Gastrointestinal haemorrhage from leiomyomata of the small bowel
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Summary
Three cases of haemorrhage from small bowel leiomyomata are reported. The features and management of this rare, but important cause of life-threatening gastrointestinal bleeding, are discussed.
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Introduction
Benign tumours are a rare cause of gastrointestinal haemorrhage, being responsible for less than 1% of cases (Grill and Pichlmaier, 1963). Despite improved diagnostic accuracy in patients presenting with haematemesis and/or melaena with the advent of upper gastrointestinal endoscopy (Dronfield et al., 1982), acute bleeding from a site distal to the duodenum remains a challenging problem. Three cases of bleeding leiomyomata of the small bowel are reported in order to highlight the features and modern management of this rare, but important, cause of massive gastrointestinal haemorrhage.

Case reports
Case 1
A 69-year-old spinster had one episode of rectal bleeding and lower abdominal pain in 1970. A barium enema revealed diverticular disease of the pelvic colon.

One year later, she was admitted with a 4-day history of melaena and vomiting, although the vomitus contained no blood. Bleeding continued despite conservative management which included transfusion of 11 units of blood over the next 4 days. At laparotomy, the bleeding source was found to be a tumour in the mid-small intestine, which was resected. It measured 3 cm in diameter and various pits were observed on the mucosal surface. Some of these communicated with the interior of the tumour, and blood oozed from one of them. The appearances were those of a leiomyoma, subsequently confirmed histologically. The postoperative course was uneventful, and the patient remained well at follow-up.

Case 2
A 60-year-old biophysicist was admitted in 1981 after passing two melaena stools. He had intermittent dyspepsia for the last 30 years, treated with antacids. Emergency gastroduodenoscopy showed two acute pre-pyloric erosions with no stigmata of recent haemorrhage. Conservative management with antacids, cimetidine and blood transfusion (total 12 units) was instituted, but he had 3 further melaena over the next 24 hr. A second upper gastrointestinal endoscopy failed to identify the bleeding source, and superior mesenteric angiography was performed. This showed a 'blush' in the jejunum consisting of prominent tumour vessels and pooled contrast (Fig. 1).

At laparotomy, a small tumour was found in the mid-jejunum, with blood in the lumen distal to the lesion. The tumour was resected, and histology showed this to be a submucous leiomyoma with focal thinning of the overlying mucosa, and an ulcerated area containing a medium sized artery with a recent clot. The patient recovered with no complication.

Case 3
A 39-year-old parts manager had one episode of rectal bleeding in 1980, attributed to haemorrhoids. He remained well until February 1982, when he passed a large melaena stool and was admitted in a shocked state. After initial resuscitation, upper gastrointestinal endoscopy was carried out. This revealed no blood in the stomach, but the examination was not entirely satisfactory because the pylorus could not be negotiated. He was managed conservatively, but passed 6 further melaena stools over the next 36 hr. A second endoscopy was performed: no abnormality was seen in the stomach or duodenum apart from a few small telangiectases of doubtful significance. A bleeding source distal to the duo-
denum was suspected, and selective visceral angiography was performed on the fourth day after admission. The superior mesenteric angiogram showed a well circumscribed vascular lesion in the jejunum (Fig. 2).

At laparotomy, a firm tumour, 90 cm from the duodenoejunal junction, was found to be responsible for the bleeding, and it was resected. Opening the specimen revealed a raised, globular tumour, 2 cm in diameter, with a small central mucosal ulcer. Histology showed this to be a leiomyoma with no malignant changes. The patient received a total of 13 units of blood, (12 preoperatively), and made a full recovery.

Discussion

Leiomyoma of the small bowel is a rare, benign tumour. It may be asymptomatic, being found far more frequently at autopsy than in surgical specimens (River, Silverstein and Tope, 1956). When symptomatic, the commonest presenting features are abdominal pain, (54%), haemorrhage (45%) and acute obstruction with and without intussusception. Much less common are weight loss and a palpable mass; perforation is extremely rare (Wilson et al., 1975). The peak age of occurrence is the fifth and sixth decades, and both sexes are fairly equally affected.

