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The earliest reference to a disease now known to be of chlamydial aetiology is to be found in the EBERS papyrus (1500 B.C.). A cicatrizing eye disease, and its treatment with copper salts, is described. This disease was first called 'trachoma' (rough eye) in A.D. 60 by the Sicilian physician Pedonius Diascarides. Moses' warning concerning the 'running issue of his flesh' (Leviticus 15 vv. 1–2) may be a description of urethritis; of which Chlamydia trachomatis is an important cause. The first recognition of the atypical pneumonia subsequently termed psittacosis is generally credited to Ritter (1880), who also noted a possible association with exotic birds. At the turn of the century, Halberstaedter & von Prowazek (1907) described the intraepithelial inclusions characteristic of the organism causing trachoma. Not long after, similar inclusions were found in non-gonococcal ophthalmia neonatorum (inclusion blenorhoea), urethritis and cervical infection (Fritsch et al., 1910). Whilst the psittacosis agent, and the agent of lymphogranuloma venereum (LGV) (possibly described first by Hunter in his 1786 Treatise on Venereal Disease), had been cultured in the yolk sac of the embryonated hen's egg since the 1930s, culture of the trachoma agent eluded efforts until the report by T'ang et al. in 1957. From that point in time, progress was rapid. Gordon et al. (1969) described improved cell culture techniques on which modern methods are based. The controversy over whether Chlamydia was a virus or bacterium was resolved by Moulder (1966). The organism is incontrovertibly a bacterium, with affinities to the Gram negative cocci.

The 1960s and 1970s produced an explosion of epidemiological publications, mainly concerned with Chlamydia trachomatis infection. Chlamydia psittaci, on the other hand, is primarily a pathogen of other animals, including birds, and it attracted comparatively little interest in the medical press, until recently. Chlamydial diseases in man are common, and assume many forms, but they are certainly underdiagnosed.

Chlamydia psittaci

Chlamydia psittaci is classically associated with contact with psittacine birds, yet the organism is widely distributed in nature. Other birds such as ducks and pigeons harbour the organism. Sheep, goats and cattle suffer abortions and arthritis due to the organism, and the domestic cat is liable to feline keratoconjunctivitis and pneumonia. The infection is sexually transmitted, in many instances. The association of infections of animals other than the parrot family with man is unclear, but they are believed to be generally of low communicability. However, Beer et al. (1982) and Johnson et al. (1985) reported cases of chlamydial infection in pregnancy, all following contact with infected sheep. Johnson (1983) noted reports of human infections associated with bovine chlamydial pneumonia, and bovine encephalomyelitis, and Stepanek et al. (1983) described serological changes and urogenital symptoms in men and women in contact with bovine chlamydiosis. Endocarditis is well known to be a complication of 'atypical' pneumonia caused by C. psittaci, but Regan et al. (1979) described a case with both endocarditis and glomerulonephritis probably caused by the feline conjunctivitis agent. Cases of conjunctivitis in cat owners are well documented (Johnson, 1983).

The true incidence of psittacosis in England is not known. Nagington (1984) reported that in Cambridge between 1975–1983, among a population of around 300,000, there were 150 illnesses with serological evidence of chlamydial infection. Respiratory illness was evident in 110 patients, although in only 17% could contact with birds be implicated in the aetiology. More detailed examination of the results from one general practice in the survey showed an incidence of 1 in 200 (compared to 1 in 2,000 in the total study group). This may reflect a more determined use of diagnostic facilities by this practice, but more importantly suggests that the overall figures are an underestimate. In the general practice group, there was an association between serological evidence of C. psittaci and attendance at school. Pether et al. (1984) reported
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an outbreak of a mild coryza-like illness amongst 20 pupils and 4 adults in a boys' boarding school. Diagnosis was again based on serological criteria, using a complement fixation test (CFT), and immunofluorescence test, both detecting chlamydial group antibody. A source for the epidemic was not found. Although a non-avian source could explain the occurrence of respiratory symptoms milder than in classical psittacosis, human to human transmission of the infection is a distinct possibility. Schofield & Keal (1986) report a case of sub-acute thyroiditis possibly caused by *C. psittaci* infection, basing the diagnosis on a greater than four-fold fall in complement fixing antibody. The patient gave no history of contact with birds, and suffered no genito-urinary symptoms.

