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Introduction
A whole series of new drugs has become available for the treatment of certain forms of psychiatric illness and the interest they have evoked is reflected in the hundreds of publications on their use and action and in the pharmaceutical research which continually adds some new compound to the already formidable list of tranquillizers. These drugs are characterized by their ability to produce sedation without hypnosis and Fabing (1955) has suggested the term 'ataraxia' to denote the peace of mind and freedom from mental disturbance which it is claimed they produce.

Although the indications for the use of reserpine and chlorpromazine, which have been in large-scale use for three or four years, are becoming clearer, the position with regard to the newer tranquillizers is by no means certain, and it seems appropriate to make some critical review of the information at present available concerning the mode of action and clinical uses of these drugs.

Site and Mode of Action
Research on the neurophysiological action of chlorpromazine and reserpine has been summarized by Hinwich (1955). It seems that these drugs exert a central action on the hypothalamus and also on the mesendiencephalic alerting system (Rinaldi and Hinwich, 1955) which comprises the reticular formation of Moruzzi and Magoun (1949) and the thalamic diffuse cortical projections of Jasper (1949). By depressing the hypothalamus they lower basal metabolism, body temperature and blood pressure and they also influence the anterior pituitary, causing disturbance of hormonal balance, which leads to lactation and amenorrhoea in some women. In general, they thus produce parasympathomimetic effects and, in addition, chlorpromazine has a peripheral blocking action on sympathetic ganglia.

Stimulation of the mesendiencephalic alerting system produces characteristic low amplitude rapid waves in the E.E.G. Reserpine evokes a similar pattern of stimulation (though at the same time depressing the hypothalamus), while chlorpromazine in small doses blocks this pattern, but in large doses reverses its action and stimulates the alerting system. A side reaction of this stimulation is the development of a Parkinsonian syndrome, such as occurs when the reticular system is released from control by lesions of the basal ganglia.

Himwich et al. (1956) suggest that depression of the mesendiencephalic system has a calming effect on disturbed behaviour and postulate that this action, characteristic of chlorpromazine, might assist in the therapeutic screening of new phenothiazine derivatives.

An interesting aspect of recent research on tranquillizing drugs has been their effect on the action of indole hallucinogens. Some of these drugs (chlorpromazine and azacyclonol) are said to ameliorate the 'model psychosis' produced by hallucinogenic agents (e.g. lysergic acid diethylamide [LSD] and mescaline) in normal subjects. Considerable speculation centres on the role of serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine), a non-hallucinogenic indole normally present in brain, which is said to antagonize the action of LSD (Gaddum, 1953). It is suggested (Wooley and Shaw, 1954a, b) that serotonin concentration influences the development of some mental disorders. The precise mechanism is still undetermined, but it seems possible that tranquillizing drugs may alter behaviour by their influence on serotonin (Himwich, 1955).

Classification
The tranquilizing drugs are difficult to classify by chemical structure, although phenothiazine derivatives form a homogeneous group. Their neurophysiological properties might be used as a basis for grouping, but at present it seems best to follow a clinical classification as suggested by Lieberman and Vaughan (1956).

They may thus be broadly divided into the more powerful tranquillizers, which are principally of use in psychoses, and the less powerful, which are used mainly in neuroses.

More Powerful Tranquillizers
Phenothiazine Derivatives
Chlorpromazine was the first of these compounds
to be utilized in psychiatric treatment by Delay and his co-workers. Its action is typical of other members of this group and its main use is in the reduction of tension and the control of psycho-motor over-activity. An enormous literature has accumulated round chlorpromazine and, while most authors agree that its value in neurotic illness is limited save in tension states (Garmany et al., 1954), and that it has little effect on depression, there is considerable evidence supporting its usefulness in disturbed over-active and aggressive psychotics. Many workers (e.g. Bird et al., 1955) report that electro-convulsion therapy (E.C.T.) has been superseded as a method of treatment in these cases. The majority of opinion holds that chlorpromazine is of benefit only in symptomatic control, but some workers (Labhardt, 1954; Lomas, 1955) consider that it alters the content of schizophrenic psychosis, and in some hospitals it has replaced insulin as a method of treatment of schizophrenia (Boardman et al., 1956; Bowes, 1956). Chlorpromazine has also proved valuable in the management of senile agitated patients and in disturbed over-active children.

The initial dosage of chlorpromazine is 25 to 50 mg. three or four times daily, but intramuscular injection of 50 to 100 mg. is indicated for rapid control of disturbed behaviour. Therapeutic effects in psychotic patients often require a high maintenance dosage and this may be in the region of 800 mg. daily (Freeman et al., 1956).

