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The penalty of the structural specialization, implying the increasing complexity in molecular configurations that has given man and mammals top ranking in the animal order has been the diminution of the powers of tissue repair, and a specific molecular 'fingerprint' inimical to the molecular patterns of all other organisms.

In simple forms such as hydra, the animal can be cut into several pieces and each piece will regenerate into what is more or less a new organism. Crabs, lizards, and salamanders can regrow appendages—limbs and tails—so can the tadpole but not the adult frog, although if the nerve from another limb is transplanted into an amputation stump in a frog a new limb grows, as though the tissues were subsidized from the reserves of nerve growth potential (Singer, 1958).

While it is unlikely that such experiments would be successful at mammalian level, there is always the hope that whatever the nature of the regenerative factor it might some day be possible to restore this lost capacity temporarily to mammalian tissues so that they can replace destroyed limbs and organs. Meanwhile, in man the skin, nerve fibre and connective tissues generally, including bone, repair the most readily and certain organs—liver, pancreas, salivary gland—can to some extent replace lost tissue, but there is a limit to our regenerative power, and extensive tissue loss cannot be made good. Thus, inevitably there is a long history of attempts to transplant tissues and organs from one individual to another of the same and of different species with sporadic claims of dramatic successes, unfortunately never repeated.

This rigid specificity is a characteristic of the adult tissues, and embryonic tissues are more plastic. Larval chimeras can be formed in the frog, for instance, by grafting together different parts of different embryos and the composite organism behaves physiologically as one unit.

Loeb devoted his lifework to the study of tissue transplantation consummated in his book 'The Biological Basis of Individuality' (1945) and many of his theories and conclusions expressed in more modern terms are a good fit to those of today.

However, the real impetus for the attack on the homograft problem was a wartime by-product which has since grown steadily in depth and breadth. Nevertheless, despite the impressive volume of work and the contributions of fundamental biological significance such as 'actively acquired tolerance,' 'enhancement' and 'radiation chimeras' the homograft problem remains unsolved. The plastic surgeon or clinician still looks in vain for 'spare parts' and is little better off in this respect than he was before the great onslaught of research ten or so years ago.

The current concept of the homograft reaction is that of antigen and antibody (Gibson and Medawar, 1943). From time to time various parts and fractions of the cell have been indicted as the source of the tissue antigen including, inevitably, the Nucleic acids. It is, however, unlikely that antigenicity resides exclusively in any one cell substance or structure. DNA and RNA as the templates of the cellular proteins may well codify the molecular 'fingerprints' that stamp the tissue specificity.

The specificity of antigens is a property of the chemical structure of the molecules and most good antigens are proteins. The specificity of the protein molecule 'Nature's noblest piece of architecture' depends on the combination and arrangement of the amino acids and their side groups in the polypeptide chains. Other chemical groupings such as the conjugate combines may also provide specific determinants. While the specificity of a tissue is not a single factor based on any one particular molecular pattern, it is unlikely that all the varieties of protein found in any one tissue or organ act as separate antigens. It is true that antibodies are not rigidly specific for the tissue or organ against which they have been prepared, but it is known that single antigens can produce a number of antibodies, some of which combine with a substance in another organ similar to but not identical in structure with the causal antigen.

In contrast to infection immunity, in homologous tissue immunity no circulating antibodies
can be recovered from the bloodstream, although antisera can be prepared against heterologous tissues. In the homograft reaction the antibodies are formed in special lymphocytes—the plasma cells—initially at any rate found in the regional lymph nodes draining the lymph from the grafted area (Scothorne and McGregor, 1955) and one aspect of tissue immunity which has received little attention is the logistics of the immune reaction. Why, for instance, do the antibody-containing cells converge on the graft site and how do they get there? What is the method of attack once they are in position?

Up to the present there have been two main lines of attack on the homograft problem: (1) the objective—the prevention of the host reaction to the homograft which in practice means the prevention of antibody formation; (2) the treatment of the homograft so that it will cease to act as an antigen or in some way be rendered invulnerable to antibody action.

