THE TREATMENT OF VENOUS THROMBOSIS
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The introduction of the anticoagulant drugs promoted widespread interest in venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism, and a considerable literature has accumulated in the last decade or so. Few methods of treatment are as good as they at first seem to be and certainly anticoagulant therapy is no exception to this. Quite apart from over-enthusiastic reports on the value of the anticoagulant drugs, many misleading claims have been made for other forms of treatment such as paravertebral block and vein ligation. It is certainly more easy to assess the value of these various methods of treatment at the present time than was the case a few years ago. The purpose of this article is to discuss the prevention and treatment of venous thrombosis without any detailed consideration of aetiology and pathology.

Incidence

Relevant to this discussion is the actual incidence of thrombosis. The recorded incidence can be increased or decreased at least threefold by different standards of diagnosis, and every individual or institution with an interest in thrombosis has encountered difficulties from this source. A seemingly trivial sign may be succeeded by extensive thrombosis and an apparently slight thrombosis can be followed by serious incapacity many years later. The assessment of the immediate results in cases of astutely diagnosed thrombosis may justify the criticism that, say, two out of three cases must be ‘unnecessarily’ treated in order to benefit the third. But the long-term assessment of such cases may show that post-thrombotic sequelae are materially less common in the treated group, and a good deal more information is needed about this aspect of the problem. These factors are but a few amongst many which are difficult to assess fairly and fully. Added to these considerations are the many problems posed by different sampling of material; by widely varying systems of treatment and, finally, by the difficulty of assessing objectively the actual results achieved.

Prevention

Prevention is a worthy objective but it is necessary to have a clear idea of the pathogenesis of thrombosis and of what one is trying to prevent. It is customary to list venous stasis, trauma and blood changes as the basic factors in thrombosis, but the detailed research which has gone into these aspects of aetiology has not yielded any effective or practicable method of prophylaxis suitable for general use. Different authorities underline this or that aspect of aetiology, but it is generally unwise to emphasise one aspect to the exclusion of others.

Venous Stasis. The type of patient in whom venous stasis, and possibly vein trauma, is of importance is well exemplified by cases of thrombosis and pulmonary embolism occurring in those who spent the night sitting on deck chairs in air-raid shelters. A number of cases of ‘idiopathic’ thrombosis are attributable to prolonged sitting in trains, cars or aircraft (Homans 1954), or to dozing for long periods on beach chairs.

Early Ambulation. Relevant to this matter of venous stasis is the question of early rising and ambulation following operations and childbirth. Other things being equal, a patient who returns rapidly to normal activity is less likely to develop thrombosis than one who lies fallow in bed. Some have claimed a striking reduction in venous thrombosis following early ambulation, but the consensus of opinion would suggest that rising on the first or second day after an operation does not greatly reduce thrombosis, and that the incidence of fatal embolism is unchanged (Blodgett and Beattie, 1946; McCann, 1950). There are, of course, considerable differences in the interpretation of such terms as early rising and ambulation and it can be very difficult to ascertain the exact practice in another hospital. Moreover, early rising may be anything but beneficial in some cases. For example, an old, unwell or unwilling patient, huddled up in an armchair with bent knees and chilled extremities, must surely be at greater risk for thrombosis than if he is snugly comfortable and active in bed with the foot of the bed raised six inches. The almost indecent desire of some surgeons to get patients early from their beds has sometimes led to the neglect of active movements in the bed. The report from the Lahey Clinic that a hospital with
The normal relaxed calf muscle is shown in Fig. 1. Aching or stiffness in the legs sometimes precedes more obvious signs by several days. Examination of the legs with hips and knees semi-flexed and feet comfortably on the bed may reveal localized tenderness and increased girth and consistency of the calf muscles. The normal relaxed calf muscle shakes like a jelly, but one related to an underlying thrombosis feels like a stiff blancmange. The soleus, gastrocnemius and, occasionally, the thigh muscles are the most likely site of physical signs. That well-localized muscle tenderness can be exactly related to a thrombosed intramuscular vein is shown by the specimen illustrated in Fig. 1.

Subcutaneous oedema of localized distribution is sometimes related to a thrombosed superficial
an associated leg vein thrombosis from being missed.

Diagnostic Tests. Much effort has been made to devise a reliable laboratory test for early thrombosis. Though a number of observers have recorded shortening of the clotting time at the onset of thrombosis, this is rarely a feature prior to the onset of trouble. The bulk of experience suggests that daily clotting times (Bergquist, 1945), heparin response tests (de Takats, 1943), estimation of Fibrinogen B (Cummine and Lyons, 1948), thrombin effect (Bergquist, 1945), platelet counts and adhesiveness (Payling Wright, 1941 and 1942), plasma prothrombin (Shapiro et al., 1942), are of no practical value in predicting thrombosis. Many of these tests have been reviewed previously (Murley, 1950) and more recent publications seem to confirm that none of them is reliable.

