the body. It constitutes the system by which they receive cholesterol since it contains the cholesterol secreted by the liver as a component of VLDL, its precursor, and also cholesterol acquired during the circulation of VLDL and LDL from high density lipoprotein (HDL). Cholesterol is an essential component of cell membranes and every cell thus has a requirement for it. Certain specialized tissues also require cholesterol as a precursor for the synthesis of other sterols such as glucocorticoids, mineralocorticoids, sex steroids, vitamin D and bile salts. The cellular requirement for cholesterol is met by a membrane receptor, the LDL receptor, which recognizes LDL and allows its entry into the cell. Synthesis of the receptor is precisely regulated in response to the metabolic demands for cholesterol. In the liver, where the removal of cholesterol from the body is possible in the bile, this receptor-mediated removal of LDL from the circulation constitutes a major means of LDL catabolism. In addition to leaving the circulation by this means LDL may also exit by a non-receptor mediated route. This means of exit becomes increasingly significant as plasma LDL levels increase, because, unlike the receptor-mediated uptake of LDL, it is unregulated and remains concentration dependent. It can thus lead to the accumulation of excess cholesterol in the tissues and may contribute to atheroma.

Some four fifths of serum cholesterol is in LDL and about one fifth (more in women than men) is present in HDL. Cholesterol present in LDL is the reason for the positive relationship between total serum cholesterol and the risk of ischaemic heart disease (Figure 2). The HDL cholesterol concentration on the other hand is inversely related to risk. HDL is believed to have a key role in the removal of excess cholesterol from tissues and its return to the liver (reverse cholesterol transport). Unlike the triglyceride-rich lipoproteins it is secreted by the liver and gut as protein-rich particles, which contain little lipid. It is small and readily enters the tissue fluid, where it is the most abundant lipo-
which it protein. After its secretion it acquires cholesterol, which it esterifies, and returns to the liver by passing it on to other lipoproteins or, perhaps in part, directly.

Laboratory tests

Most laboratories measure total serum cholesterol, total serum triglycerides and HDL cholesterol, and, if hypercholesterolaemia and/or hypertriglyceridaemia is present, will report which lipoproteins are increased according to the WHO classification (popularly known as the Fredrickson classification) (Table I). It is important not to regard this classification as a diagnostic classification since the different types may have a variety of causes both secondary and primary.

Secondary cause of hyperlipoproteinaemia

Those most frequently encountered are summarized in Table II. It is important to have fully excluded them, certainly by the stage when lipid lowering drug therapy is being considered. Sometimes adequate treatment of the primary disorder will result in resolution of the hyperlipidaemia, for example in hypothyroidism. Other disorders, such as diabetes, are important to recognize and treat, but their treatment will often not produce adequate control of the hyperlipidaemia (or reduce the risk of ischaemic heart disease) so that therapy specifically directed at the hyperlipidaemia is frequently still required.12 Drugs contributing to hyperlipidaemia, such as diuretics and β-adrenoceptor blockers13,14 can present difficult decisions. We tend to avoid them in the management of hypertension in patients with hyperlipidaemia unless the blood pressure is inadequately controlled by other drugs. However, this approach would be considerably strengthened, if there was adequate evidence that some of the newer and better tolerated drugs, which can replace diuretics and β-blockers, actually do reduce mortality and morbidity from hypertension. In patients with established ischaemic heart disease we would only rarely allow the presence of hyperlipidaemia to influence other clinical considerations in the prescription of diuretic or β-blocking drugs.

Primary hyperlipidaemia

The British Hyperlipidaemia Association and the European Atherosclerosis Society have recommended that as a society we should attempt to reduce our mean cholesterol to around 5 mmol/l.15,16 This recommendation is based on an appraisal of the epidemiological evidence linking cholesterol to ischaemic heart disease. In those nations where the mean cholesterol is below 5 mmol/l coronary heart disease is uncommon.17

