DIDMOAD syndrome with megacystis and megaureter
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Summary: A case of DIDMOAD syndrome (diabetes insipidus, diabetes mellitus, optic atrophy and nerve deafness) is described. There was unusually severe urinary tract dilatation which led to an ileal conduit diversion. Immunohistological study of the bladder wall and ureter revealed a marked diminution in nerve fibres, which may have been primary or secondary to the muscle hypertrophy. The possible pathogenesis of the urinary tract dilatation is discussed in relation to this finding.

Introduction

The DIDMOAD syndrome is a rare familial disease characterized by diabetes insipidus, diabetes mellitus, optic atrophy and nerve deafness. The association of diabetes mellitus and optic atrophy was first described as a familial event by Wolfram (1938) and the syndrome has been reviewed by Rose et al. (1966), Page et al. (1976) and Cremers et al. (1977). It is thought to be due to a single recessive gene although the pathogenesis is unknown. A common component of the syndrome is urinary tract dilatation which varies from mild hydrenephrosis to megacystis and megadid (Raiti et al., 1963; Brezt et al., 1970; Moore, 1971; Marquardt & Loriaux, 1974; Page et al., 1976; Aragona et al., 1983). The most frequently preferred explanation for the urinary tract dilatation is that high urine flow due to diabetes insipidus causes passive dilatation. However, we report a case of DIDMOAD syndrome with megacystis and megaureter in which immunohistological studies of the bladder wall and ureter demonstrated a marked diminution in nerve fibres. This might be of pathogenic significance.

Case report

The patient developed insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus at 8 years of age. There was no history of this condition in his immediate family which included three male siblings. At age 12 years he complained of blurred vision and was found to have impaired visual acuity (6/12 both eyes, uncorrectable) and bilateral optic atrophy. There was no evidence of diabetic retinopathy. He remained relatively well in his teens with normal schooling and growth, apart from hypoglycaemic attacks. He first presented to the Urological Department at 23 years of age. His main complaint was lack of urinary control which had occurred regularly each night during sleep for the previous 9 months, and which also occurred if he dozed during the day. He was also aware of extreme thirst and had observed that he was producing a large amount of urine daily, in spite of the fact that his diabetes mellitus was under satisfactory control. He was apparently experiencing normal bladder sensation and said that he was achieving normal erections although ejaculation was infrequent. Physical examination revealed that his bladder was distended beyond the umbilicus. Apart from optic atrophy (visual acuity 6/24 on the right and 6/36 on the left with glasses) there was no other detectable abnormality of the central nervous system. There was no evidence of peripheral neuropathy or of orthostatic hypotension.

Initial urological investigations included an intravenous urogram (Figure 1). This showed gross upper tract dilatation in association with the large bladder. At that time his blood urea was 7.2 mmol/litre and serum creatinine 130 μmol/litre. His urine was sterile and remained so throughout subsequent investigations and treatment. No reflux was demonstrated on a micturating cystogram. A ureterogram showed normal anatomical features of the posterior urethra (Figure 2). A cystometrogram performed without a preliminary drainage of the bladder showed a rapid rise in pressure leading to detrusor contractions associated with an apparently normal desire to void.
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Flow rates were normal. During a period of water deprivation he produced approximately 300 ml urine per hour with a concentration between 176 and 193 mosmol/kg. In this period his serum concentration rose from 289 to 306 mosmol/kg. In this period his serum concentration rose from 289 to 306 mosmol/kg. After an intramuscular injection of 2 μg of desmopressin his urine flow rate fell to 220 ml/hour and the maximum concentration achieved was 280 mosmol/kg. These results were consistent with nephrogenic diabetes insipidus, although there was a possible slight response to vasopressin and a concomitant neurogenic diabetes insipidus could not be excluded. There was no subjective hearing deficit but audiometry revealed high tone nerve deafness.

Treatment with desmopressin and chlorpropamide jointly and separately were tried but there was no evidence of any diminished urinary output and in spite of regular bladder emptying his main problem of urinary incontinence persisted. A bladder neck incision was performed followed by a period of catheter drainage. His urine output continued at a high level but his blood urea and creatinine fell from the immediate pre-operative level of 10.6 mmol/litre and 153 μmol/litre, respectively, to 7.1 mmol/litre and 121 μmol/litre post-operatively whilst on catheter drainage. Isotope renography performed post-operatively, whilst still on catheter drainage, showed a significant improvement from the pre-operative state. However, after removal of his catheter his blood urea and creatinine rose to 8.9 mmol/litre and 158 μmol/litre respectively and his condition returned to its former state.

A urinary diversion was considered. A major obstacle to this was the vast amount of urine being produced which might swamp the appliance. Although whilst on catheter drainage the patient’s general condition and renal function as judged by blood urea, creatinine and renography had improved there had been little fall in urine output. However it was thought that improved drainage might improve the nephrogenic component of his diabetes insipidus. Thus, 10 months after presentation the patient was submitted to ileal conduit diversion. Permission for a full thickness biopsy of the
bladder was obtained and this together with specimens of both ureters, appendix and off-cuts of ileum were sent for histological examination.