Which Signs Justify Therapy? It is reasonable to ask which cases, if any, can be left to take care of themselves and which demand definitive treatment. Whereas some signs should merely alert the clinician, others may be regarded as absolute indications for treatment. An alerting sign may constitute a good reason for starting anticoagulants in a patient who has had previous thrombosis, whereas an absolute indication may not justify therapy in certain cases. At risk of appearing somewhat dogmatic these two groups of signs are set out in Table 1, together with certain ‘loading’ and ‘cautionary’ factors. Suspicious pyrexia, the first of the alerting signs, may be defined as an otherwise unexplained pyrexia in a patient who is at risk for thrombosis. Even such a sign as this occurring alone in a patient who has had previous trouble may sometimes justify treatment. In respect of the absolute indications set out in Table 1, it is important to consider carefully the hazards before embarking on treatment.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indications for Treatment of Thrombosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alerting Signs (Relative Indications):</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspicious pyrexia; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aching leg muscles; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tender muscles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Loading Factors:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous thrombosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease with special risk of thrombosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Absolute Signs:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgid and tense muscles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oedema.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary embolism.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spreading superficial thrombosis in a recumbent patient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or more of the relative indications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cautionary Factors:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases with risk of bleeding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases with extensive infarction of lung and risk of haemothorax, etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Choice of Drugs

When, as is commonly the case, an immediate effect is required heparin is the drug of choice. Swedish authorities suggested that four intravenous injections at four-hourly intervals by day (with none between 8 p.m. and 8 a.m.) would suffice; but it is difficult to accept a regime which implies no anticoagulant cover for about eight hours of the night while the patient is immobile. Six-hourly intravenous injections have been used by many but the tendency now is to give heparin four-hourly throughout the whole 24 hours. The use of indwelling needles with rubber diaphragms or of polythene tubing has greatly facilitated this regime.

Following the introduction of heparin in Pitkin's menstruum for subcutaneous injection a number of delayed-action preparations have been made for intramuscular use. Owing to the variable rate of absorption of the drug, and the risk of summation of dosage, these long-acting preparations have not achieved wide popularity. However, for the patient who has to remain on heparin for some time they do sometimes fulfil a very definite need. Continuation of heparin beyond the first 48 hours or so of treatment is only indicated when immediate neutralization of the anticoagulant effect must be possible (e.g. cases with serious risk of haemorrhage), and when there are not adequate laboratory facilities for the control of prothrombin level.

In the ordinary way prolonged treatment is best effected with one of the slow-acting synthetic drugs of which several are now available. Most clinicians prefer one of the shorter-acting drugs such as bis-3-3'-oxycoumarinyl) ethyl acetate* or phenylindanedione rather than the more persistent dicoumarol. It is unprofitable to argue the relative merits of these drugs since their safety factors will depend upon both the clinician's and pathologist's experience in their use.

Heparin. In an average adult 10,000 to 12,500 units intravenously is the initial dose followed by 10,000 units four hourly. The value of routine estimations of the clotting time during treatment is arguable, but if such tests are used, they should be done at a regular time interval after injection. In the event of bleeding, 10 ml. of 1 per cent. protamine sulphate solution will neutralize 10,000 units of heparin in a few minutes. With slower-acting intramuscular heparin a second dose of protamine may be needed four hours later to counteract further heparin released from the muscle depot.

The Dicoumarol Group of Drugs. It is un-

*Also called 'Tromexan', B.O.E.A. or ethylbiscoumaracetate.
FIG. 2.—Post-operative thrombosis well controlled by phenylindanedione. Note steady dosage throughout treatment.

FIG. 3.—Coronary Thrombosis. Irregular control during first ten days due to poor selection of phenylindanedione dosage.
caffeine and other purine derivatives lower the plasma prothrombin and should be avoided or used carefully. The menstrual blood loss is rarely excessive during oral anticoagulant therapy, but in the early puerperium it is safer to use heparin. If intramuscular injection of other drugs is necessary during treatment, firm pressure should be maintained at the injection site for a few minutes to discourage haematoma formation.

The Period of Treatment. It is most difficult to give an authoritative answer to the question as to how long treatment should be given. Certainly it should continue until all signs of active trouble are gone; pyrexia settled, and the patient fully mobile. On the average this will mean full dosage for a period of about two weeks in early peripheral vein thrombosis and proportionately longer in other cases. Now that better long-acting anticoagulants are available it is sometimes a good idea to discharge the patient home to attend for check-up at the laboratory twice a week. In these circumstances treatment can continue for three or four weeks and even for very much longer. At the end of the course of treatment it is probably wise to tail-off dosage for the sudden withdrawal of anticoagulant may be followed by reactivation of thrombosis. By gradually reducing the dose during the last week and avoiding too short a course of treatment it is probable that there is less chance of recurrence.