Table I WHO classification of hyperlipoproteinaemia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Lipoprotein elevated</th>
<th>Cholesterol</th>
<th>Triglyceride</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Chylomicrons*</td>
<td>Raised</td>
<td>Markedly raised</td>
<td>Very rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIa</td>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>Raised</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIb</td>
<td>VLDL and LDL</td>
<td>Raised</td>
<td>Raised</td>
<td>Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Beta VLDL</td>
<td>Raised</td>
<td>Raised</td>
<td>Rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>VLDL</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Raised</td>
<td>Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Chylomicrons*</td>
<td>Raised</td>
<td>Markedly raised</td>
<td>Rare</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Milky appearance of plasma; LDL = low density lipoprotein; VLDL = very low density lipoprotein; beta VLDL = remnants of triglyceride-rich lipoprotein not normally present in any quantity.
Table II  Some common causes of secondary hyperlipidaemia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs e.g., β-blockers, thiazide diuretics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothyroidism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biliary obstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myeloma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the UK the average cholesterol of middle-aged men is between 6 and 6.5 mmol/l\textsuperscript{18,19} with levels of about 0.5 mmol/l less in premenopausal women and 0.5 mmol/l greater afterwards. In a study of over 360,000 men in the USA it was established that there was no threshold below which ischaemic heart disease did not occur (Figure 2).\textsuperscript{20} However, total mortality reached a minimum at around 5 mmol/l and increased again as levels fell below 4 mmol/l. This latter phenomenon was principally due to deaths from neoplasia within the first year or two of the cholesterol measurement and it is generally considered that the low cholesterol was caused by the malignancy present at the initial examinations.\textsuperscript{21} However, erring on the side of caution,\textsuperscript{22} 5 mmol/l rather than a lower level has been chosen as an ideal level.

This, of course, is not a realistic therapeutic goal for most patients with hyperlipidaemia and it is important therefore in planning their management to have some appreciation of the scale of their increased risk in order to balance it against any disadvantages and potential side effects of therapy. Both the British Hyperlipidaemia Association and the European Atherosclerosis Society after appraising the evidence from clinical trials, in particular the Lipid Research Clinics trial with cholestyramine,\textsuperscript{3,4} concluded that lipid-lowering drugs should only exceptionally be considered unless the cholesterol level exceeded 6.5 mmol/l after a reasonable period of appropriate dietary modification. The results of the Helsinki Heart Study became available after these recommendations were published and it amply confirmed them by demonstrating decreased coronary morbidity and mortality without evidence of adverse side effects when men with cholesterol levels generally exceeding 6.4 mmol/l (actually non-HDL cholesterol > 5.2 mmol/l, average HDL cholesterol 1.2 mmol/l), despite diet, received gemfibrozil as opposed to placebo.\textsuperscript{5,8}

It must, however, be emphasized that it has not been recommended that failure of the cholesterol level to fall below 6.5 mmol/l with dietary treatment is in itself an indication for lipid-lowering drug therapy; it is merely a starting point for its consideration. The risk of a serum cholesterol level greater than 6.5 mmol/l will vary tremendously from individual to individual.

Overall we can calculate from the Registrar General's statistics and a measure of the excess risk due to cholesterol\textsuperscript{23} that some 2% of men whose cholesterol is less than 5 mmol/l will die by the age of 60 years and about 4% of those with cholesterol of 6 mmol/l and perhaps 6–8% of those at 7.5 mmol/l. Thus, if the aim of treatment was to prevent death before the age of 60, then even at a level of 7.5 mmol/l more than 90% of people receiving such treatment would derive no benefit from it and merely be exposed to its possible side effects. Of course, we realize that the prevention of coronary morbidity is also a major objective of cholesterol-lowering therapy and that the figures for combined mortality and morbidity before the age of 60 are about 3 times those for mortality alone. Also we realize that prevention of death from coronary disease before the age of 70 would be a more laudable objective. However, statistics for the likely benefits of cholesterol reduction after the age of 60 are more difficult to compute. The important point, however, is that the decision to use drugs in the management of hyperlipidaemia would be greatly facilitated, if we were able to predict with greater certainty whether the patient was one of those destined to succumb prematurely to coronary disease or one who would escape such a fate. Our clinical decision to introduce lipid-lowering drug therapy must therefore rely on an appraisal of the factors, which are likely to make each individual patient with hypercholesterolaemia more or less susceptible to accelerated atherogenesis. Factors critical to this are now considered (Table III).

