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The vacuum extractor or ventouse has been widely used on the Continent as an alternative to forceps delivery for about 15 years, but in the British Isles the first modern report of its extensive use was by Chalmers and Fothergill (1960). An unsuccessful attempt to effect delivery by suction, using a cupping glass, was recorded as early as 1706. Simpson, in 1849, wrote a treatise 'On a suction-tractor, or new mechanical power, as a substitute for the forceps in tedious labours'. Since that time there have been various arbitrary designs of suction apparatus, using rubber or metal cups. The mathematical calculations and experiment essential to the designing of a technically efficient suction apparatus were carried out by Malström (1954, 1957). The instrument now used in England is based on his design.

Since October 1960 the ventouse has been used in this hospital for complications of the first stage of labour, so obviating a Caesarean section in many cases. It has not been adopted for all forceps deliveries as Kielland's forceps are felt to be of more practical use to the housemen in their later careers as general practitioners.

In the past 2½ years the ventouse has been applied, prior to full dilatation, on only 32 occasions in over 4,000 deliveries, an incidence of 0.7% (Table i). The indications, results, and dangers will be discussed.

Apparatus

The vacuum extractor consists of a metal traction cup which is connected to a traction handle by means of a metal disc within the cup and its attached chain. The chain is maintained in position by a pin inside the traction handle. Suction tubing joins the cup to the traction handle and thence to the vacuum bottle, where a vacuum gauge records the pressure in kg./cm.². The hand pump, which creates the vacuum, is connected to the bottle by further rubber tubing and metal connector tubing containing a screw-release valve. There are three sizes of traction cup, VE 30, 40 and 50, all with a standard depth of 20 mm. The two larger cups have a rotation knob which is directed towards or over the occiput during application.

Leaking around the vacuum bottle bung can be troublesome but after the production of a suitable vacuum a gate-clip can be screwed firmly onto the tubing. Another cause of difficulty in maintaining a vacuum is leaking due to a worn washer beneath the pin in the traction handle. The apparatus is easily dismantled for sterilizing by boiling. The vacuum bottle, protected by a plastic covered metal frame, can be placed on or hung from a trolley.

Principles

Production of a vacuum sucks adjacent scalp tissue into the cup, creating an 'adhesive force'. The rim of the cup has a smaller circumference than the cavity of the cup so that the skin is drawn in at a suitable reference angle, thus preventing detachment. The effect of suction and traction is distributed to the cup edge. Providing the suction force is greater than the traction the cup will remain attached. A traction force between 9 to 22 kg, can cause detachment. Traction must be applied at right angles to the centre of the site of attachment, for maintenance of an oblique pull reduces the 'adhesive force'.

Malström (1957) considered that the increase in intracranial pressure during suction is negligible, as the scalp tissue drawn into the cup can move independently of the cranium and galea. This, however, is disputed by de Boer (1960) who found that application of the ventouse, without traction, to the scalp of a fresh stillbirth raised the spinal pressure from 0 to 25 cm. He attributed this result to the lack of rigidity of the baby's cranium.

Using external tocometry, Malström demon-
Stratified that intermittent traction exerted by the vacuum extractor synchronous with uterine contractions prior to full dilatation of the cervix, stimulates uterine contractions at the internal os level. There is a close correspondence between the strength of traction and uterine response.

**Application**

A preliminary pudendal block with 0.5% or 1% lignocaine was used in all cases except one. General anaesthesia was used to replace an arm prior to application of the instrument.

The size of cup used depends on the degree of cervical dilatation. Sometimes a small introitus prevents insertion of the large cup and an early episiotomy is not advisable, since the delivery interval may exceed an hour. The medium cup has been most frequently used. The cup is inserted obliquely into the vagina and applied as close to the vertex as possible. The head tends to displace upwards in multigravidae, particularly when above the level of the spines, but suprapubic pressure helps to control this.

The vacuum is slowly induced, as advised by Malström (1957), an initial vacuum of 0.2 kg./cm.² being increased by 0.1 or 0.2 kg./cm.² every two minutes, to a level of 0.6 kg./cm.², so that detachment of the cup is less likely. During this process it is important to check that the cervix has not been drawn under the cup. The cervix may be freed easily by sweeping a finger between it and the head. The artificial caput thus raised is more conveniently termed ‘chignon’. The 0.6 kg./cm.² suction force is sufficient for the light traction necessary to stimulate uterine contractions until full dilatation. Initially, continuous light traction is more effective in cases of inertia. In primigravidae, a vacuum of 0.8 kg./cm.² is necessary to allow strong traction.

