Plasma concentration of nortriptyline as a guide to treatment
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Summary
Blood samples were collected from forty-five patients with depression who had been taking nortriptyline for at least 14 days. At the time of blood collection the observer recorded his opinion of each patient's response to treatment either as 'satisfactory' or 'unsatisfactory', together with any change in therapy then decided upon. The patients came from six different hospitals but all plasma nortriptyline estimations were performed at a single laboratory. No statistically significant correlation could be discerned between dose or plasma nortriptyline concentration and therapeutic response or decision to change treatment. Under the conditions of general psychiatric practice, the occasional 'spot' estimation of plasma nortriptyline concentrations is unlikely to be a practical guide to the nortriptyline requirements of most patients.

Introduction
Nortriptyline (Allegrant, Aventyl) and other drugs of the tricyclic group are now more widely prescribed than any other form of treatment for patients with depression, especially in domiciliary and out-patient practice. The results of treatment are, however, frequently poor (Porter, 1970). Individual patients given a fixed dose of a tricyclic antidepressant drug achieve widely differing 'steady-state' plasma concentrations of the drug (Hammer and Sjöqvist, 1967; Braithwaite and Widdop, 1971). This variability is the result of genetically determined differences in the rate of drug metabolism sometimes modified by concomitantly prescribed drugs which induce or inhibit metabolizing enzymes (Hammer, Isteadrom and Sjöqvist, 1967; Alexanderson, Price-Evans and Sjöqvist, 1969; Gram and Fredricson-Over, 1972). The relationship between plasma concentrations of these drugs and their antidepressant effects has recently been the subject of debate. Asberg et al. (1971, 1973) demonstrated a curvilinear relationship between plasma level of nortriptyline and antidepressant effect over 2 and 4 week periods with reduced activity at extremes of plasma concentration.

Similarly, Braithwaite et al. (1972) using amitriptyline, reported a direct relationship between plasma concentrations of the drug and its metabolite nortriptyline, and clinical response. Burrows, Davies and Scoggins (1972), however, found no correlation between plasma concentration of nortriptyline and antidepressant activity. Methodological differences may account for these different findings but for practical purposes, as Burrows, Davies and Scoggins (1973) have indicated, the important question is 'whether knowing the individual patient's plasma tricyclic level is of any clinical value'. We have addressed ourselves to this question. Our aim was to determine how closely single estimations of plasma nortriptyline concentration could be related to (a) the attending physician's opinion about patients' response to treatment, and (b) decisions about changes in treatment, in the course of ordinary day to day outpatient practice in six busy psychiatric clinics; and thus to test the potential value of routine estimations of plasma nortriptyline levels in normal clinical practice.
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Patients and methods

Forty-five patients with depression severe enough to have led to their being referred to hospital provided the data for analysis. Those who had recently received ECT or who were taking other antidepressant drugs were excluded.

Each clinician was free to prescribe the dose of nortriptyline he thought appropriate and was also free to assess patients at follow-up in whatever way he wished and to record his opinion of their progress at any stage, once they had been taking nortriptyline for at least 2 weeks.

At the time of assessment for the purposes of this study the attending clinician recorded his opinion of each patient's progress with respect to relief of symptoms of depression as 'satisfactory' or 'unsatisfactory'. He also recorded any decision to change the treatment. At the same time blood was collected for nortriptyline estimation.

A standard record form was used and upon this were recorded each patient's age, weight, dose of nortriptyline prescribed, any other therapy given, side effects reported, opinion about progress and reason for withdrawal where appropriate.

Ten millilitres of blood were collected into lithium heparin tubes, centrifuged at the respective hospital laboratories for 5 min at 3000 rev/min, aspirated into fresh tubes and despatched to the Poisons Unit in London where the samples were deep frozen until analysed. It had been previously demonstrated (Braithwaite and Widdop, 1971) and was again confirmed that nortriptyline contained in plasma samples did not decline even after prolonged storage at room temperature.

Plasma nortriptyline assays were carried out by a specific gas-chromatographic method (Braithwaite and Widdop, 1971) in ignorance of the clinical data from the patients. Spot checks of urine for the presence of other drugs were also carried out.

Results

Detailed results for the forty-five patients in the study are presented in Table 1. Thirty-one of the patients were women, average age 41, and fourteen were men, average age 38, the age range of the entire group being from 20 to 77 years.

Nortriptyline was prescribed in daily doses which varied from 55–200 mg, almost half the patients being given substantially larger doses than the 75–100 mg daily advocated by the manufacturer.

Clinical assessment and blood collection were carried out at various times after the patients began to take nortriptyline, from 14 to 56 days. Thirty patients were considered at the time of blood collection to have been making 'satisfactory' progress. Of the patients in the 'satisfactory' group, one had her dose of nortriptyline reduced, the others continuing as before. Only in one instance was 'unsatisfactory' progress the result of adverse reaction in this patient and no change in dose was ordered. The other fourteen were all judged to be gaining less benefit from nortriptyline than was expected and changes in the antidepressant regimen were made for thirteen of these patients. The drug was withdrawn in six instances, the dose increased in five, and ECT prescribed in addition to nortriptyline in two.

Plasma nortriptyline concentrations in this group of patients ranged from 44 ng/ml to 305 ng/ml (Fig. 1).