When Should the Patient Get Up? The patient should remain in bed so long as his general condition compels this, and if he is at risk for embolism or has a painful leg. In untreated cases fatal embolism quite often occurs when the patient gets up, and embolism may also occur at this time in a patient who is inadequately treated or who gets up too soon. Such pathological evidence as is available would suggest that a 'waving' thrombus in a peripheral vein becomes quite well organized within a few days (Hadfield, 1950). For these various reasons it would seem logical to keep the patient in bed for from four to seven days after adequate anticoagulant control has been established, unless there are still contraindications to rising.

Adjunct Measures. Elevation of the foot of the bed by 4 in. or 6 in. assists the venous return and this is of especial value at night when muscular activity is slight.

The value of breathing and limb exercises has already been emphasised in connection with prophylaxis.

Support of the legs with firm crepe bandages or elastic stockings should continue for a month after completion of treatment. Patients with residual oedema of a leg may require more prolonged or even permanent support.

Other Methods of Treatment

Paravertebral Block. Although paravertebral block has enjoyed a vogue in certain quarters (Leriche, 1934; Ochsner, 1940), it has not achieved much popularity. On theoretical grounds it would appear to be indicated in those uncommon cases associated with well-marked vascular spasm, but apart from this it would not seem so logical as anticoagulant therapy. When, however, the clinician is skilled in paravertebral block, and when anticoagulant therapy is considered hazardous, there would seem to be a good case for this alternative method of treatment. It should never be combined with anticoagulants for fear of retroperitoneal haemorrhage of which a number of instances have been recorded.

Stellate ganglion block has been recommended in the treatment of severe pulmonary embolism, and it has been claimed that there is dramatic relief of symptoms (Bageant and Rapee, 1947). It is difficult to assess the merits of this claim and doubtful whether it has found any wide acceptance.

Vein Ligation. Ligation of the deep veins of the legs was briskly advocated and extensively practised in Boston and certain other centres a few years ago. The published figures certainly do not support the argument for superficial femoral vein ligation and there is no doubt that large numbers of veins were needlessly tied (Zimmerman et al., 1949). It is significant that at the Massachusetts General Hospital, where so many superficial femoral veins were tied in the 1940's, the operation is infrequently done today. Nevertheless, there can be no doubt that ligation of the deep veins at a higher level can prevent pulmonary embolism. Ligation of the lower part of the inferior vena cava affords the nearest thing to complete protection; it may be justified when pulmonary embolism has occurred; when further embolism is greatly feared, and when anticoagulants are contraindicated. Although the operation is a technically simple procedure through a right extraperitoneal approach at the level of the umbilicus it should not be lightly undertaken. The ultimate morbidity has been variously reported, but personal experience would suggest that this depends on the pre-existent stage of thrombosis and the venous anatomy of the individual patient.

There is a case for bilateral ligation of the common femoral veins in cardiac patients who suffer repeated embolism but are not fit for a tie at higher level, and in whom prolonged anticoagulant therapy is not desirable. For example, if there is already extensive pulmonary infarction, anti-
coagulants can cause marked bleeding into the lung and pleura.

**Treatment of Pulmonary Embolism**

Most of the points in treatment of pulmonary embolism have been dealt with already. When embolism occurs it is generally right to start heparin treatment immediately, whilst the injection of morphine, gr. $\frac{1}{4}$ and atropine gr. $\frac{1}{175}$ is also of value. Intravenous injection of papaverine sulphate (with the object of producing pulmonary vasodilatation) was formerly advocated but is almost certainly of no more value in dilating the pulmonary than the systemic arteries when given in this way (Kinmonth, 1952).

The need for cautious use of the anticoagulants in cases of extensive pulmonary infarction has already been emphasised. In such cases vein ligation may be the safest method of preventing further embolism. In this connection it should be noted that certain Australian authorities (Cummine and Lyons, 1948; Dew, 1953), have contended that pulmonary artery thrombosis is more common than embolism, and they have advanced various reports from the older literature in support of this view. However, it was rare for the leg veins to be completely dissected at the autopsies from which these reports were derived and, in the absence of such complete examination, it would be rash to deny the occurrence of embolism. That massive thrombosis of the pulmonary arteries does occur, is well-established. It is significant that in at least one of the more recent reports on pulmonary artery thrombosis (Keating et al., 1953), the leg veins are described as rarely free from thrombosis.

**Conclusions**

With intelligent use of the various measures now available it is certainly possible to do much more for the patient with thrombosis than was possible a few years ago. Even in the more difficult cases of thrombosis the clinician who has a good laboratory to help him should be able to treat his cases with relative safety. Prevention and treatment of thrombosis is still difficult in many cases, especially in those patients who may bleed from an operation site during anticoagulant therapy. A great deal of research is needed to clarify many obscure aspects of aetiology and pathogenesis without which truly rational therapy will never be possible. The author is conscious that many questions are left unanswered in this review, and that scientific information is sadly lacking on many aspects of thrombosis.
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