The complications of chlorpromazine therapy have been reviewed by Cohen (1956). The majority are of minor importance and seem due to action on the hypothalamus and autonomic nervous system. They include drowsiness, hypotension, constipation, menstrual disorders and lactation. A second group of allergic phenomena covers a variety of skin rashes ranging from erythema to generalized exfoliative dermatitis. Photosensitivity is fairly common in patients exposed to sunlight and contact dermatitis occurs in sensitized nursing staff. Severe toxic effects include jaundice in about 1.4 per cent. of cases (Kinross-Wright and Moyer, 1956). Chronic alcoholism, liver damage, pregnancy, vomiting or anorexia may predispose to this complication, which usually occurs in the first four weeks of therapy and which takes the form of biliary obstruction with bile plugs in intra-lobular canaliculi. Jaundice usually disappears in a week, even though chlorpromazine therapy is continued, but most workers recommend stopping the drug temporarily. On heavy dosage Parkinsonism sometimes develops, but this is reversible on decreasing the dosage. Agranulocytosis is a rare and sometimes fatal complication and a possibility which requires vigilance, especially when employing high dosages for a long period. Ayd (1956) believes that the skin lesions, hepatitis and agranulocytosis are manifestations of drug sensitivity resulting from the chemical structure of chlorpromazine.

Other phenothiazine derivatives have been less thoroughly investigated. In general, their clinical effects are similar to those of the prototype and dosages are roughly parallel, with the exception of acetylprozamime, which is reported to be of about twice the strength of chlorpromazine.

Promazine is apparently less toxic than chlorpromazine and so far no cases of jaundice have been described. On high dosages (about 1,400 mg. daily) generalized tremor has been reported (Mangun and Webb, 1956), while epileptiform fits have occurred in some cases (Voegele and May, 1957) and one instance of agranulocytosis is recorded. Promazine seems especially useful in the management of the withdrawal symptoms of alcoholism (Mitchell, 1956), where it has the advantage of absence of liver toxicity, and it is in general an acceptable substitute for chlorpromazine.

Mepazine (pacatal) has evoked a number of contradictory reports. Besides a high proportion of side-effects, including dryness of the mouth, constipation, hypotonia and difficulty in visual accommodation, the occurrence of jaundice and agranulocytosis has led some authors to emphasize the need for caution in its use (Mitchell et al., 1957). On the other hand, several workers (e.g. Bowes, 1956) consider that pacatal is an effective alternative to chlorpromazine in cases which do not respond to the latter drug.

Acetylprozamime is a relative newcomer and there are few reports about it at present. Its indications are those of the other phenothiazines, but it is possibly less toxic.

Reserpine, chemically unrelated to the phenothiazines, is one of several alkaloids isolated from the root of a plant, Rauwolfia serpentina. There have been many controlled studies on reserpine and from these it appears that it is of little use in neuroses (Davies and Shepherd, 1955; Folkson and May, 1955), its main indications being the restless aggressive over-active behaviour of psychotics (e.g. Wing, 1956; Lieberman and Vaughan, 1957). Although the majority of opinion concedes the value of reserpine in the management of disturbed psychotic patients, some workers (e.g. Penman and Dredge, 1956) conclude it has little effect, but there is fairly general agreement that it does not influence the underlying psychotic process.

The initial dosage of reserpine varies with the individual patient. There is a lag of three to five days before full effects are felt with oral doses, but intramuscular injection of 5 to 10 mg. usually
controls aggressive and violent behaviour within 24 hours. It is necessary to press dosage to the maximum to achieve the best results and this may involve giving up to 8 mg. daily by mouth (Freeman et al., 1956). Some patients pass through a 'turbulent phase' early in treatment, when over-activity and restlessness may be temporarily increased, but this usually subsides in a few days and the drug need not be reduced (Barsa and Kline, 1956a). Depression, sometimes of suicidal intensity, may complicate reserpine therapy and has occurred in some patients even with low dosages. Ritalin, or E.C.T. in severe cases, may be required to control the depression and the drug should be discontinued. This depressive reaction suggests that reserpine is of value in manic excitement and it has proved possible to abort attacks in patients subject to recurrent episodes. Other side reactions of reserpine include drowsiness, dizziness, diarrhoea, hypotension and nasal stuffiness. Excess salivation may be controlled by tinct. belladonna. More severe effects, which may arise with heavier and prolonged dosage, are Parkinsonism, oedema of the face and feet and convulsive seizures. It appears that reserpine modifies the effect of E.C.T. (Ferguson, 1956) and fatalities have occurred with this combination of treatment (Bracha and Hes, 1956).