In respect of (1) there are only two procedures which have been successful in producing a lasting tolerance to homografts:

(a) The now well-known 'activity acquired tolerance' following the injection of foreign tissue at or before birth, first demonstrated by Professor Medawar and his colleagues (Billingham, Brent and Medawar, 1953; 1956) and since repeated by them and others in a variety of animals. While such acquired tolerance is long lasting it is, of course, limited to homografts from the original donor or donor strain, and does not provide a working solution to the homograft problem.

A corollary of this kind of immunity with, however, a different cause and effect, is the much discussed 'enhancement.' The term was first used to describe the growth of tumour homografts which normally regress, after pretreatment of the host with doses of dead preparations of donor tissues (Snell, 1952; Kaliss, 1955). Similar pretreatment is said to prolong the life of skin and ovarian homografts. One suggestion is that the pretreatment induces the formation of a 'blocking' substance which will unite with the graft antigens and so prevent tissue antibody formation.

(b) Animals which have been heavily irradiated so that there is an almost complete destruction of the antibody forming cells will survive if injected with homologous or heterologous suspensions of bone marrow or splenic cells (Ford, Hamilton, Barnes and Loutit, 1956). In such radiation chimeras the grafted cells survive, multiply, and repopulate the bone marrow and lymph nodes, as has been confirmed by using marker cells distinguished by characteristic chromosomes. Skin grafts from the original donor of the grafted cells will survive in these radiation chimeras. While such procedures provide a rather desperate remedy for the late stages of leukaemia or severe radiation disease, like acquired tolerance they are of little practical value in the homograft problem.

An interesting observation arising from such experiments is the onset of secondary disease causing the death of a proportion of the radiation chimeras within 20 to 120 days after the initial treatment. Evidence is accumulating that this secondary disease is due to antibody formation by the donor tissue against recipient antigens (Barnes, Ford, Ilbery and Loutit, 1958) and today this antigen antibody reaction in reverse is attracting the attention of the pathologist.

Another method of treating the host which can claim to prolong the survival of homografts, is the administration of cortisone (Billingham, Krohn and Medawar, 1951). In the opinion of Scothorne (1956) cortisone acts by reducing the effective antigenicity of the homograft, although how this is accomplished is not known.

Pre-treatment of Grafts

The aim of such treatment is to destroy the antigens while retaining the viability of the graft, and this poses the question 'Is tissue antigenicity a built-in component of the living molecules of adult mammalian tissue which can only be neutralized by denaturation and so precluding any possibility of processing non-antigenic viable tissue out of normally antigenic substance?' While of the many methods of pretreatment of grafts, freezing, culturing in vitro, and membrane protection merit mention, none of them has offered to the replacement surgeon a promise of lasting and successful homografts.

Freezing

Polge, Smith and Parkes (1949), first described the protective properties of glycerol against the biological effects of low temperature, in particular the control of ice crystallization, and so introduced an ideal method for the long term preservation of tissues. The preservation, unfortunately, also applies to the tissue antigens of a treated homograft, and although, for instance, frozen gonadal homografts may take and function for varying periods of time, there is no suggestion that the immunological reaction evoked by the homograft is decreased by freezing it (Parkes, 1957). The same is true for frozen skin homografts.

Tissue Culture

Liver cells lose most of their enzymatic activity after prolonged culture, possibly from lack of substrate. Theoretically, therefore, there is the argument that isolated from all humoral influences even the nucleic acids might shed some of
their finer shades of specificity to the extent of producing a neutral brand of protein molecule. If this were so, it would then be possible to have large scale synthetic plants producing cultured grafts of wanted tissues and organs. An effort has in fact been made to acclimatize host and homograft by growing the necessary tissue in culture medium to which has been added the serum from the prospective host. This has been done, for example, with the parathyroids (Galliard, 1954). Similarly, the endocines of infantile rats—thyroids, parathyroids, adrenals—have been explanted for periods of three or four months and then grafted into adult rats from which the corresponding gland has been removed. There is certainly evidence of an increased survival period during which such grafts appear to function, and thyroid grafts for example take up radioactive iodine, indicating they are elaborating their secretion. Although there are interesting possibilities in this type of experiment, on the whole the results have been equivocal and it cannot be said that tissue culture has provided the solution to the homograft problem. One of the more dramatic tissue culture experiments recently reported was the combination of the kidney cells of the mouse and the chicken to form a chimera kidney capable, it was said, of partial function.