Table III  Factors which would encourage the prescription of lipid-lowering drugs in patients whose serum cholesterol exceeds 6.5 mmol/l despite dietary therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Genetic hyperlipidaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Familial hypercholesterolaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Type III hyperlipoproteinemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Adverse family history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Other coronary risk factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Smoking history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Manifestation of coronary disease or peripheral arterial disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Coronary artery bypass surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Hypercholesterolaemia combined with hypertriglyceridaemia and/or low HDL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Younger age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Male sex</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(i) The diagnosis

Most hyperlipidaemia (types IIa, IIb and IV) occur as a result of environmental, particularly nutritional factors, interacting with some constitutional tendency
to hyperlipidaemia, which is probably largely genetic."24 From inheritance studies and from the Gaussian distribution of the serum cholesterol concentration, this tendency is clearly polygenic in the majority of people with hyperlipidaemia. There are, however, some individuals whose hypercholesterolaemia does not originate in this way, but depends to a large extent on a single gene, and whose coronary risk may be very much higher. One clinical syndrome which exemplifies this is familial hypercholesterolaemia.25,26

(a) Familial hypercholesterolaemia (FH)

FH is a dominantly inherited condition. About 1 in 500 people in the UK are heterozygotes for FH. They generally have a type IIa or occasionally type IIb pattern and on average their serum cholesterol is more than 9 mmol/l. However, there is considerable variation. In any patient with markedly elevated cholesterol levels it is important to consider FH as a possible diagnosis, but in the young, even when there is only borderline elevation of the cholesterol, FH should be considered as a cause. The reason for this is that there is a pronounced increase in coronary risk in FH, which is not confined to those with the highest LDL cholesterol levels.27 Almost 60% of men and 15% of women with FH will die before the age of 60 years without treatment.28 FH is due to a defect in the LDL receptor gene on chromosome 19. It is, of course, present throughout life and increased cholesterol can be detected in most affected children, unlike polygenic hypercholesterolaemia, which is often not detectable until the 3rd or even 4th decade. Most middle-aged patients with FH will have tendon xanthomata usually in the tendons of the dorsum of the hands and in the Achilles tendons. In younger adults the diagnosis may be difficult unless a first degree relative with tendon xanthomata is found or the cholesterol is known to have been raised in childhood. Death of a parent or sibling from ischaemic heart disease before the age of 50 is also strongly suggestive.

FH usually responds incompletely to diet and lipid-lowering drugs are almost invariably required and should not be withheld. The best therapeutic response is usually achieved with bile acid sequestrating agents (cholestyramine or colestipol) or the new HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors29 (Table IV). Fibrate drugs (gemfibrozil, bezafibrate, fenofibrate), probucol or nicotinic acid and its derivatives are sometimes helpful in addition.

(b) Type III hyperlipoproteinaemia

This is an unusual cause of hyperlipidaemia26,30 usually inherited as an autosomal recessive of variable penetrance. Both cholesterol and triglycerides are raised to about the same extent. The diagnosis may be confirmed in the laboratory by ultracentrifugation or identification of the patient’s apolipoprotein E phenotype. In many cases, however, the diagnosis may be made clinically by the presence of striate palmar xanthomata and/or tubero-eruptive xanthomata over the elbows and knees. The risk of coronary disease is similar to that in FH, but there is also a marked increase in peripheral arterial disease. Type III may be responsive to diet. Any persisting (even borderline) elevation in cholesterol should, however, be treated with lipid-lowering drugs, of which the most effective are the fibrate drugs.

(c) Familial combined hyperlipidaemia

Studies of the families of patients, who have heart attacks in early life, have indicated the possible existence of a hyperlipidaemia with a variable phenotype producing type IIa, IIb or type IV hyperlipoproteinaemia in different members of the same family.31–36 It has been suggested that the condition, which has been called familial combined hyperlipidaemia (FCH), is a dominant disorder. The evidence for this contention is inconclusive. The coronary risk in FCH is believed to be greater than for patients with polygenic hyperlipidaemia. FCH has been estimated to affect as many as 1 in 50 people, but since it has no specific clinical features it remains ill-defined. It does, however, emphasize the increased risk of hyperlipidaemia when it occurs in an individual with an adverse family history of coronary heart disease.

