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General Considerations

Although little enough is known about the cause of the onset of duodenal ulceration, there is no doubt that its persistence is associated with increased acidity of the gastric juice. This is a physical character of the individual, based upon an excess of acid-secreting mucosa in the stomach.

Failure to reduce this acidity is the chief cause of post-operative ulceration at the anastomosis, since the jejunum is even more vulnerable to acid chyme than the duodenum. Thus in experimental animals gastro-jejunal ulcers can be produced by simply by-passing the alkaline juices of the duodenum into the intestine beyond the gastric anastomosis. The counterpart of this arrangement in human surgery is a gastro-enterostomy made with a Roux 'Y' loop, or the addition of an entero-anastomosis to an otherwise standard operation. Both these procedures nearly always result in ulceration at the stoma and have long since been discarded in practice.

When a gastro-enterostomy is performed for duodenal ulcer, the acid juice is diverted away from the lesion and it promptly heals. The operation may, of course, result in a further erosion at the anastomosis, whereby the patient will be in worse case than before, but the ulcer in the duodenum will always heal. Radiologists, unable in some cases to demonstrate the real cause of the trouble at the stoma, and observing the old duodenal deformity, are apt to interpret this as persistence of the ulcer there. This is practically never so; only complete stenosis of the stoma will cause a reactivation of the original duodenal lesion after gastro-enterostomy.

Gastric ulcers are not generally associated with increased secretion or acidity of peptic juice, and it seems clear that this is the reason for their remarkable immunity from trouble at the anastomosis after operation. This applies not only to partial gastrectomy for gastric ulcer, but also to the very effective operation of gastro-enterostomy for ‘hand-bag’ deformity of the stomach with stasis, due to extensive contraction of the lesser curve.

The Problem

The incidence of recurrent ulcer after gastro-enterostomy has been the subject of many exaggerated statements. There is no doubt that many patients have been cured by this operation and have, moreover, retained their full health and vigour. Nevertheless, about 30 per cent. of cases sooner or later do develop further ulcer symptoms. This was first generally realized in this country in the years preceding the second world war, and led to the virtual abandonment of gastro-enterostomy in favour of partial gastrectomy. Since that time, however, it has gradually become clear that the price to be paid for a cure of ulcer dyspepsia by extensive resection of the stomach involves a diminution of general robustness and vitality, a degree of gastronomic disability and a liability to a number of indirect effects of greater or less degree. The price is not too high to avoid the certainty of another ulcer, but that is not the position in 70 per cent. of cases. A solution to the problem has been sought in the addition of vagotomy to the short-circuiting procedure, but it appears that, in general, this gives rise to rather more trouble than does a resection. Similarly, ingenious techniques to replace the excised part of the stomach by grafts of large or small intestine have not yet materially improved the results of gastrectomy.

In these circumstances it is well to remember that there are differences in the degree of hyperacidity in duodenal cases, which roughly correspond to the liability of the patients to recurrent ulcer after operation. For example, the young man with a high acidity who develops his ulcer early in life is the type most liable to stomal ulceration, particularly after gastro-enterostomy. On the other hand it is often stated that it is safe
to carry out a gastro-enterostomy for those cases of duodenal ulcer that have gone on to stenosis. This again is broadly true, because these are long-standing cases, generally with mild symptoms and associated with only a moderate excess of acid, which involves a correspondingly reduced irritation at the stoma.

**Selection of Cases**

Pre-operative selection of cases from the standpoint of the degree of the hyperacidity must therefore be considered. This was much in vogue in the period between the wars. In those days, before the advent of antibiotics and non-toxic anaesthesia, partial gastrectomy was an operation involving a considerable risk to life, whereas gastro-enterostomy was not. The choice of operation was therefore most anxiously weighed, and the major procedure was only undertaken if the test meal revealed a very high acidity. The results with regard to recurrent ulceration were disappointing, chiefly perhaps because a test meal in hospital is a poor guide to the acid potential of the patient in ordinary life. Nevertheless the theory was sound, and once more becomes important now that gastrectomy, though no longer risky, has proved to be not devoid of undesirable sequelae.

It is interesting, therefore, to find that the degree of hyperacidity appears to be roughly proportional to the number and size of the folds in the gastric mucosa; the greater the surface area, the greater the acid-secreting potential of the individual. This emerged quite clearly from a gastroscopic review of Sir James Walton's follow-through series of gastro-enterostomized duodenal patients carried out by the writer 14 years ago. Those patients who had been cured were the ones with the fewer rugae, while those with stomal ulcers almost invariably displayed a considerable excess of folds. Since then new duodenal cases coming to operation have been segregated for gastro-enterostomy or partial gastrectomy according to the endoscopic assessment of the gastric rugosity. About half the cases have been judged suitable for gastro-enterostomy and among these about 10 per cent. of cases have developed an anastomotic ulcer during the 14-year period of careful follow-up. One must regard this figure as inconclusive—neither good enough to support nor bad enough to condemn this method of pre-operative selection of cases.