**Indications and Results**

The indications for interference in the first stage of labour are shown in Table 2. As in other series (Snoeck, 1960; Lillie, 1960; Fothergill and Chalmers, 1961; and Willcocks, 1962), the commonest indications are delay and fetal distress. Chalmers (1960) advocates use of the ventouse after failed conservative management of prolonged labour.

Patients with delay in labour formed the largest group delivered with the ventouse and include a large proportion of cases of prolonged labour (by arbitrary definition over 36 hours—Standard Maternity Hospital Report Committee). The ventouse was only applied with the cervix less than half-dilated in one of the nine multigravidae, full dilatation being reached in about an hour but as no descent occurred on traction, Kielland’s forces were applied. Rotation of the head could not be completed and there followed a difficult delivery with the head in an oblique anterior position. The baby cried well but was later slightly cerebral. Only one of the primiparae was delivered with the ventouse, traction being used for 55 minutes and the second stage lasting 35 minutes. The baby was normal. The remaining seven primiparae were all delivered by forceps for varying reasons, viz. detachment of the cup, non-rotation or descent of the head within 15 minutes of full dilatation, and thick perineum.

On five occasions in multigravidae, the ventouse was used for delay when the cervix was 2 to 4 fingers (3 to 6 cm.) dilated. Wrigley’s forceps were necessary once, as the baby was still not delivered 25 minutes after full dilatation. This group included the child with cerebral damage, the remainder being healthy. There was slight abrasion and scalp bruising in two instances, the traction times being 20 and 75 minutes.

The group of occipito-posterior positions mostly had an additional reason for expediting delivery, such as maternal distress or pre-eclamptic toxemia. The malposition had been noted early in labour and the cervix was over half dilated (8 cm.). Only one of these primiparae was successfully delivered with the ventouse, the remainder requiring Kielland’s rotation and forceps extractions, two being classed as difficult. It is important in this group to assess the pelvis as some cephalopelvic disproportion is often present.

The time taken to deliver cases of fetal distress varied from 12 to 70 minutes. Four of the babies were in good condition at birth and three were a little limp, but only required mild resuscitation. Two primigravidae had early fetal distress but as full dilatation was slowly achieved, forceps were then applied. One multigravida having only had

---

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Number of Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delay in first stage or uterine inertia</td>
<td>Primi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal distress</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipito-posterior position</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrapartum haemorrhage</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malpresentation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal distress</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Complications for antepartum delivery, to two and, replacement of instances was indication fingers and labour affected was however, to 6...

The time indications for other malpresentation the first stage of labour it is possible to deliver the baby more rapidly by vacuum extraction than by preparing for and performing a Cæsarean section.

The ventouse is certainly of value in the management of intrapartum haemorrhage. The bleeding with each contraction in a twin pregnancy was effectively controlled by traction and living infants delivered. A Syntocinon drip was used to induce labour in a patient with Rhesus antibodies at 36 weeks as the cervix was 'unripe'. This was later followed by a 12-ounce blood loss and an irregular fetal heart rate. A severely affected infant was delivered with the ventouse, two exchange transfusions being needed.

The malpresentation encountered in both instances was an arm prolapsed alongside the head. A general anaesthetic was used during replacement of a recurrent arm prolapse in a primigravida and, although it took an hour to complete delivery, the infant needed only mild resuscitation. The other case followed surgical induction of labour at 36 weeks for Rhesus antibodies, the induction delivery interval being prolonged.

Other indications for interference have been recorded. Snoeck (1960) and Fothergill and Chalmers (1961) mention uterine scars from myomectomy or Cæsarean section. The same authors and Alment (1960) have used the ventouse for antepartum haemorrhage of the accidental type and for placenta previa.

### Fetal Complications

The time taken to effect delivery or to decide to apply forceps is related to the degree of cervical dilation. When the cervix was three-quarters or less dilated in primigravidae, over 30 minutes' traction was required in half the cases. This again applies to multigravidae, when the cervix is less than 4 fingers (6 cm.) dilated (Table 3). The fetal complications must be assessed in relation to these two factors.