(d) Particularly high cholesterol associated with the type IIa or IIb phenotype

The relationship between cholesterol and the risk of ischaemic heart disease is exponential (Figure 2). It becomes progressively steeper. Thus levels of cholesterol exceeding 8.0 mmol/l after diet are associated with a sufficiently increased risk that, even if other adverse factors have not been found, they would generally justify cholesterol-lowering medication.37

Table IV Lipid-lowering drugs

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. | Bile acid sequestrants  
|    | e.g. cholestyramine, colestipol |
| 2. | Fibric acid derivatives  
|    | e.g. bezafibrate, fenofibrate, gemfibrozil |
| 3. | Probucol |
| 4. | Nicotinic acid and its derivatives  
|    | e.g. acipimox |
| 5. | HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors  
|    | e.g. lovastatin, pravastatin, simvastatin |
(e) Other hyperlipidaemias

In type IV hyperlipoproteinaemia, triglycerides in VLDL are raised, but LDL cholesterol levels are normal. There is no substantial evidence that treating type IV hyperlipoproteinaemia with drugs is beneficial.\(^3\)\(^8\)\(^9\) Laboratories which report patients with serum cholesterol levels in excess of 6.5 mmol/l as type IV should cease to do so and report them as type IIb hyperlipoproteinaemia to avoid confusion.

Occasionally patients have markedly raised chylomicron levels, even in the fasting state, usually in association with raised VLDL concentrations (type V hyperlipoproteinaemia).\(^3\)\(^6\)\(^9\) Their fasting triglyceride levels generally exceed 10 mmol/l. Often the cholesterol level is above 6.5 mmol/l, but this is not as a result of raised LDL, which are, in fact, low. The hypercholesterolaemia is due to cholesterol present in VLDL and chylomicrons. The condition may be asymptomatic, but there is a definite increased likelihood of acute pancreatitis, which is the usual justification for therapy. Unless there is coexistent diabetes an association with ischaemic heart disease is often not evident.

(ii) Adverse family history

An adverse family history is a risk factor for ischaemic heart disease. We usually regard this as the first manifestation of ischaemic heart disease in father or a brother before the age of 60 and in mother or sister before the age of 70. Some familial aggregation of coronary disease must result from a shared environment and habits, such as smoking and diet. However, there is evidence for a true genetic influence on coronary disease susceptibility and, although this may operate to some extent through established risk factors such as hypercholesterolaemia, there is also an independent genetic effect,\(^3\)\(^4\)\(^4\)\(^0\) which will enhance susceptibility to other risk factors such as cholesterol. In practical terms this means that the decision to introduce lipid-lowering is more likely to be made when there is an adverse family history of coronary disease even when the diagnosis of FH has not been established.

(iii) Other coronary risk factors

It is clear from epidemiological investigations that whereas a single risk factor may have only a modest effect, in combination with others it may increase the likelihood of early-onset myocardial infarction manyfold\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\)\(^1\) (Figure 3). Thus a hypertensive, diabetic, cigarette-smoker with hyperlipidaemia may be regarded as virtually certain of dying prematurely. It is interesting that in Japan, where the average cholesterol for middle-aged men is around 4 mmol/l, coronary disease is uncommon even in smokers, and patients with diabetes and hypertension.\(^7\) The treatment of glycaemia or high blood pressure appears to have little impact on the increased incidence of ischaemic heart disease in diabetes and hypertension. This emphasizes the importance of considering cholesterol-lowering drug therapy in patients with these conditions, whose cholesterol persists above 6.5 mmol/l despite dietary treatment.\(^4\)\(^4\)\(^0\) Furthermore there can no longer be any justification for initiating treatment of diabetes or hypertension without also establishing whether hyperlipidaemia is present.

It is obvious that smokers should be advised to stop. However, even in those who do stop successfully, their past smoking history many continue to increase the likelihood of ischaemic heart disease for several years and may thus be another factor favouring drug therapy, if hypercholesterolaemia remains after dietary treatment.

(iv) Manifestations of arteriosclerosis

Patients with hyperlipidaemia, who have already developed clinical evidence of ischaemic heart disease or peripheral arterial disease, have declared themselves as being at particular risk from their hyperlipidaemia. Some authorities argue that treating their hyperlipidaemia at this stage is closing the stable door after its occupant has bolted, citing some depressing early trials of secondary prevention as...
justification for their opinion. This is, however, a view we are no longer able to share. Increasingly we are aware, as a result of coronary angiography, of patients who have sustained a myocardial infarction, but whose coronary disease has not spread throughout the coronary tree and who might yet benefit from treatment of their hyperlipidaemia. The outlook for patients following myocardial infarction has been transformed with the advent of cardioprotective drugs and of coronary artery bypass surgery. Except for the patient whose prognosis is poor because of heart failure, there seems little point in allowing their atheroma to proceed unchecked and we would be encouraged to introduce lipid-lowering drugs, where diet had been unsuccessful, in patients with hyperlipidaemia and manifestations of vascular disease. Recent evidence for this view comes from a successful secondary prevention study and from studies involving patients who had undergone coronary artery bypass surgery, in whom the rate of progress of atheroma in both the grafts and in the ungrafted coronary arteries was reduced by lipid-lowering therapy.

