Granulomatous peritonitis caused by glove starch
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Summary
Corn starch particles are used as a surgical glove lubricant. At present there is no better alternative for this lubricant. Implantation of corn starch particles into the peritoneal cavity can induce foreign body reactions, starch peritonitis and starch granulomata, and may cause adhesions and intestinal obstruction. Starch peritonitis should be treated conservatively.
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Introduction
Halsted introduced rubber gloves to surgery. Water was a failure as a lubricant for donning gloves, while talcum powder used in dry sterile gloves cause severe foreign body reactions, granulomatous peritonitis and fistulae (Eiseman, Sielig and Womack, 1947). Other agents were tried experimentally as glove lubricants (Lee and Lehman, 1947; Ignatius and Hartmann, 1972; Aarons and Fitzgerald, 1974; Ellis, 1977; Sternlieb et al., 1977), with corn starch the most successful being non-irritant and absorbable. By 1950, surgical gloves were lubricated with corn starch to which 2% magnesium oxide was added to produce a fine powder.

This paper emphasises the danger of corn starch peritonitis, an avoidable iatrogenic disease, and indicates the correct treatment when it occurs.

Case report
A 52-year-old diabetic man was admitted because of severe claudication. Following investigation, transabdominal aorto-bicommon femoral artery bypass and left lumbar sympathectomy were performed. The postoperative course was uneventful and the patient was discharged 10 days after the operation.

The patient was readmitted 5 days later with a temperature of 38°C, vague abdominal pain and tenderness in the left lower quadrant. Abdominal X-ray showed moderately distended small and large intestine. Ultrasonography and computed tomographic scan suggested a left lower quadrant intra-abdominal abscess. The patient was treated conservatively by intravenous fluids, nasogastric tube and cephalothin. However, his condition deteriorated slowly and clinical and X-ray signs of dynamic intestinal obstruction appeared. At exploratory laparotomy, the small bowel was distended with gas and fluid and was found to be involved in a mass of adhesions to the omentum. The adhesions were divided and the omentum partly resected. The patient improved postoperatively and was discharged 20 days after the second operation. At a 6-month follow-up, he was well.

Histological examination of the specimen showed an omentum covered by fibrin deposits, which contained numerous starch granules. These granules on haematoxylin and eosin stained sections were amorphous and spherical. Under polarised light, they revealed a diagnostic birefringent Maltese cross configuration. Within areas of vascularized fibro-collagenous tissue, granulomatous inflammation was found, which included lymphocytes, plasma cells, neutrophils and aggregates of multinucleated giant cells of foreign body type. Some multinucleated cells contained within the cytoplasm typical corn starch, with Maltese cross pattern. Other birefringent crystals were considered to be starch which had been partially degraded by enzymatic action (Fig. 1).

Discussion
Glove manufacturers have as yet failed to formulate a practical method of glove production excluding starch powder (Nash, 1973). Introduction of starch into the peritoneal cavity via any route, directly at laparotomy or indirectly per vaginum-Fallopian tubes (Paine and Smith, 1957; Saxen, Kassinen and Saxen, 1963; Saxen and Saxen, 1965), may cause a
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foreign body reaction, and may act as a nidus for adhesion formation. This foreign body reaction may affect any operative site (Rock, 1967; Miller, 1968; Aarons and Fitzgerald, 1974). Clinical evidence for such events is available from laparotomies, post-mortem examinations and experiments (Hartmann and Ignatius, 1972; Cooke and Hamilton, 1977).

Foreign body reactions may be asymptomatic and diagnosed incidentally. Other post-abdominal surgery problems, including pain, tenderness and paralytic intestinal obstruction, are caused by this reaction (Ignatius and Hartmann, 1972). Sometimes symptoms appear 2–6 weeks after the first operation. These include abdominal pain, distension, ascites, fever, anorexia, nausea and vomiting. These symptoms are suggestive of intestinal obstruction or intra-abdominal abscesses and are the cause of an unnecessary laparotomy. Starch dissolves and disintegrates over an interval of 2 years, but adhesions persist and may cause late intestinal obstruction. The incidence of this condition is not clear (Cooke and Hamilton, 1977).

There are experiments and some evidence that starch peritonitis is caused by a delayed hypersensitivity reaction and not by a direct toxic effect of starch (Grant et al., 1976). The evidence includes an asymptomatic period of 2–6 weeks, eosinophilia, and amelioration or dramatic improvement of symptoms by steroids (Maggs and Reinus, 1959; Webb and Regan, 1962; Holmes and Eggleston, 1972; Sternlieb et al., 1977). Although systemic steroid therapy is advocated in the treatment of established starch peritonitis, no evidence that any significant effect on the course of granuloma or adhesion was found experimentally in the rat (Cade and Ellis, 1976). In some cases, there is a granulomatous sarcoid-like inflammation (Ignatius and Hartmann, 1972; Soderberg, Lou and Randall, 1973), and positive skin tests (Bates, 1965), but in fact the reaction is related to the amount of starch present (Coder and Olander, 1972; Holmes and Eggleston, 1972). In the present case there was an uneventful recovery from the first operation and a symptomless period of 2 weeks, until clinical symptoms appeared.

In the treatment of starch peritonitis it must be recalled that: (1) The condition is iatrogenic and possibly preventable. In spite of washing the gloves, it seems impossible to avoid some degree of peritoneal contamination (Jagelman and Ellis, 1973; Sternlieb et al., 1977). Fraser (1980) believes that cleansing the gloves with povidone-iodine (Betadine) and then washing the gloves under running sterile water, removes the starch substantially; (2) Some cases are apparently improved dramatically by steroids.

In the present case a pre-operative diagnosis of incomplete intestinal obstruction caused an unnecessary laparotomy.
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