Reserpine combined with chlorpromazine, in the proportion of 1 mg. to 100 mg. respectively, is considered by some authors (e.g. Tuteur, 1955) superior to reserpine alone, since the drugs appear to act synergistically and undesirable side-effects are reduced owing to the lower therapeutic dosages required.

*Phenothiazine derivatives* include chlorpromazine, Mepazine, Promazine, Acetylpromazine, Reserpine, Azacyclonol, Benactyzine, Meprobamate, Methylpentynol. These are listed in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic name</th>
<th>Proprietary name</th>
<th>Starting dose‡</th>
<th>Maximum dosage‡</th>
<th>Principal side effects§</th>
<th>Principal toxic effects‖</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpromazine*</td>
<td>Thorazine Largactil</td>
<td>25 mg. t.d.s.</td>
<td>800–1,000 mg. dly.</td>
<td>Tachycardia, Constipation, Skin rashes</td>
<td>Jaundice, Parkinsonism, Agranulocytosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mepazine*</td>
<td>Pacatal</td>
<td>25 mg. t.d.s.</td>
<td>600 mg. daily</td>
<td>Drowsiness, Dry mouth, Constipation, Visual disturbance</td>
<td>Ataxia, Agranulocytosis, Jaundice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promazine*</td>
<td>Sparine</td>
<td>25 mg. t.d.s.</td>
<td>800–1,000 mg. dly.</td>
<td>Hypotension, Urticaria</td>
<td>Tremor, Epileptic fits, Agranulocytosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetylpromazine*</td>
<td>Notensil</td>
<td>10 mg. t.d.s.</td>
<td>300 mg. daily</td>
<td>Tachycardia, Hypotension</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserpine</td>
<td>Quiescin Serpasil</td>
<td>½–1 mg. t.d.s.</td>
<td>12–15 mg. daily</td>
<td>Nasal stuffiness, Diarrhoea, Depression, Hypotension</td>
<td>Parkinsonism, Oedema, Convulsions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azacyclonol</td>
<td>Frenquel</td>
<td>20 mg. t.d.s.</td>
<td>400 mg. daily</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benactyzine</td>
<td>Suavitil Lucidil</td>
<td>1–2 mg. t.d.s.</td>
<td>12 mg. daily</td>
<td>Heaviness of limbs, Dry mouth</td>
<td>Giddiness, Ataxia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cevanol Nutinal</td>
<td>400 mg. t.d.s.</td>
<td>2,400 mg. daily</td>
<td>Drowsiness, Rashes, Urticaria</td>
<td>(Fits on sudden withdrawal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meprobamate</td>
<td>Milltown Equanil</td>
<td>400 mg. t.d.s.</td>
<td>2,400 mg. daily</td>
<td>Dizziness, Rashes, Urticaria</td>
<td>Resemble alcoholic intoxication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mepavlon</td>
<td>250–500 mg. t.d.s.</td>
<td>1,500 mg. + daily</td>
<td>Dizziness</td>
<td>Resemble alcoholic intoxication</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Phenothiazine derivatives* are listed in the table as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic name</th>
<th>Proprietary name</th>
<th>Starting dose†</th>
<th>Maximum dosage‡</th>
<th>Principal side effects§</th>
<th>Principal toxic effects‖</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Phenothiazine derivatives</em></td>
<td>†Safe’ oral dose, exceeded if indicated.</td>
<td>†Toxic effects possible.</td>
<td>§May occur with therapeutic dosage.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
available in this country and American reports are contradictory. Fabing (1955b) described its effect in blocking the development of LSD and mescaline psychosis, and particularly in counteracting hallucinations. Subsequent reports (Fabing and Hawkins, 1955; Rinaldi et al., 1955) indicated its possible use in controlling behaviour in disturbed schizophrenic patients by reducing the intensity of hallucinations and delusions, but later studies (e.g. Barsa and Kline, 1956b) have failed to show that it has any therapeutic effect. Sargent (1956) suggests that fenriquel may be of use in some cases of intractable depression when combined with E.C.T. All authors agree on the absence of toxicity and side-effects.

