Acid–base changes associated with respiratory acclimatization to altitude

J. S. MILLEDGE  
M.B., Ch.B., M.D., F.R.C.P.

Clinical Research Centre, Northwick Park Hospital, Watford Road, Middlesex HA1 3UJ

Summary
The respiratory changes associated with chronic hypoxia are described. The possible biochemical mechanisms which are responsible for the changes in control of ventilation are discussed and relevant experimental evidence assessed.

Respiratory acclimatization is the increase in minute ventilation associated with acclimatization to chronic hypoxia. This is the increase over and above any hyperventilation produced in response to acute hypoxia. This effect is well shown when end tidal $P_{CO_2}$ and $P_{O_2}$ are displayed on an $O_2/CO_2$ diagram. In the classical paper by Rahn and Otis (1949), data on end-tidal gases are collected from the literature for residents at different altitudes and compared with results from subjects acutely exposed to hypoxia. In the latter case no hyperventilation is seen until an equivalent altitude of about 4000 m is reached, whereas in acclimatized subjects there is a linear reduction in $P_{CO_2}$ from sea level upwards so that at 4000 m there is a difference of 10–12 mmHg (1-3-1-6 kPa) of $P_{CO_2}$ indicating an increase in ventilation of over 50%.

The importance to the oxygen economy of the subject of this hyperventilation can be seen if we examine the $O_2$ transport system from air through the body into the tissues. At each step there is a loss of $P_{O_2}$. At sea level the loss from trancheal $P_{O_2}$ to alveolar is of the order of 50 mmHg (6-7 kPa). This can be reduced by hyperventilation. At 5800 m where the barometric pressure is half that at sea level, ventilation is approximately doubled and this gradient reduced to 25 mmHg (3-3 kPa).

This hyperventilation resulting in a reduction of resting $P_{CO_2}$ indicates that the setting for the $CO_2$ chemostat appears to be changed to a lower threshold and this can be documented by carrying out ventilation/CO$_2$ response tests at sea level and after acclimatization to altitude. If these $	ext{CO}_2$ response curves are carried out at a number of different oxygen levels, we get a family of response curves which appear to originate approximately at a single point on the $CO_2$ axis. The effect of acclimatization is to shift this whole family of curves to the left so that it originates at a lower $P_{CO_2}$. The slope of the $CO_2$ response at high oxygen is also increased but the effect of hypoxia on the $CO_2$ response does not appear to be significantly affected by acclimatization (Michel and Milledge, 1963).

What is the biochemical mechanism underlying this change in control? It is believed that the sensors for $P_{CO_2}$ which lie within 0.2 mm of the surface of the medulla actually sense hydrogen-ion concentration in their immediate environment, the brain extracellular fluid (ECF), this fluid having an $[H^+]$ somewhere between the capillary blood and CSF. From the Henderson–Hasselbach equation

$$[H^+] = K_{lOC} \frac{P_{CO_2}}{[HCO_3^-]}$$

we understand that the relationship of $[H^+]$ to $P_{CO_2}$ is dependent upon the prevailing $[HCO_3^-]$. Dissolved $CO_2$ passes freely across the blood–brain barrier and into the brain ECF whereas $[HCO_3^-]$ does not, so $P_{CO_2}$ rapidly equilibrates across the various compartments resulting in a change of $[H^+]$ with changing $P_{CO_2}$. If the $[HCO_3^-]$ is reduced, a lower $P_{CO_2}$ must be set to achieve the same $H^+$ at the medullary sensors. This is what appears to happen in respiratory acclimatization, the reduction in CSF $[HCO_3^-]$ resulting in a resetting at a lower level of the $CO_2$ chemostat. The increase in the slope of $CO_2$ response is probably due to the $[H^+]$ sensors responding to log changes in $[H^+]$, i.e. to pH rather than to linear changes in $[H^+]$ and a change of 1 mmHg (0.13 kPa) $P_{CO_2}$ from 20 to 21 mmHg has therefore twice the effect as from 40 to 41 mmHg (5.5 kPa).

What is the mechanism for the reduction in CSF $[HCO_3^-]$? The diagram shows on the left the classical pathway for reduction in CSF $[HCO_3^-]$. Hypoxia stimulates the peripheral chemoreceptors, principally the carotid body, which in turn stimulates the respiratory centre causing hyperventilation. Thus, $Pa_{CO_2}$ falls and plasma pH rises, the kidneys start excreting $[HCO_3^-]$ in the urine and plasma $[HCO_3^-]$ falls. A gradient develops for $[HCO_3^-]$ across the
blood–brain barrier and CSF \([\text{HCO}_3^-]\) passes out slowly down this gradient.

![Diagram showing mechanisms of reduction in CSF \([\text{HCO}_3^-]\)](image)

**Fig. 1.** Mechanisms of reduction in CSF \([\text{HCO}_3^-]\)

In 1963 Severinghaus *et al.* reported that early in acclimatization to altitude their subjects had a restoration of their CSF pH before that in the plasma and to explain this they proposed that there was active transport of \([\text{HCO}_3^-]\) out of the CSF against the gradient. This was an important paper in that it stimulated a great deal of work in an attempt to prove or disprove this hypothesis. It seems fair to say that the present consensus of opinion is against the necessity of such an hypothesis.