In this series (Table 4), fetal complications occurred on 11 occasions. The three infants with cerebral irritation had associated scalp trauma and traction lasted over 30 minutes. The scalp abrasions were of a minor nature in seven cases and all were healed prior to discharge from hospital. Abrasions seemed to occur when the cup was detached during traction. There was circular scabbing of the scalp at the cup site in three cases, preceded by a scalp haematoma and bruising in two babies and blistering noted at birth in one. The former had bald areas in this region at follow-up. Beneath the scabs of the latter infection developed and an abscess formed in the right occipital region, about 1½ inches away from the traumatised area. The abscess required incision but there was no apparent balking at six weeks.

One cephalhæmatoma occurred, giving an incidence of 3%. This is a much lower incidence than that recorded by Huntingford (1961).

The important complication associated with the technique is cerebral irritation (Table 5). In two infants of primipare, this was of a mild nature, increased tone being noted which required cot-nursing for 48 hours. Both deliveries were completed by forceps. Over an hour's traction was needed to achieve full dilatation in one instance, this being followed by easy rotation but difficult extraction with Kielland's forceps. Detachment of the cup occurred in the other case, on commencing firm traction after full dilatation, so Kielland rotation and forceps delivery was necessary. Holtorff (1961), in a follow-up study of foetal mortality and morbidity following delivery with forceps and vacuum extraction, finds that some disappointments will occur: cerebral

### Table 3 | Cervical Dilatation Related to Traction Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dilatation</th>
<th>Primipare Traction time over 30 mins.</th>
<th>Multiparate</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-4 fingers dilated (up to 6 cm.)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1½ dilated (8 cm. and over)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rim of cervix...</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4 | Fetal Complications Related to Traction Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Traction Up to 30 mins.</th>
<th>Time Over 30 mins.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scalp trauma: abrasion or bruise</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection or ulceration</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalhæmatoma</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral irritation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perinatal mortality</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
irritation is particularly liable when both vacuum extractor and forces are used on the same child.

Serious cerebral irritation occurred once. The head was above the spines and well flexed in a posterior position. A good application was obtained with the small cup at 5 to 6 cm. dilatation. Little further dilatation occurred during the first half hour of traction and the patient was rather restless, complaining of pain and cramp in the right leg. Delivery occurred after 40 minutes of traction. Although the infant's breathing was regular at birth, the cry was poor and, the following day, signs of cerebral irritation were present and right-sided twitching necessitated sedation. Progress seemed normal at five months, but at 19 months he was not walking or talking and a right hemiparesis is probable.

Maternal Complications

The vacuum extractor is considered very safe for the mother and free from soft tissue damage (Chalmers and Fothergill, 1960; Smedley, 1966). It will be noted that vaginal laceration occurred twice in this series (Table 6), on both occasions causing postpartum haemorrhage. The lacerations were attributable to the subsequent Kielland rotation and forceps deliveries. The twin delivery complicated by intrapartum hemorrhage also had a small postpartum hemorrhage. The forcible cervical dilatation did not cause cervical tears and Dührssen's incisions were never considered.

The only other relative maternal complication was a peroneal nerve palsy, which has not been recorded previously. The lithotomy position was maintained for about 1½ hours, with the legs outside the poles, and traction continued intermittently for 40 minutes. During this time, the patient complained of severe pain in the right leg. Since her previous children had similar birth weights and no local pressure on the nerve occurred, the lesion must have been due to pressure on the lumbosacral cords by the descending fetal head. Cole (1946) believes the pelvis must have certain characteristics for this to occur: these are a flat sacral promontory, shallow sacral alae, and a short posterior portion of the iliac bones.

Forceps were applied after full dilatation in 17 cases, probably an unnecessarily high figure

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parity</th>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Length of Labour</th>
<th>Duration of Traction</th>
<th>Birth Weight</th>
<th>Scalp</th>
<th>Condition at Birth</th>
<th>Progress</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Prolonged labour occipito-posterior position. Under 6 cm. dilated.</td>
<td>44 hours</td>
<td>70 minutes</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>Bruised caput. Scabbing.</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>High pitched cry. Increased tone</td>
<td>Bald patch. Normal development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Delay, cervix thick under 6 cm. dilated Mild aortic stenosis.</td>
<td>19 hours</td>
<td>40 minutes</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>Minimal abrasion Blue asphyxia. Slow to cry.</td>
<td>Twitching of right side. Sedation. Satisfactory at 6 days.</td>
<td>Normal at 5 months. Backwards at 19 months? Right hemiparesis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6