(v) Coronary artery bypass surgery

Several studies have indicated that the main factor determining the rate at which disease progresses in grafts and ungrafted coronary arteries following bypass surgery is hyperlipoproteinemia. For this reason we regard effective treatment of hyperlipidaemia, with drugs if necessary, as an essential part of post-operative management. Furthermore there can be little justification for making age any bar to such treatment or there would have been no point in attempting to preserve the patient by the operation. A placebo-controlled trial of two year's lipid-lowering treatment compared to placebo following coronary artery bypass surgery showed significantly less disease progression in the drug treated group assessed by coronary angiography. The initial average cholesterol level was 6.3 mmol/l and the level on treatment 4.7 mmol/l. This study questions whether in selected groups of patients, such as those who have undergone coronary artery bypass surgery, the threshold for drug treatment of hypercholesterolaemia should be less than 6.5 mmol/l. It is essential to remember, when assessing the presence of hypercholesterolaemia in patients following coronary artery bypass surgery, that the immediate effect of surgery, as is the case for myocardial infarction or acute coronary insufficiency, is to lower the cholesterol concentration. The serum cholesterol level should not be determined until at least 6 weeks have elapsed, if levels within the normal range are to be considered valid.

(vi) Hypercholesterolaemia associated with hypertriglyceridaemia and/or low HDL

The likelihood of a patient with hypercholesterolaemia developing ischaemic heart disease is increased if there is coexistent hypertriglyceridaemia (triglyceride > 2 mmol/l). Generally a decreased level of HDL cholesterol (<0.9 mmol/l) is also present when this occurs. There is no need for the clinician to be unduly concerned about the debate as to whether the increased risk results from the raised triglyceride or the low HDL: the essential point is that either is a marker of increased risk and there is thus a stronger indication for drug therapy when diet proves inadequate. Fibrate drugs which, in addition to decreasing cholesterol, lower triglycerides and raise HDL cholesterol, are the best first line therapy. Bile acid sequestrants may be added later to correct any remaining hypercholesterolaemia. If they are used alone, they tend to exacerbate the hypertriglyceridaemia and may not raise HDL.

Very occasionally patients are found whose total serum cholesterol is raised above 6.5 mmol/l due to high levels of HDL cholesterol. Under these circumstances drug treatment is inappropriate. The LDL cholesterol in such patients may be calculated from the following formula: LDL cholesterol = total cholesterol – (triglyceride / 2.2) + HDL cholesterol. Only patients with LDL cholesterol levels exceeding 4.5 mmol/l should be considered for further treatment. The formula is unsuitable for the calculation of LDL cholesterol when the serum triglyceride concentration exceeds 4.5 mmol/l, but it is exceedingly unlikely that under those circumstances HDL would be increased; rather it would be expected to be low.

(vii) Younger age

When hypercholesterolaemia is discovered before the 4th or 5th decade it is a better determinant of risk than when detected in later life. Thus, for example, a 35 year old man whose cholesterol is 8.00 mmol/l will have about five times more likelihood of having a heart attack in the next 16 years than a man of the same age whose cholesterol is 5 mmol/l. On the other hand, at the age of 60 the risk of the man with the higher cholesterol level would be only about 1.5 times that of the other man. It is important to interpret this information correctly. One reason for the change in relative risk is that the population of hypercholesterolaemic older men is much larger than that of young men. It has been swelled by men who have become hypercholesterolaemic with advancing age, whose risk is lower than those who have been hypercholesterolaemic since a young age. Therefore, although the clinician must look more critically at the
decision to introduce lipid-lowering medication in older age groups, it must certainly not be dismissed, particularly when the history suggests that hypercholesterolaemia may have been present for a long while or there is an adverse family or personal history of vascular disease or other cardiovascular risk factors are present. Age is a major indicator of increased cardiovascular risk which is, of course, in itself immutable. However, to a large extent its influence may result from exposure to the cumulative action of other risk factors. It seems reasonable therefore to suppose that the earlier measures aimed at coronary prevention are adopted the greater will be the benefit, but that advice about diet and smoking, and in selected groups, the detection and treatment of hypertension and hyperlipidaemia may still have beneficial results, even in later life. In women similar considerations apply. There is often a fairly abrupt rise in cholesterol following the menopause. Although in general this is not associated with any abrupt change in their coronary rates, certain hyperlipidaemias requiring effective therapy, such as type III hyperlipoproteinaemia, are not, however, usually clinically evident until the menopause.