On the right of the diagram is an alternative pathway to CSF \([\text{HCO}_3^-]\) reduction. Cerebral tissue hypoxia results in a degree of change of cerebral metabolism from aerobic or anaerobic pathways resulting in the production of lactic acid and other acid metabolites. The \([\text{H}^+]\) thus produced titrates the CSF \([\text{HCO}_3^-]\) leading to a reduction in its level. This certainly happens to some extent on exposure to hypoxia as has been elegantly shown by Alexander *et al.* (1968) but the question is how important is it? Severinghaus *et al.* (1963) considered it unimportant since the lactate increase in the CSF was only about 1 mmol whereas the \([\text{HCO}_3^-]\) reduction was 4–5 mmol. However, since lactic acid dissociates in the cell and the 2 ions are then passed out of the cell to ECF to CSF and out into the blood with probably very different rates at each stage, there is no reason to expect a simple stoichiometric relationship between their 2 concentrations in the CSF.

There are 3 pieces of evidence indicating that, at least, under certain circumstances, this direct pathway linking hypoxia to CSF \([\text{HCO}_3^-]\) reduction is important:

1. Sherpas (Milledge and Lahiri, 1967) and Andean natives to high altitude (Severinghaus, Bainton and Carcelen, 1966) have been shown to have very little ventilatory hypoxic response, that is the \(\text{CO}_2\) response curves at different \(P_{O_2}\)s lie virtually on top of each other. Also changing the inspired \(P_{O_2}\) when exercising has little effect on minute ventilation (Lahiri *et al.*, 1967) and yet these people show similar respiratory acclimatization as judged by resting end-tidal \(P_{CO_2}\) and, indeed, their CSF \([\text{HCO}_3^-]\) is actually lower than that of lowlanders at the same altitude (Lahiri and Milledge, 1967).

2. Eger *et al.* (1968) measured ventilatory \(\text{CO}_2\) response before and after an 8-hr period of voluntary hyperventilation. During the 8-hr period on one occasion they breathed air and on another, a low oxygen mixture. Keeping their end-tidal \(P_{CO_2}\) 10 mmHg (1.3 kPa) below normal they found that on air the \(\text{CO}_2\) response curve was shifted 4 mmHg (0.53 kPa), to the left whilst with hypoxia it was shifted 8 mmHg (1.06 kPa), showing that hypoxia had an effect independent of any change in \(P_{CO_2}\).

3. Sørensen and Mines (1970) showed that goats which had had their carotid bodies denervated so that on exposure to acute hypoxia actually had their respiration depressed, nevertheless showed respiratory acclimatization on being taken to high altitude. In a companion study (Sørensen, 1970) it was shown that rabbits whose peripheral chemoreceptors were denervated had a reduction of CSF \([\text{HCO}_3^-]\) similar to that of controls when taken to altitude.

It has also been shown that in fact the CSF pH is not so very closely regulated as had previously been thought. Indeed, Dempsey, Forster and Do Pico (1974) from consideration of the time course of the changes in CSF, pH and arterial \(P_{CO_2}\) cast doubt on the importance of CSF \([\text{H}^+]\) in the control of breathing at altitude, especially the more long-term acclimatization, i.e. from 3–5 days to 2–3 weeks. It seems difficult to explain the further increase in breathing during this time as being due to acid-base changes, and changes in the modifying effect of cortical control may be involved. Evidence for such control is to be found in the effect of sleep on respiratory control and from the work of Tenney *et al.* (1971) on cats, whose blunted hypoxic response could be restored by section below the diencephalon. However, Davies (1978) is of the opinion that in the early stages of acclimatization, i.e. up to 3 hr, changes in ECF \([\text{H}^+]\) account for the changes in respiration but only by placing the ECF \([\text{H}^+]\) nearer the blood than the CSF \([\text{H}^+]\). The present author and his colleagues found that the CSF pH of acclimatized lowlanders at 4880 m was 7:374, and that of Sherpas at a similar altitude was 7:328 (Lahiri and Milledge, 1967), and in Andean natives at 4300 m the CSF pH was 7:295 (Sørensen and Milledge, 1971).
It would seem that in achieving a very similar degree of respiratory acclimatization one should picture a wide spectrum of proportion of contribution from central and peripheral drives for different subjects. The lowlander with a brisk peripheral hypoxic drive will tend to have a more alkaline CSF pH whilst the highlander with less peripheral drive will have a more acid pH and get his 'drive' from that source whilst the denervated animal must derive its 'drive' wholly from an even more acid pH.

Have these changes anything to do with acute mountain sickness (AMS), the subject of the symposium? Two hints that they may play some part are provided by: (1) the time course of these changes which is similar to that of AMS. The main changes of respiratory acclimatization take place in the first 48 hr after arrival at altitude which is when AMS tends to be worse; and (2) the finding by Sutton et al. (1976) who showed that there was a quite good correlation between the severity of AMS and the rate of respiratory acclimatization as judged by the reduction in Pco2. This only held for the first day after arrival at altitude and was thereafter largely lost. Also, there was no correlation with Po2 or pH. The finding of this correlation is in line with the impressions of climbers that voluntary hyperventilation is of some benefit in relieving the symptoms of AMS. It may be that the difference between individuals in the degree of mountain sickness may be found in the different rates of respiratory acclimatization between one subject and another.
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Discussion

Dr J. H. Coote: I assume from the Rahn–Otis plot that acclimatized subjects would tend to hyperventilate earlier as judged by their alveolar Pco2. How does this equate with the blunted hypoxic response?

Dr J. S. Milledge: The answer is that they have a more acid CSF and a slightly lower CSF bicarbonate so they get their respiratory drive from that rather than from the periphery.

Dr C. S. Houston: Riley postulated that the logical place for a respiratory centre would be in the pulmonary artery. It has never been demonstrated but Riley still thinks that it should be there.

Dr Milledge: The incentive to discover a pulmonary artery sensor is to try to explain the hyperpnoea of exercise. I don’t think that it would help much in relation to altitude.
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