**Maternal Complications**

- Postpartum haemorrhage . . 3 (2 from lacerations)
- Vaginal laceration . . 2
- Manual removal of placenta . . 1
- Peroneal nerve palsy . . 1
- Puerperal pyrexia . . 1 (urinary tract infection)
- Puerperal psychosis . . 1

### Table 7

**Indications for Application of Forceps**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indications</th>
<th>Occipito-posterior and Transverse Position</th>
<th>Anterior Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Failed ventouse rotation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor disproportion, posterior position</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal distress . . .</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detachment ventouse . .</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed traction with ventouse . .</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigid perineum . . .</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elective . .</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8

Prolonged Labour (Over 36 Hours)
Number of Cases 54. Percentage of all deliveries 1.2%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of Delivery</th>
<th>Number of Cases</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caesarean section</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forceps delivery</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>29.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum extraction</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous delivery</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breech extraction</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Table 7). The failures were mostly with posterior positions, although this is one of the main indications listed by Willcocks (1962) for the use of the ventouse in the second stage of labour. As traction was prolonged before reaching full dilatation in several instances, it was felt that the duration of the second stage should be limited. Of course, there were also failures from cup detachment and forceps were therefore applied.

The value of vacuum extraction is also apparent in dealing with prolonged labour, itself a rare occurrence (Table 8). Spontaneous deliveries occur, following adequate sedation and hydration, in a considerable proportion of cases, 35% in this series and 24% in a series reported by Jeffcoate (1961). Caesarean section was performed 11 times; in seven, vacuum extraction had been considered but was rejected as some disproportion was evident. In another seven cases vaginal delivery was thought possible and was effected, labour lasting from 39 to 53 hours and dilatation varying from over 3 fingers to a rim all round.

Discussion

The value of the vacuum extractor as an alternative to Caesarean section and the results obtained both from forceps and from vacuum extraction will be discussed from the foetal and maternal aspects.

Most obstetricians, reporting on the ventouse, agree that one great advantage of this instrument is that it may safely be used to expedite delivery, prior to full dilatation of the cervix. Some consider that the degree of cervical dilatation is unimportant (Lillie, 1960), others that the cervix should be about 5 cm. dilated prior to application of the instrument (Bruniquel and Israel, 1958; Snoeck, 1960), and Chalmers (1960) considers that the vacuum extractor is best used when the cervix is three-quarters dilated although he has used it at lesser dilatations. The ventouse effected full dilatation, if not delivery, in all our cases, the dilatation being from 3 cm. upwards. However the object is not only vaginal delivery but birth of an unharmed infant. Therefore, use of the ventouse is only justified if the results obtained are as good as or better than Caesarean section from all aspects.

Alarming foetal results following vacuum extraction for delay in the first stage of labour were reported by Huntingford (1961). Two neonatal deaths occurred in 11 deliveries. One resulted from intracranial hemorrhage, apparently related to the application of the ventouse. The second neonatal death demonstrated another hazard of this method, viz. unsuspected disproportion necessitating Caesarean section. The baby died of atelectasis from meconium aspiration. Four other infants showed cerebral irritation, the traction time varying from 25 to 75 minutes. Huntingford, therefore, advised 'cautious appraisal' of the use of the vacuum extractor for delay in the first stage.

Evidence from the series reported in this paper suggests that the incidence of significant scalp trauma and cerebral damage is related to the duration of traction. This was 40 minutes or over in all cases affected. It would therefore seem wise to limit traction to 30 minutes as suggested by Willcocks (1962) and Lauridsen, Pless, and Uhrenholdt (1962). As the traction time is also related to the degree of cervical dilatation (Table 3) the ventouse should seldom be applied before one-half dilatation in multigravidae and not till over one-half dilatation in primigravidae.

The majority of minor abrasions heal without infection, prior to discharge from hospital. Lauridsen and others (1962), following up infants after vacuum extraction, found slight pigmentation of the scalp in three, and an area of alopecia in one of 15 cases of minor abrasions. The danger from potential infection mentioned by Huntingford (1961) is lessened in this hospital by the practice of giving antibiotics to all infants born 48 hours or more after rupture of the membranes, a routine affecting a number of the cases treated by vacuum extraction.