The detection of circulating anti-chlamydial antibodies for clinical diagnosis or epidemiological studies presents difficulties. The complement fixation test (CFT) will not differentiate between infection by *C. psittaci* or *C. trachomatis*, because complement fixing antibody is directed against a genus-specific lipopolysaccharide. Many outbreaks ascribed to *C. psittaci* are based on serological results to group-reactive tests, and may therefore reflect antibody to *C. psittaci*, *C. trachomatis* or be of anamnestic origin. Chlamydial antibody levels in the general population are high, and vary between geographical areas. The source of this antibody is largely unknown. Recent studies suggest that an atypical chlamydial agent (designated IOL-207, or TW-183), with characteristics of both *C. psittaci* and *C. trachomatis*, may be involved. Burney *et al.* (1984) reported an increasing incidence of antibody to the agent IOL-207 in children over 5 years of age, compared to a low incidence of *C. trachomatis* antibody in children under 7 years, and suggest that the IOL-207 antibody is acquired through contact at school. Saikku *et al.* (1985) related an epidemic of mild pneumonia among school children in Finland to infection by TW-183, an atypical agent possibly identical to IOL-207. These two papers add weight to the concept of human to human transmission of chlamydial pneumonia.

**Chlamydia trachomatis**

*C. trachomatis* is almost exclusively a human parasite. Indeed, research into infection caused by this organism has been hampered by the lack of a suitable non-human animal host. During the past 25 years the broad spectrum of diseases associated with *C. trachomatis* has become apparent. The classical studies of Halberstaedter & von Prowazek (1907) on trachoma were soon confirmed and extended. Studies on the role of *C. trachomatis* have shown that it causes genital infections in 35–60% of cases of non-gonococcal urethritis (Oriel & Ridgway, 1982a). About 25% of men with gonococcal urethritis have concurrent infection with *C. trachomatis*. The treatment of gonococcal urethritis with beta-lactam antibiotics, or spectinomycin has no effect on the chlamydial infection, and these men will return with persistent post-gonococcal urethritis (PGU). Epididymitis in young adult males frequently has a chlamydial aetiology. Five of six patients under the age of 35 years investigated by Berger *et al.* (1978) were found to have *C. trachomatis* in epididymal aspirates; none yielded *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. The role of *C. trachomatis* in prostatitis is more controversial, current evidence does not suggest that it is a major cause of either the acute or chronic form. Non-specific proctitis is an ill-defined condition of homosexual males practising ano-rectal intercourse. *C. trachomatis* does not appear to be a major cause although it has been recovered in rectal specimens from both asymptomatic and symptomatic patients (Munday & Taylor-Robinson, 1983).