(viii) Male sex

It has to be considered, in assessing the probable benefits of lipid-lowering therapy, that the likelihood of premature ischaemic heart disease in men is five to ten times that in women. In women with diabetes, however, this does not apply and their susceptibility approaches that of diabetic men. Women with FH or type III hyperlipoproteinaemia, although having a better prognosis than men with these conditions, are at greatly increased risk and lipid-lowering drug therapy should not be withheld on grounds of gender. The same also applies to many women with multiple risk factors. Furthermore in the authors’ experience, a family history of premature ischaemic heart disease in a mother or sister is a particularly adverse factor both for men and women.

Monitoring of response to drug and dietary treatment

It is never justifiable to commence lipid-lowering medication on the basis of a single lipid determination. Dietary treatment should be the initial approach to cholesterol reduction and generally a minimum of two or three lipid measurements will be required over at least 3 or 4 months before the decision about lipid-lowering medication should be taken. In patients, whose hyperlipidaemia is associated with obesity, longer may be required for satisfactory weight reduction and an adequate decrease in the cholesterol to have occurred. When medication is initiated the lipid levels should be monitored regularly until a satisfactory response is achieved. The effectiveness of fibrates can be assessed after one month. The dose of a bile acid sequestrant should be gradually built up starting with one sachet well soaked in fruit juice before breakfast, increasing to two sachets before breakfast after two weeks. After a further two weeks, lipid levels can be measured and, if necessary additional sachets added before dinner and occasionally lunch, at monthly intervals according to the cholesterol response. If nicotinic acid is used a similar gradual build up to an effective dose is required. Probucol takes at least 3 months to become fully effective.

When a satisfactory response has been achieved the lipid levels should be checked every six months or annually. It is usually important to continue to check the lipids every year or so even in patients, who continue with diet alone and occasionally to revise the decision to withhold lipid-lowering medication. As far as possible, the treatment of patients with hyperlipidaemia should be undertaken by the general practitioner with the hospital-based lipid clinic providing treatment and further investigation of the more complicated or high risk disorders and providing a second opinion about whether to introduce lipid-lowering medication or to continue with diet alone in some borderline cases.

Conclusions

Lipid-lowering medication may be considered in patients whose serum cholesterol exceeds 6.5 mmol/l despite diet (occasionally at lower levels in patients who have undergone coronary artery surgery). As precise a diagnosis as possible should be made before making the decision. All patients should have fasting serum cholesterol, triglyceride and HDL cholesterol measured. Urinalysis, serum creatinine (or urea), liver enzymes, blood glucose and sometimes serum thyroxine and occasionally immunoglobulins are required to detect secondary causes of hyperlipidaemia. Patients considered to have familial hypercholesterolaemia or type III hyperlipoproteinaemia, whose cholesterol level is persistently elevated, should generally receive lipid-lowering drugs. The decision to prescribe these agents in other patients is based on an assessment of their individual risk. This takes into account factors such as family history of premature arteriosclerosis, personal history of arteriosclerosis, coronary artery surgery, diabetes mellitus, smoking habit and hypertension. Associated hypertriglyceridaemia or low serum HDL cholesterol levels are also important considerations and so is the height of the cholesterol level. Treatment is more strongly indicated in younger age groups and in men.
Bile acid sequestrating agents should be the drug of first choice when marked hypercholesterolaemia is present except in patients with associated hypertriglyceridaemia when fibrate drugs should be the first line therapy. Fibrates may also be useful for less marked hypercholesterolaemia, particularly if there is coexistent hypertriglyceridaemia, and as an adjunct to bile acid sequestrant therapy. Fibrates are also the usual first line therapy for diabetic hyperlipidaemia, unless significant nephropathy is present. Nicotinic acid and its derivatives and probucol are occasionally helpful. The advent of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor drugs is expected to provide a further group of agents, which will effectively lower cholesterol and are also proving to have a moderate triglyceride-lowering action.

There is no mystery about why the incidence of vascular disease, like that of bronchial cancer and of venereal disease, continues to rise for many decades after pathogenesis is established. Human beings, including physicians and informed laymen, are eager for excuses not to face annoying facts . . . .54 Let us now grasp the nettle.
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