Neonatal deaths from intracranial haemorrhage, associated with vacuum extraction, have been recorded by many authors (Hochuli and Stöckli, 1960; Snoeck, 1960; Holtorff, 1961; Huntingford, 1961; and Willcocks, 1962). The stage of labour in which the ventouse was applied was not always recorded and, in some, intra-uterine anoxia was partly responsible.

Cerebral irritation was noted by Fürstenberg and Söderhjelm (1960), Huntingford (1961), and Willcocks (1962). Holtorff (1961) found that it is particularly liable to occur if forceps are applied after the ventouse, which agrees with our experience. The one case of definite cerebral damage reported in the present series had no
counterpart in a follow-up study by Lauridsen and others (1962), or in the paediatricians' follow-up of Willcocks' series (1962).

The real dangers to the foetus, then, are possible intracranial hemorrhage or cerebral damage, which, in recorded series (Table 9) have a very low incidence. Although de Boer (1960) has shown that the ventouse will raise the intracranial pressure, evidently a harmful effect is seldom produced.

There is a well-defined group of primigravidae who become post-mature with an occipito-posterior position and who often commence labour with rupture of the membranes. The contractions that follow are ineffectual or progress becomes arrested and maternal or foetal distress develops. Prior to the introduction of the ventouse, Caesarean section was necessary, with its attendant risks to the foetus of central depression from general anaesthesia and pulmonary complications. Now, with judicious use of the ventouse, it is possible to deliver these patients with inertia or prolonged labour vaginally and often prior to the development of foetal distress, providing that there is no clinical evidence of disproportion.

Multiparae in established labour, with foetal distress, can be delivered rapidly with the ventouse, again without resorting to general anaesthesia.

Considerable difficulty may be experienced in securing premature delivery in cases of Rhesus incompatibility. The ventouse has been used to advantage on two occasions when surgical induc-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Neonatal deaths</th>
<th>Deaths from intracranial hemorrhage</th>
<th>Cerebral irritation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fürstenberg and Söderhjelm (1960)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hochuli and Stöckli (1960)</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Not recorded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holtorff (1961)</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Not recorded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntingford (1961)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fothergill and Chalmers (1961)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willcocks (1962)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauridsen and others (1962)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present series</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The overall safety of the vacuum extractor for delivery is confirmed by Bergman and Malström (1961). Analysing the 1958 records of 29 Swedish Hospitals, they found that in 44,767 vertex deliveries the foetal mortality was 4.1% for 793 forceps deliveries compared with only 1.5% for vacuum extraction. The greater safety of application of the vacuum extractor in mid or high pelvis was also demonstrated. In addition, fatal intracranial injury occurred in only 0.7% of deliveries with the instrument compared with 1.5% for forceps. From our figures (Table 1), it is seen that the uncorrected foetal mortality associated with Caesarean section and forceps extraction are considerable, 6.5% and 4.2% respectively, but that there was no mortality after use of the ventouse.

There are only two reported maternal deaths associated with vacuum extraction and neither death was related to the mode of delivery. Caesarean section, however, has a maternal mortality of at least 0.33% (Report on Confidential Enquiries into Maternal deaths, 1960), death being mainly due to hemorrhage and shock, pulmonary embolism, sepsis and ileus, or anaesthesia. Vacuum extraction, which causes no damage to the soft tissues, makes no further demands on the maternal reserves, which are already depleted in cases of prolonged labour and maternal distress. This is not true of Caesarean section, to which the dangers from anaesthesia and blood loss are added and convalescence may be impaired by infection or pulmonary complications.

The use of local anaesthesia for vacuum extraction allows voluntary effort of the mother to assist delivery when full dilatation is reached, particularly in multiparae. The second stage is completed in ten minutes in the majority of cases. However, the lithotomy position is not comfortable for the long periods of time necessary in some cases. One patient, who was in the position for just under two hours, subsequently said her worst memory was of the long labour prior to interference. If, in future, the vacuum extractor is only used when the anticipated time to achieve delivery is less than 40 minutes, this disadvantage will be eliminated. The rare complication of a peroneal nerve palsy must have been related to traction applied with the head in the oblique posterior position. This palsy may even follow spontaneous delivery (Chassar Moir, 1956).

Huntingford's (1961) suggestion that the forcible cervical dilatation may be followed by cervical incompetence is of interest. So far no case has been encountered here.
Conclusions

1. The vacuum extraction is an effective method of treating complications of the first stage of labour.

2. The risks to mother and child are less than either Cesarean section or forceps delivery.
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