Chlamydial infection in women is less well appreciated, owing to a lack of specific symptoms. As with gonococcal infection, the patient may be asymptomatic, or complain of a vaginal discharge. Examination of the cervix yields few clinical clues, although Brunham *et al.* (1984) recently demonstrated the relationship of muco-purulent cervical discharge and absence of *N. gonorrhoeae* with *C. trachomatis* infection. *C. trachomatis* is recovered from the cervix in 45–68% of contacts of men with *Chlamydia* positive non-gonococcal urethritis (NGU) but from only 4–18% of contacts of men with *Chlamydia*-negative NGU. Over 80% of female source contacts of men with chlamydial urethral infection yield isolates. More disturbing, is the appreciation that some 12–31% of all women attending a sexually transmitted diseases (STD) clinic will yield *C. trachomatis* from cervical specimens (Oriel & Ridgway, 1982a). Elsewhere isolation rates vary between 3% in family planning clinics, 5–9% in gynaecological clinics, to 16% in patients presenting for termination of pregnancy. Isolation rates of between 4% and 21% have been reported from the cervices of pregnant women (Hare & Thin, 1983). Concurrent infection of the cervix and urethra is not uncommon. Approximately 50% of women with cervical gonorrhoea also have chlamydial infection. This is double the incidence in men with gonorrhoea, and may be evidence for persistent latent chlamydial infection (Oriel & Ridgway, 1982b), a phenomenon well established in animals with *C. psittaci* infection. As is the case with gonococcal infection in men, beta-lactam antibiotics will be ineffective against the chlamydial infection. Since there is no female counterpart of post-gonococcal urethritis (PGU), the infection may go unnoticed. Little is known of the long term effect of cervical chlamydial infection, and an association with cervical dysplasia is a possibility (Hare & Thin, 1983).
The most important sequel to cervical infection in women is pelvic inflammatory disease (PID). The organism has been isolated from inflamed fallopian tubes by a number of workers (Weström & Mårdh, 1982). There is controversy as to the incidence of chlamydial PID, but it is clear that the organism is an important cause, and in many countries a more frequent cause, than the gonococcus. In addition, the clinical course of gonococcal PID is generally more acute than chlamydial PID, so that women with the former are more likely to present in hospital than with the latter. Infection of the fallopian tubes probably ascends from the cervix, a concept supported by increasing evidence of endometritis associated with C. trachomatis (Paavonen et al., 1985). The consequences of salpingitis undiagnosed and untreated with specific chemotherapy include infertility and an increased incidence of ectopic pregnancy. Weström & Mårdh (1982) estimate that 17% of women are infertile after one attack of salpingitis, owing to post-infection tubal damage. The risk of ectopic pregnancy is increased up to 10-fold. Kane et al. (1984) noted a significantly higher incidence of chlamydial antibody in infertile women (22%) compared to a control group (11.5%). Further, they noted that infertile women with tubal obstruction had a greater prevalence of anti-chlamydial antibody (35.7%) than infertile women with normal fallopian tubes (11%). The relationship of chlamydial cervical infection to fetal death requires further study. Martin et al. (1982) reported fetal death in 30% of infected mothers, compared to 11% of the uninfected control group.

Chlamydial conjunctivitis

Chlamydial conjunctivitis occurs in both adults and neonates. In the Third World, trachoma remains an important cause of preventable blindness. Specific serotypes of C. trachomatis are responsible, along with poor hygiene conditions. In areas where the disease is hyperendemic, up to 95% of the population may show evidence of active or inactive trachoma (Darougar & Jones, 1983). In developed countries, a milder form of conjunctivitis (paratrachoma) is found, caused by the genital serotypes of C. trachomatis. The disease is usually associated with sexually transmitted infections. Progression of the disease to pannus and scarring is unusual, but well documented. Chlamydial punctate keratoconjunctivitis is a complication occasionally seen, and may lead to persistent clouding of the cornea.

Chlamydiae ophthalmia neonatorum is estimated to be some 5 times as common as gonococcal ophthalmia (Dunlop, 1975). The incubation period (3rd – 14th day of life) is longer than for gonococcal infection and clinical differentiation from gonococcal ophthalmia is not possible. Dual infections are not uncommon. Silver nitrate prophylaxis is not effective, and the use of chloramphenicol eye drops is not only ineffective, but may delay diagnosis. The naso-pharynx may be colonized, leading to rhinitis and secretory otitis media. A distinctive pneumonia appearing between the 4th and 12th weeks of life has been identified (Beem & Saxon, 1977). Chest radiography shows hyperexpansion, with symmetrical diffuse interstitial and patchy alveolar infiltrates. High specific IgG and IgM titres are found, and this is one situation where serological investigation may assist diagnosis. The condition is almost certainly underdiagnosed in the UK. C. trachomatis has been isolated from the vagina and rectum of neonates, although a relationship to disease in these sites is unproven. Rees et al. (1977) noted that the gestation period was under 37 weeks in 41% of a group of babies with chlamydial ophthalmia, and that 2 of these babies had ventricular septal defects. Further studies on this aspect of chlamydial infection are required.