Recent experiments (Algire, Weaver and Prehn, 1957) have shown that homograft tissue can be protected from host reaction if enclosed in Millipore filters with a porosity small enough to prevent transport of cells, but large enough to let through protein molecules. Such homografts survive in immune as well as non-immune hosts, indicating that they are protected against host antibodies, and according to Woodruff (1957) are not effectively antigenic. Such protected grafts are useful biological tools, but as they are nourished by diffusion only, they are of limited practical application.

Leob (1945) emphasised the variation in the behaviour of tissues and organs as homografts with regard to survival time and the histological and functional history of the graft. Through the work of Medawar, Billingham and their colleagues (1956), there is a very complete record of all aspects of the skin homograft, and to this has been added details of histochemical analyses, revascularization, and lymphatic regeneration (Scotchorne, 1953a, 1953b, 1958) and re-innervation (Hutchison, Tough and Wyburn, 1949; Adeymo and Wyburn, 1957).

The position with regard to the long term survival of homografts of endocrine glands is by no means clear (Rob, 1954). In a recent report, Coupland (1958) claims a 100 per cent. survival of six-months-old intraocular homografts of chro-
Is the survival of these homografts merely because a successful 'take' is not vascularized? Does the ground substance simply act like the Millipore membrane as a barrier to the penetration of antibody-containing cells? Are cartilage homografts in virtue of their ground substance non-antigenic? Heterografts, although avascular, are destroyed by the immune reaction. Moreover, Craigmyle (1956) found that whereas there was considerable increase in the weight of regional lymph nodes with heterografts there was no significant change with homografts, indicating the absence of an antigenic stimulus. In the large number of cartilagenous homografts of all ages which we have examined over a number of years, there has never been any evidence of the host reaction in the form of the aggregation of lymphocytes around the graft, to be expected with the formation of antibody. The conclusion from present available evidence is thus that cartilage does not in fact excite a homograft reaction. It has been stated that it is the immunologically inert ground substance that confers survival value on cartilage homografts, and that deprived of this protection the cartilage cells die. We have found, however (Bacsich and Wyburn, 1955), that growing cartilage with a high cell content and little ground substance not only survives but shows active cell proliferation and deposition of ground substance. This is interpreted to imply that it is the active production of the mucopolysaccharides by the cells which gives protection against or prevents host reaction, rather than the quantitative characteristics of the tissue, although little is known concerning the chemical mechanism of this intracellular process. Nor do we know very much about the life span of normal chondrocytes, whether they are renewed from time to time, or last throughout the life of the cartilage, but it seems improbable that the graft chondrocytes could synthesise a chondroitin sulphate antigenic to the host tissue. In this respect it can therefore be argued that if there is 'replacement' it is not by host tissue, but by material elaborated by the native cells of the graft. There is some clinical evidence of the protective nature of the mucoproteins and their influence on both the local and general host reactions to living foreign elements. In 1936, Nungster, Jourdonais, and Wolf reported that the simultaneous injection of gastric mucus and bacteria of low virulence into the peritoneal cavity increased the virulence of meninococci, streptococci, and staphylococci, by in some way or other affording them protection from the host reaction, and again there is the well known increased fatality rate associated with the presence of circulating capsular polysaccharides in the blood of patients with pneumococcal pneumonia.