The patient made an uneventful recovery. At the time of discharge his urine output had fallen to between 3700 and 4500 ml/day, and his blood urea to 5.1 mmol/litre and creatinine to 122 μmol/litre. A post-operative intravenous urogram showed satisfactory resolution of the upper tract distension (Figure 3). A subsequent water deprivation test revealed a continuing inability to concentrate the urine beyond 200 mosmol/kg, but following intramuscular vasopressin the urine reached a maximum concentration of 320 mosmol/kg. These results are difficult to interpret but the simplest explanation is that there was both nephrogenic and neurogenic diabetes insipidus and that the former component had improved following the drainage procedure.

Three years post-operatively he was working full time. He had normal renal function and his daily urine output averaged 5900 ml. He had decided to take no medical treatment other than that for his diabetes mellitus as he felt it unnecessary.

**Figure 3** Intravenous urogram following ileal conduit diversion. Upper tract dilatation persists but is considerably improved compared with the pre-operative state.

**Histopathology**

Sections of the bladder and ureters showed marked thickening of the wall due to muscular hypertrophy and fibrosis. There was submucosal oedema with mild inflammation and moderate hyperplasia of the transitional epithelial lining. The appendix and small intestine were morphologically normal.

Immunohistological staining was performed on cryostat sections of snap-frozen formalin-fixed material. A conventional immunoperoxidase bridge technique (Sternberger, 1979) was used with optimal dilutions of primary antisera and a full range of positive, negative and blocking controls as detailed elsewhere (Gu et al., 1985). Primary antisera reactive against the following were used; dopamine-β-hydroxylase (DBH, a marker for catecholaminergic nerves), neuron-specific enolase (NSE, a general neural marker), S-100 (a protein present in glial cells), the amine serotonin (5-HT) and the peptides somatostatin, neuropeptide Y (NPY), vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) and peptide histidine isoleucine (PHI). There was gross depletion of nerves in bladder and ureters with immunostaining varying from nil to minimal. By contrast, in the appendix and small intestine, apart from a reduction in VIP, the staining appeared normal.

**Discussion**

This patient had diabetes mellitus, diabetes insipidus, optic atrophy and high tone nerve deafness and thus fulfills the criteria for the DIDMOAD syndrome. However, there was no family history. The precise cause of diabetes insipidus in this condition is somewhat uncertain although it is thought to arise because of impaired antidiuretic hormone secretion aggravated by a nephrogenic component which is secondary to urinary tract dilatation (Cremers et al., 1977). The results in this case fit with this explanation, although whilst the nephrogenic component of the diabetes insipidus was proven, the neurogenic component was not.

There is no evidence that the urinary tract dilatation in the DIDMOAD syndrome is due to organic obstruction (Page et al., 1976). However there is evidence of functional obstruction as intervention to improve drainage by self catheterization (Aragona et al., 1983), bladder neck resection (Cremers et al., 1977) or formation of an ideal conduit (Moore, 1971) leads to an improvement in hydronephrosis. In this case improved drainage by bladder neck resection and then by an ileal conduit led to a fall in blood urea and creatinine and a reduction in urine volume consistent with reduced outflow pressure and improved renal tubular function. Page et al. (1976) have suggested that
the high urine flow rate caused by diabetes insipidus leads to progressive passive dilatation of the urinary tract and that this together with inattention to periodic bladder emptying impairs detrusor action producing a functional obstruction. This explanation is consistent with the observation that megaureter and megacystis do occur in both hereditary nephrogenic diabetes insipidus (Carter & Goodman, 1963; ten Bensel & Peters, 1970) and hereditary anti-diuretic hormone sensitive diabetes insipidus (Pender & Fraser, 1953; Wheeler & Adelson, 1964; Boyd et al., 1980). Urinary tract dilatation does not develop in adult onset diabetes insipidus (Fink, 1928; Page et al., 1976) but this might be because the high flow rate operates over a shorter period or because the childhood tract is more susceptible to dilatation.

An alternative explanation for urinary tract dilatation is that there is a selective neuropathy affecting the bladder and ureters. This could be either a primary degenerative condition or secondary to diabetes mellitus. The latter is somewhat unlikely as in this case and in many (Page et al., 1976) but not all (Cremers et al., 1977; Verri et al., 1982) previous cases there was no evidence of diabetic autonomic neuropathy or peripheral neuropathy. Immunohistological studies of neuropathic bladders (Gu et al., 1983, 1984) have revealed a characteristic picture of proliferating S-100 staining Schwann cells which was not seen in this case. The Schwann cell proliferation is thought to occur when nerve fibre degeneration and regeneration occur concomitantly. However, a purely degenerative neuropathy could, in theory, lead to a loss of nerve fibres without Schwann cell hyperplasia. In fact focal progressive neuropathy is in many ways the hallmark of the DIDMOAD syndrome leading to progressive optic atrophy, progressive high tone deafness and impaired anti-diuretic hormone secretion from the hypothalamus. The marked diminution in nerve fibre staining observed in the bladder and ureter in this case supports this concept although the possibility that the decreased density of nerve fibres is secondary to muscular hypertrophy cannot be excluded with confidence. If there is a primary degenerative neuropathy it is clear that it is focal as immunohistological staining revealed a normal density of nerve fibres in the appendix and small intestine.

Further immunohistological studies of the urinary tract in the DIDMOAD syndrome, using a wide range of antisera against neurone-specific antigens are required to see if the changes that we have noted are a constant finding and to determine whether they are the cause of the urinary tract dilatation or a consequence of it.
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