Lymphogranuloma venereum

Lymphogranuloma venereum (LGV) is a sexually transmitted disease caused by C. trachomatis serotypes L1, L2 and L3. Unlike most other forms of chlamydial infection the main pathology is due to involvement of lymphoid tissue rather than epithelial surfaces. The disease is common in tropical and sub-tropical countries. The primary genital lesion is transitory, and particularly in women may go unnoticed. Regional lymphadenopathy appears from 2 to 6 weeks after the primary lesion, involving the inguinal nodes if the lesion was vulval or penile, or the iliac nodes if the lesion was intra-vaginal. Suppuration of the nodes occurs, and the inguinal nodes break down to form multiple discharging sinuses. Tertiary manifestations include genital elephantiasis, rectal stricture, fistulae and carcinomatous change in the rectum. Secondary necrotic lesions lead to tissue loss, termed esthiomene. The infection may be refractory to specific chemotherapy.

Reiter’s syndrome and miscellaneous associations

C. trachomatis has been implicated in a number of miscellaneous conditions. The most important and best researched of these, is sexually acquired reactive arthritis, including Reiter’s syndrome. The subject has been recently reviewed by Keat (1983). Approximately 1% of men with NGU will develop arthritis, involving knees, ankles, feet or wrists. The classic triad of Reiter’s syndrome (arthritis, non-gonococcal uveitis and ocular inflammation) develops in about one
third of these patients. Sexually acquired reactive arthritis is considerably less common in women. The involvement of *C. trachomatis* in Reiter's syndrome is well summarized in a recent leading article in the *Lancet* (1985). Epidemiological, microbiological and immunological evidence all indicate a causal role for the organism, although its specific association with HLA-B27 antigens in this condition is unclear. Reiter's syndrome may also result from gastro-intestinal infection, when *C. trachomatis* is not the cause.

Reports of other clinical syndromes associated with *C. trachomatis* are sporadic. Tack *et al.* (1980) recovered *C. trachomatis* from the lower respiratory tract of 6 adults with pneumonia. Four of these patients were immunosuppressed, and also yielded cytomegalovirus. Antibody to *C. trachomatis* was not detected in any patient. Komaroff *et al.* (1981) found serological evidence of chlamydial infection in 4 of 19 patients with community acquired pneumonia. Cunningham *et al.* (1986) describe a patient with T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma who had serological evidence of *C. trachomatis* pneumonia. Culture was negative, and unfortunately they were only able to estimate antibody on a single occasion. Results were suggestive of infection with *C. trachomatis* serotypes D-K (i.e. genito-urinary origin). A single diagnostic titre for chlamydial infection is difficult to define, and serology should be used as an adjunct to chlamydial isolation, particularly when novel clinical manifestations are being described. Other reported associations with *C. trachomatis* include endocarditis (van der Bel-Kahn *et al.*, 1978), myocarditis (Ringel *et al.*, 1982) and meningo-encephalitis (Myhre & Márth, 1981). All were based on micro-immunofluorescent antibody testing.

**Conclusions**

Diagnostic facilities for chlamydial infection are now widely available. In particular, antigen detection systems based on immunofluorescence or enzyme-linked immunoassay are now highly sensitive and specific, allowing many laboratories without cell culture facilities to make a positive diagnosis. However, a note of caution is necessary. Jones & Taylor-Robinson (1983) suspect that false-positive chlamydial isolations are to be found in the literature. They add that the replacement of cell-culture by immunofluorescent monoclonal antibody detection systems for direct detection of elementary bodies may lead to a number of cases of disease being falsely attributed to chlamydial infection, owing to the detection of fluorescing particles that are not chlamydial. This is particularly important where such tests are used in circumstances for which they were not designed, for example in apparent extra-genital manifestations of chlamydial infection. This problem is less likely to occur with enzyme immunoassay, but the sensitivity and specificity of these methods require confirmation. The interpretation of chlamydial antibody titres is difficult, even with the more specific micro-immuno-fluorescence test, and caution is again necessary in attributing a chlamydial aetiology to a clinical condition. Nevertheless, *C. psittaci* and *C. trachomatis* are important human pathogens. Whilst much remains to be learned of their epidemiology, and the pathogenesis of human infection, enough is known to justify diagnostic facilities on a wider scale than presently available. Such facilities are of limited value unless the clinician is aware of the possibility of chlamydial as aetiological agents in the disease presenting to them.
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