Cartilage autografts are not readily available, so an important practical point is the storage and preservation of homograft material. Unfortunately, glycerol does not protect cartilage from the lethal effects of freezing (Wyburn and Bacsich, 1956; Curran and Gibson, 1956; Alexander, 1957). According to Smith (1954) the optimum rate of cooling for preserving the viability of any cell depends on conflicting factors. The occurrence of thermal shock must be avoided without cooling so slowly as to prolong the harmful effects of concentrated salt solutions during the separation of ice, or to allow the coagulation and loss of solubility of colloidal substances or any other form of intracellular disorganisation. There is a critical range of temperature in which this harmful action occurs. If cells remain more than a few seconds within this critical range they are irreversibly damaged (Lovelock, 1953). The protective action of glycerol in freezing is due to a narrowing of this range of critical temperature (Lovelock, 1954). The eutectic temperature for the mixture of salts and other substances within the cells determines the lower limit of the critical range for any tissue. Obviously, the final storage temperature must lie beyond the critical zone.

There are differences of opinion about the histological progress of the corneal homograft, but a unanimous verdict concerning its clinical success under proper conditions. Its behaviour as an orthotopic homograft is therefore quite different from the skin homograft and whether, as is maintained by Maumenee (1953) its epithelium is finally replaced by host epithelium or not, a successful 'take' does not slough off leaving a raw area as it would if a homograft reaction were elicited with antibody-containing cells marshalled to the graft site and the invasion of its substance. It would be surprising if a delicate operation like corneal grafting, often dealing with unhealthy recipient tissue, could mark up 100 per cent. successes. The argument that, because of the inevitable odd failure or delayed clouding of a graft, corneal tissue is therefore antigenic surely qualifies as post hoc ergo propter hoc (Nelken Michaelson and Gurevitch, 1958). Again, the plea that the avascularity of the clinical corneal graft is responsible for the absence of any homograft reaction is not valid. Intracocular grafts and heterologous corneal grafts readily become vascularized. Moreover, according to Craigmyle a subcutaneous corneal graft elicits no response from the regional lymph nodes.

If the clinical success of the corneal homograft were merely a fortuitous result of place and circumstance, heterotopic grafts of whole cornea, not just the epithelium, should be destroyed.

Our personal observations have been made on a large series of subcutaneous corneal homografts
in the guinea pig, examined histologically, and tested for viability by the uptake of $^{35}$S from the host at intervals ranging from three weeks to five months (Bacsich and Wyburn, 1955, 1957). The experiments also included examination of second sets of corneal grafts from the same donor. Healthy viable grafts were recovered up to three months. After three months most of the grafts were absorbed, as indeed may happen to many soft tissue non-glandular autografts. The majority of the recovered grafts formed inverted cysts with a lining of intact corneal epithelium, Figs. 1 and 2. In the non-cystic grafts the epithelium had disappeared by three weeks, but there was no evidence of host reaction, and the ground substance was normal and viable. Cystic formation does not by itself confer protection from a host reaction, as the behaviour of skin homografts which form cysts when implanted subcutaneously is identical with that of orthotopic controls and after three weeks the epithelium has degenerated. The behaviour of the second set of homografts was no different from that of the first set, with no evidence of donor recipient reaction, Figs. 3 and 4. The mucopolysaccharides which form the bulk of the ground substance of cornea and cartilage have a low protein content and are predominantly carbohydrates. Antigenicity is not a 'sine qua non' of all the giant molecules of living substance. It is not unreasonable to suppose that the carbohydrate polymers are not individually specific, and they are in sufficient quantity in these tissues to confer neutrality.

As with cartilage, the question of the preservation of the cornea and an available 'bank' of material is of importance. Rob and Eastcott (1954) had successful corneal homografts with glycerol-treated material stored at $-79^\circ$C. for a period of months. The fact that such grafts remain translucent is evidence of their viability, and this was further confirmed by the uptake of $^{35}$S from the host by grafts previously stored in this way (Bacsich and Wyburn, 1954). On the other hand,
grants stored by freeze-drying do not survive (Bacsich and Wyburn, 1954).