Barbiturate, which had not been prescribed at the clinic, was detected in the urine of three patients, one of whom was the patient whose 'unsatisfactory' response to treatment was attributed to adverse reaction and whose plasma nortriptyline concentration was less than 20 ng/ml.

Dose and plasma concentration of nortriptyline

The relationship between the daily dose (mg/kg) and plasma concentration (ng/ml) is shown in Fig. 2 for the forty-one patients for whom the complete data are available. Plasma concentrations tend to be higher among the patients given the larger daily doses but much scatter is apparent.

Clinical response, dose and plasma concentration of nortriptyline

The lack of an optimum dose (mg/kg) of nortriptyline is demonstrated in Fig. 3.

The relationship of plasma nortriptyline concentration to clinical response is shown in Fig. 4. Again there is no evidence of an optimum plasma nortriptyline concentration, patients showing 'unsatisfactory' response and 'satisfactory' response to treatment over the same range of plasma concentrations, from less than 20 ng/ml to over 300 ng/ml.

Effect of observers

Five of the psychiatrists taking part in this study personally supervised at least seven patients (Table 1). Dr A. had a more youthful group of patients than the rest. Dr B. and Dr F. had considered women only.

Dr B. used rather higher doses and Dr G. rather lower doses of nortriptyline than the rest. Dr A., Dr B. and Dr G. each found that seven of their patients were progressing satisfactorily and that only one or two were not, whereas Dr E. and Dr F. each found that only three of their patients were responding satisfactorily to the drug while four were not. The opinions and decisions of all bore no discernible relationship to plasma nortriptyline concentrations in their patients.
FIG. 1. Concentration of nortriptyline in plasma at which changes in antidepressant therapy were ordered because of lack of nortriptyline effect. ■ one patient; n = 13. Each square block represents one patient.

FIG. 2. Relationship of daily dose (mg/kg) to plasma concentration of nortriptyline. \( y = 4.1 \times \log(x); \quad r = 0.66; \quad n = 41. \)

FIG. 3. Numbers of patients making 'satisfactory' or 'unsatisfactory' progress in response to particular doses of nortriptyline. ■, one patient with a 'satisfactory' response; n = 26. ■, one patient with an 'unsatisfactory' response; n = 15. Each square block represents one patient.
### Plasma concentration of nortriptyline

**Table I.** Assessment of clinical response to nortriptyline by forty-five patients, in relation to observer, dose and duration of treatment and concentration or nortriptyline in plasma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observer and patient no.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Dose (mg/daily)</th>
<th>Time of assessment (days)</th>
<th>Clinical response</th>
<th>Plasma nortriptyline (ng/ml)</th>
<th>Change of treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>166</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>179</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>270</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>184</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>355</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>146</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>322</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>ECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>161</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Dose increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>Dose reduced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Dose increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>248</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>U*</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Dose increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>Dose increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>143</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>152</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>190</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Side effects, phenobarbitone in urine
Discussion

The wide range of plasma nortriptyline concentrations observed in our patients given similar doses of nortriptyline confirms previously published findings, as also does the lack of correlation between dosage and therapeutic response (Asberg et al., 1971).

We did not find a correlation between plasma nortriptyline concentrations and a 'satisfactory' therapeutic response. This is in general agreement with the findings of Burrows and his colleagues (1972), who had given a standard dose of 150 mg of nortriptyline daily to thirty-two patients for at least 4 weeks. Our findings conflict with those of Asberg et al. (1971) and Kragh-Sørensen et al. (1973) showing a correlation between plasma nortriptyline concentrations and therapeutic response. Our results also conflict with the findings for amitriptyline obtained by Braithwaite et al. (1972) where a highly significant positive correlation between plasma levels and therapeutic response was obtained. However, for several reasons our respective studies are not strictly comparable.

We assessed patients on a simple 'all or none' principle on a single occasion whereas in the other studies rating scales for depression were used repeatedly in individual subjects. We made no attempt to distinguish endogenous from reactive depression; we assessed patients as we saw fit and not in a standardized way; and we could not be certain how closely these outpatients adhered to the prescribed regimen, which itself varied considerably.

The interval between the patient's last dose and collection of blood was also unknown. The apparent lack of correlation between plasma nortriptyline concentration and clinical response in the group as a whole doubtless is the result of this profusion of uncontrolled variables.

The aim of our study was, however, not to confirm or refute the reported correlation of plasma nortriptyline levels and antidepressant effect in carefully selected groups of patients, but to determine whether 'spot' estimations of nortriptyline concentrations in plasma were likely to provide a useful guide to dosage for individual patients suffering from depression. For such to be the case there should be a substantial degree of agreement between therapeutic response, as judged under the usual conditions of follow-up in clinics and surgeries, and particular concentrations of nortriptyline in the plasma of individuals. None was found.

The most striking demonstration of the inadequacy of plasma nortriptyline estimations as a guide to therapy in our series of patients is provided by the total lack of correlation between the results of such estimations and contemporaneous decisions to change treatment because of inadequate response.

Conclusions

Under the usual conditions of psychiatric
outpatient (or general) practice, such as obtained in this experiment, routine 'spot' estimation of plasma nortriptyline concentrations is unlikely to be a practical guide to the nortriptyline requirements of most patients.
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