Less Powerful Tranquillizers

*Meprobamate* acts on the central nervous system only without affecting autonomic functions. Its main effect seems to be the alleviation of anxiety and tension, and it also functions as a muscle relaxant. In America, under the name of 'Mil- town,' it enjoys a tremendous vogue as a tranquillizer and encouraging reports of its use in neurotic patients have been summarized by Berger (1956). It is suggested that meprobamate is more effective than barbiturates in the relief of anxiety and tension (Borrus, 1955; Selling, 1955), but this is not supported by West and da Fonseca (1956), who found no marked difference in a controlled trial. It appears that considerable care is necessary in selection of cases, as in some individuals tension is actually increased (Folkson, 1957). Early reports stressed the low toxicity of meprobamate, but this has recently been refuted (Brit. med. J., 1956). Skin lesions, sometimes of a purpuric nature, are known to occur, while drowsiness may be a serious matter in patients driving a car. The sense of euphoria produced in some patients may encourage addiction and overdosage, with signs of intoxication, dysarthria and inco-ordination, and withdrawal after large doses may lead to convulsions (Lemere, 1956).

*Benactyzine* has anti-cholinergic properties and is believed to block certain afferent pathways, thus protecting higher centres of the brain against external stress. It is said to be of benefit in the relief of anxiety and tension (e.g. Davies, 1956). Some workers, however, state that benactyzine has no advantage over inert tablets (Forbes and Earle, 1957) and the favourable conclusions drawn from a controlled trial by Hargreaves et al. (1957) have been severely criticized by Hare (1957) and Taylor (1957). General experience suggests that benactyzine is of very limited use as a tranquillizer. 'Heaviness' of the limbs, drowsiness and dryness of the mouth have been reported as side-effects.

*Methylpentynol* is a higher alcohol, which acts as a central nervous depressant and is a mild sedative. Its effect is short-lived, though the carbamate has a more prolonged action. It is said to allay anxiety, but its use is probably limited to the relief of mild physiological anxiety and its principal drawback is its short duration of action. Recently Marley and Chambers (1956) have drawn attention to its potential toxicity and describe a clinical picture resembling acute alcoholic intoxication, which in some cases may result from ingestion of only small quantities of the drug.

**Discussion**

It is apparent that the tranquilizing drugs are in different stages of evaluation and it is impossible to state categorically the clinical indications for all of them with any certainty.

The difficulties of assessment arise out of lack of precision in the measurement of symptomatic and behavioural changes, which must be largely subjective and liable to bias. Even 'double-blind' trials are to some extent invalidated by the distinctive side-effects of many drugs, and the favourable reaction of patients to inert placebo tablets increases the difficulty of obtaining adequate control material.

The psycho-sociological implications of tranquillizer therapy have been recently discussed by Szasz (1957), who suggests that the drugs function in essence as chemical straitjackets, and thus people other than those who receive the drug are, in fact, being treated. The danger of such chemical restraint lies in the tolerance with which it is accepted by society, although it may not be in the best interests of the patient. Dickel and Dixon (1957) have drawn attention to a recent change in attitude of society, which has developed a philosophy that intimates that fear and anxiety are evidence of illness and necessitate therapy, despite the fact that these and the allied emotions of tension and worry have been, and always will be, important elements in the shaping of progress and the development of personality. These authors also stress the danger to the patient's physical and emotional health. They studied the effect of tranquillizers in 8,200 patients with anxiety as the main symptom and found, besides allergic phenomena, habituation and other severe physical symptoms, that in 20 per cent. of cases serious emotional problems were created in essentially normal people.

The indiscriminate use of these drugs is a matter for concern. Apart from the cost, the dangers of toxicity and the paucity in many cases of adequate clinical trials constitute good reason for a cautious and questioning attitude (Lancet, 1957; Ministry of Health, 1957).

The present drugs are only forerunners of many
more to come. Research over a period of time will
alone provide a proper perspective in each case and,
in the meantime, general prescription of tranquilizers should be limited to those which have been extensively tested and reported upon and where the toxic effects are known and can be anticipated.

Conclusion

The phenothiazine tranquilizers and reserpine are valuable drugs in psychotic illness, particularly in the management and control of over-active aggressive behaviour and the confusion and excitement of the acutely disturbed patient. There is as yet little evidence that they influence the underlying psychotic process.

Certain drugs, notably chlorpromazine and meperbamate, appear to reduce tension in neurotic patients, but, in general, the effect of current tranquilizers on these patients is disappointing and barbiturates are probably as effective in controlling symptoms.

The toxic reactions produced by tranquilizing drugs vary in severity, but it is wise to regard all as potentially dangerous, certainly during the early stages of their introduction.

As with most new drugs, initial assessments of the value of tranquilizers have tended to be over-rated. Uncritical enthusiasm harbours dangers as well as disappointments and in many cases proper evaluation of these drugs must be deferred pending adequate clinical experience.
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