The purpose of a nerve graft is not to provide a functioning replacement, but a tissue bridge for the regenerating nerve fibres to cross from the central to the peripheral stump. What is asked of a homograft is that it should remain viable until the crossing is complete. Once the gap is bridged by the regenerating fibres the support of the graft tissue appears to be no longer essential. Sheath cells can spread from the central stump and the host connective tissue elements replace the fibrous framework of the reconstituted nerve. Nerve homografts are equal to this limited task in rabbits (Sanders and Young, 1942) and in cats (Bentley and Hill, 1936), but despite a spate of experimental work during and immediately after the recent war, there is no reliable report of a successful human nerve homograft. Perhaps because of this dismal record there is today only a trickle of work on nerve grafts, and yet it should not be an insuperable problem to keep the homograft alive for a limited time. The explanation that the failure of the human nerve homograft is merely a question of relative size—a larger gap, a longer homograft, more difficult to vascularize, and a greater length of regenerating nerve fibres—is not wholly satisfactory. Quite large human nerve autografts 'take' and are repopulated with regenerating fibres (Barnes, Bacsich and Wyburn, 1945a). The tempo of events in an organism is generally geared to the dimensions of the task in space and time, and it is thus doubtful if it is altogether justified to assume that the rate of regeneration of nerve fibres is the same for man and the rabbit.

In all the human nerve homografts which have been examined histologically, the regenerating fibres have penetrated some distance into the graft which here retains the structural framework of a nerve. It has been suggested (Sanders, 1954) that the length of graft innervated is correlated to the total size of the graft, indicating a dosage effect—the longer the graft the bigger the antigen dose and so the more rapid the onset of the immune reaction. Out of a series of eight nerve homografts, three of which were examined histologically (Barnes, Bacsich, Wyburn and Kerr, 1945b), the proportions were—25 mm. in 18-cm. graft, 12 mm. in a 9-cm. graft, and 15 mm. in a 7-cm. graft. There are likely to be many variables in individual host reactions, the most significant the genetic rather than the size factor. It is agreed that there is complete replacement of graft by host tissue elements, but what is interesting is that the structural architecture of the non-innervated part of the graft is retained for a long time. In our series, the fibrous framework of a typical nerve was recognizable after a year, although some of the bundles had a necrotic centre and others were partially filled with a cellular connective tissue. After 955 days there was no longer any fascicular organization, only a homogeneous fibrous mass. Obviously a 'ghost' skeleton of the graft survived the immediate homograft reaction and organized the replacing host connective tissue in the first instance. One incidental observation emerging from nerve-graft work is the latent regenerative capacity of neurons. In our series, fibres regenerated from the central stump after two years. Presumably, isolation from peripheral or muscular connection does not necessarily mean the death of the neuron, and the viable nerve cell can always regenerate its processes. In what way the mechanical stimulus of freshening a central stump stirs up the distant nerve cell is not known.

There is, obviously, worthwhile work still to be done in nerve homografts, particularly in respect of the pre-treatment of the graft.

The bone homograft, whether implanted into bone, muscle, or intracoally, dies and can be recovered as a sequestrum up to a year or later. The behaviour of the bone graft is unique in two ways. The graft never takes. Examined within a few days the cortical lacunae are empty both in autografts and homografts, but in the homograft there is no sign of living bone cells, whereas in the autograft there are living bone cells subperiosteally and lining haversian canals and marrow spaces (Hutchison, 1952). By 14 days these cells are active in new bone formation, while the homograft is a sequestrum. Secondly, bone is the only transplant which as autograft or homograft can induce the host tissue to reproduce the grafted tissue. Host osteogenesis commences about 10 days after an implant of homogeneous bone into muscle and ceases, in rabbits at any rate, after 42 days. In one experiment when the bone homograft was extruded after three days there was new host bone at the graft site examined two weeks later (Wyburn and Bacsich, 1956). The use of the bone graft, autograft or homograft, is mainly as a stimulus to new bone formation by the host tissues, and maybe in future the answer to bone loss and repair will not be the bone graft, but the local induction of new bone formation. As previously noted (Wyburn, 1957), certain general conclusions about the induction of host osteogenesis by bone homografts can be stated:

