Maternal genital chlamydial infection as a cause of neonatal conjunctivitis
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Summary
Infections of the cervix with Chlamydia trachomatis are common, at least in those groups of sexually active women of child-bearing age who are seen in STD (sexually-transmitted diseases) clinics. Persistent untreated infection presents a hazard to the women themselves during pregnancy and to their infants who may develop chlamydial conjunctivitis.

The clinical and laboratory findings in 1009 women and in 103 infants with conjunctivitis are presented. Practical problems of diagnosis and control of neonatal chlamydial conjunctivitis are described. A possible association between prematurity and chlamydial infection is discussed.

Introduction
The control of many types of perinatal infection must begin with the recognition of the pathogenic agent in pregnant women and its eradication, wherever possible, before their babies are born. Chlamydial infections clearly illustrate this, and show how quickly a perinatal infective syndrome may arise, apparently de novo, in communities where an infection of young women has become common, but has escaped general recognition and treatment. This review presents some results of a combined clinical and laboratory survey of infection with Chlamydia trachomatis (TRIC* agents) in Liverpool from September 1973 to September 1976.

Material and methods
Method of selection and examination of patients have been described elsewhere (Rees et al., 1977a, b, c).

Laboratory procedures for isolating C. trachomatis (Ct) in replicating cultures of McCoy cells were those described by Hobson et al. (1974) and Johnson and Hobson (1976).
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* Trachoma-inclusion conjunctivitis.
The group of infants reported here is not representative of 'sticky eye' in general and excludes many trivial examples; this is a common condition in maternity units, and can result from infection by a number of different microbial agents (Csonka and Coufalik, 1977) or even from trauma during birth. However, the main element of deliberate selection in the present series was that most of the infants were referred to the authors, by the doctor in charge of their primary care, because they had an active conjunctivitis which had failed to respond to neomycin (or less commonly chloramphenicol) eye drops, or for which no bacterial or viral cause had been found. Details of the history, clinical features and laboratory findings of these infants have been discussed by Rees et al. (1977a).

For the specific purpose of this symposium, only the practical problems that can arise in the detection and control of this particular example of perinatal infection will be discussed.

The age of the infant when the discharge began ranged from 3–13 days (mean, 6·7 days). Hence, many infants could be sent home from the maternity unit during the incubation period, with a risk that the subsequent conjunctivitis would not be diagnosed early or accurately and that the correct treatment would be delayed.

Untreated chlamydial conjunctivitis often progressed rapidly (Fig. 1) with gross oedema of the eyelids, congestion and ‘bubbling’ of the conjunctiva and muco-purulent discharge from which Ct could be isolated in large numbers. In some cases, however, the clinical signs were less dramatic, particularly when chloramphenicol eye drops or systemic penicillins had been given at an early stage. These antibiotics could modify the clinical picture and reduce the number of Ct particles capable of growing in tissue culture, but often failed to eradicate the infection, with recrudescence when the antibiotic was discontinued (Rees et al., 1977a). When oxytetracycline eye ointment was given daily for 1 month, eighteen of the twenty-four infants followed-up became Ct-negative; the signs of conjunctivitis disappeared quickly and did not recur. Ct was re-isolated from six infants after treatment and, in five of these, signs of conjunctivitis had recurred at the time of re-isolation. In two of the relapses the original course of tetracycline had been cut short or misapplied.

Active disease persisted in infants whose Ct infection was not detected before they left hospital and who had not received tetracyclines; Ct was isolated from seven infants in this category at 13, 17, 19, 24 (two), 30 or 57 days of age respectively. Such continuing infection could involve risks both to the infant and to his family. Firstly, permanent eye damage such as that seen in tropical trachoma could possibly occur, especially in unhygienic homes where bacterial superinfection of the eye is likely. Micropannus and conjunctival scarring in the eyes of children with Ct infection has been reported by Mordhorst and Dawson (1971). Secondly, Ct may spread from the infant to other members of the family, as is frequent in tropical trachoma (Jones, 1975). In the present series, Ct was isolated from an 18-month-old sibling of an infant whose conjunctivitis had developed 6 days after birth but was not diagnosed until the eighteenth day. This toddler's conjunctivitis began 4 days after the infant had been taken back into hospital.

An unexpected finding was that fourteen of thirty-three (42·6%) of the Ct-positive infants were premature, compared with only nine of fifty-eight (15·8%) of Ct-negative infants. It seems possible that maternal

![Fig. 1. Bilateral conjunctivitis in a 6-day-old infant, showing gross oedema of the eyelids and mucosa. Chlamydia trachomatis was isolated from both eyes and from the mother’s cervix (shown in Fig. 2).](http://pmj.bmj.com/ on July 11, 2017 - Published by group.bmj.com)
happening the infant's eyes *in utero* in some cases. It may be significant that one infant with Ct-positive conjunctivitis in this series was born by Caesarian section; on further investigation it seemed unlikely that post-natal spread from the infected mother to the infant could have occurred. More detailed studies to explore the relationship between prematurity and chlamydial infection are in progress to determine whether conjunctivitis is only one of the hazards to which the offspring of a woman with chlamydial genital infection is exposed.
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