Haemorrhage is characteristically massive and
recurrent, with varying intervals between bleeds. In terms of blood loss, the 3 cases in this series are fairly typical, each requiring over 10 units of blood. Definitive diagnosis is often difficult and multiple hospital admissions are common. Undoubtedly, the distribution of small bowel leiomyoma is an important factor. It occurs mainly in the jejunum (44%) and ileum (37%) with the remainder (19%) in the duodenum (Wilson et al., 1975). It is noteworthy that one fifth of these tumours are in the duodenum despite its relatively short length, and might therefore be visible on gastroduodenoscopy. However, a bleeding leiomyoma is more likely to be beyond the range of upper gastrointestinal endoscopy. Nevertheless, an adequate negative examination is helpful, indicating a more distal source of haemorrhage. In cases 2 and 3, the decision to perform angiography was based on normal appearances found at endoscopy.

The length of the small bowel and rapid transit of contrast through the intestine tend to reduce the effectiveness of barium studies. A radiological diagnosis of small bowel tumours is made in only a third to a half of symptomatic cases (River et al., 1956; Darling and Welch, 1959; Middleton, 1967; Wilson et al., 1975). Repeated barium follow-through examinations may appear normal, and occasionally even laparotomy can be unrewarding (River et al., 1956). One patient reported by Menzies, Coutts and Edge (1980) had intermittent melaena for 27 years, during which he had numerous investigations, including a negative laparotomy, before the offending jejunal leiomyoma was finally identified at a second operation. In an even more extreme case, a patient had 20 episodes of melaena over 14 years. Several barium examinations and a laparotomy were negative. The patient subsequently suffered a fatal haemorrhage, and the lesion was found to be a leiomyoma in the jejunum at autopsy (Hanno and Mensch, 1944).

More recently, angiography has been advocated in demonstrating small bowel leiomyoma (Boijsen and
Characteristic angiographic appearances include well circumscribed margins, prominent feeding arteries and draining veins, irregular tumour vessels giving rise to a dense stain and small pools of contrast within the mass (Ramer, Mitty and Baron, 1971). Although all these features need not be present in each case, the vascular pattern is quite constant. Using this technique, leiomyomata have been demonstrated in bleeding patients even when barium studies are negative (Burrows, Dodds, and Thompson, 1977; Forbes et al., 1978). In acute gastrointestinal haemorrhage, angiography is more likely to succeed if there is active bleeding exceeding 0.5 ml/min., and if contrast is injected into the appropriate feeding vessel (Gordan, Herlinger and Baum, 1981). In this series, early selective visceral angiography led to an accurate pre-operative diagnosis in the 2 more recent cases. The radiological findings were fairly typical of small bowel leiomyomata, and this undoubtedly helped surgical planning and reduced the duration of the operation.

Histologically, leiomyomata are well circumscribed, intramural tumours composed of whorls of smooth muscle. As they grow, the bowel lumen is compressed and stretching of the mucosa takes place, even through the bulk of the tumour may be on the serosal side. When bleeding occurs, it is usually associated with mucosal ulceration or cavitation. One or the other of these features was observed in all 3 cases, a common finding shared by others (Forbes et al., 1978; Christou, Stein and Meakins, 1979).

Haemorrhage from small bowel leiomyomata is frequently massive, and life threatening. A definitive diagnosis is often difficult, and tumours may be missed even at laparotomy. However, prognosis is excellent if the bleeding source can be identified and resected. For these reasons, an accurate pre-operative diagnosis is highly desirable. Experience from the medical literature and our small series appears to show that selective visceral angiography is a useful technique in localizing such tumours. This procedure should be seriously considered in severe or recurrent gastrointestinal haemorrhage if upper gastrointestinal endoscopy is negative.

Acknowledgments

We thank the surgeons and physicians of the Whittington and University College Hospitals for their kind permission to report cases under their care. We are particularly grateful to Mr Paul Savage, Dr E. Beck, Dr R. Vicary, Professor J. Wylie and Mr M. R. Lock for their help and encouragement, and also to Mrs R. Moyler for preparing the manuscript.

References


(Accepted 18 June 1982)
Gastrointestinal haemorrhage from leiomyomata of the small bowel.

J. Pang and J. Jessop

Postgrad Med J 1983 59: 196-199
doi: 10.1136/pgmj.59.689.196