1. The inductive factor or factors is not specific as it is effective although delayed in homogeneous transplants;

2. Induction is not exclusively the function of bone cells, as the cells of homogeneous grafts die and, moreover, host osteogenesis has been re-
ported with frozen grafts after an interval of 30 days;

(3) The more immediate and greater inductive response to fresh autogenous grafts suggests a quantitative or qualitative difference in inductive capacity, probably related to the presence of living osteoblasts.

There are, as is well known, many conditions, experimental, pathological, other than bone grafting that can induce osteogenesis not only in bone but in the soft tissues. Over the years there have been a great many theories as to the nature of the osteogenetic stimulus and we still await the right one.

In this connection, recent work by Sobel (1955) gives promise of a new starting point for an experimental approach to osteogenesis. Sobel used the technique of reversible in vitro calcification to demonstrate that the first step in mineralization is the combination of Ca++ with some constituent in the calcifiable tissue. He showed that other ions (e.g. strontium) can compete with the calcium ions in the calcifying fluid, and by combining reversibly with this substance can prevent calcification, i.e. can inactivate the tissue. Re-activation of the calcifiable tissue is a function of the ratio of activator to inactivator ions, Text Fig. 1. The mineral element of bone, small crystals of hydroxyapatite a few hundred Angstrom units in length are first deposited in ossifying tissue around the repeating bands of the collagen fibres. There is some evidence that the mucopolysaccharide, chondroitin sulphate, is an integral part of the calcifying mechanism. Sulphur-containing mucopolysaccharides appear wherever calcification occurs in normal or abnormal situations. Calcification in vitro of rachitic cartilage is inhibited by toluidine blue which competes with Ca++ in the same way as inactivator ions, and so in this case a specific target—the chondroitin sulphate can be assumed to be the calcifying factor. Moreover, Ca++ up to a certain concentration causes a change in the polymerization of the chondroitin sulphate complex so that metachromatically it becomes more active.

Sobel suggests that the significant event in the formation of a calcifiable tissue is an altered polymerization which promotes a complex of chondroitin sulphate with collagen in a critical configuration. Given the necessary calcium and phosphate ions in the circulating fluids, this complex acts as a template and provides a possible mechanism for the epitactic (seeding) growth of bone crystals. The next step is to experiment with complexes obtained by condensing chondroitin sulphate from different varieties of collagen and to test them for mineralization by the method of in vitro calcification.

It may be that with the homograft problem, as with the problem of malignant disease, or with the still more intractable enigma, the translation of nerve impulses into conscious sensations, we have reached a barrier and require a new set of concepts before we can break through, just as Pasteur's microbes, Müller's mutations, and the physicist's non-conservation of parity have in their turn broken through to new frontiers. The lessons of history encourage the conviction that sooner or later the homograft will achieve his breakthrough to a successful solution of the problem—a success that will rank along with insulin and penicillin as one of the great advances in medicine.
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The literature contains many reports of cases in which a symptom-free interval between injury and strangulation of a traumatic diaphragmatic hernia can be measured in months. The latent period in this case was over 33 years. Mild bowel symptoms culminating in acute intestinal obstruction suggested a diagnosis of carcinoma of the colon. The correct diagnosis was not made because the small scars on the chest wall were not deemed relevant; because the shadow of the metallic fragment in the abdominal radiograph was likewise disregarded; and because the original injury was looked upon as an unimportant fact of remote history.

Summary

A case of traumatic herniation of the left hemidiaphragm due to penetrating injury is described.

Following wounding in 1918 there was a long interval without symptoms.

Acute obstruction of the colon developed 33\(\frac{1}{2}\) years later preceded only by a few months of mild premonitory symptoms.
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