The follow-up of these patients has, however, emphasized an important observation, namely the extremely good health of the successful gastro-enterostomy patient, compared with the mere relief of ulcer symptoms and generally only moderate well-being of the successful partial gastrectomy case.

Another point that has been brought out is that after gastro-enterostomy a patient is vulnerable to erosion at the stoma at any subsequent time, particularly during a period of stress or anxiety. Such cases should therefore be kept under supervision. They need not be restricted in diet or activity, but they should make a lifelong habit of taking two hourly snacks by day, and a prophylactic dose of antacid powder at bed-time to counteract the excess night secretion which is their special character.

**Symptoms**

The symptoms of gastro-jejunal ulcer are similar to those of any peptic ulcer in their time relations to meals, relief with food and alkali, and the periodicity of the attacks. Their distinctive qualities derive from their situation and the tendency to partial occlusion of the jejunum by oedema during the attacks. Thus, after gastro-enterostomy, the tenderness is situated rather to the left of the mid-line at umbilical level—the site of the anastomosis—and there is a variable
degree of vomiting of bile, which often brings relief. After gastrectomy, the stoma is usually high out of reach under the left costal margin, and there may be no tenderness at all, just a severe diffuse pain often referred to the left shoulder or to the interscapular region. These signs and symptoms are diagnostic and hardly subject to confirmation by investigation, particularly as this is often difficult and inconclusive. The presence of the stoma destroys the value of any test meal observations, while on X-ray the super-imposition of the gastric on the jejunal shadow makes it very difficult for the radiologist to demonstrate an anastomotic ulcer. He has more often to rely on the conjunction of the tenderness with the observed stoma and the presence of distortion of the jejunum in this area. Frankly positive radiological signs are the exception rather than the rule. Gastroscopy is more effective in demonstrating an ulcer at the stoma, but the deformity here may possibly prevent a view of the stoma being obtained at all. However, it is relatively easy to inspect a normal stoma, and gastroscopy is of more value in excluding an anastomotic ulcer in a doubtful case than in confirming the presence of one that is clinically obvious.

Complications
Stomal ulcers may lie actually on the old suture line, or in the jejunum nearby. In the latter event the lesion is usually in the effenter coil of jejunum and stenosis may then cause gastric retention and bilious vomiting to become the dominant symptom. Acute haemorrhage may result from erosion of a jejunal vessel, but it is not as a rule as profound as the severest forms of duodenal or gastric haemorrhage. Repeated small occult haemorrhages are more characteristic, and result in a persistent or recurrent anaemia which may, perhaps, be the only indication of the lesion. Perforation of a stomal ulcer may result in contamination of the peritoneal cavity with intestinal contents, so that the degree of the infection and toxic absorption may be more serious than with a perforated duodenal ulcer.

Gastro-jejunal Colic Fistula
The particular complication to which gastro-jejunal ulcers are prone is perforation into the transverse colon, with the formation of a gastro-jejuno-colic fistula. It is curious that this nearly always results in the healing of the ulcer, but the effects of the fistula may be disastrous. The obvious cases are those in which the patient either belches foul gas and vomits faecal material, or develops diarrhoea with recognizable food in the stools. A combination of these symptoms is uncommon, the fistula generally having a valve-like arrangement which causes it to work in one direction only. For this reason both barium meal and barium enema examinations must be made when it is wished to demonstrate the fistula radiologically.

The more insidious cases are those in which the fistula is small with no immediately recognizable symptoms. Instead there is a gradual development of a sprue-like syndrome in which the patient becomes anaemic, loses weight, suffers vitamin B deficiencies and passes bulky offensive stools with a high content of fat. The reason for this is the chronic low-grade infection of the small gut from the fistula, with consequent failure of absorption, especially of fat. The abdomen becomes distended and at operation there is often a curious brown pigmentation of the jejunum. These patients are ill from malnutrition and operation is fraught with danger unless it is preceded by an adequate course of intestinal antibiotics. The response to these is often dramatic; the patient's appearance changes, his stools become formed once more and he may gain considerably in weight. The surgical treatment is first to separate and close the hole in the colon and then to proceed to partial gastrectomy, either immediately or at a later stage. The danger of carrying this out at one operation has largely disappeared with the availability of antibiotics and modern controlled non-toxic anaesthesia.

Recurrent Ulcer after Gastrectomy
Much of the foregoing description applies in particular to anastomotic ulcer after gastro-enterostomy, still the most usual type of case encountered. However, with the mass change-over from short-circuiting operations to gastric resection in the last decade, a diminished but real incidence of anastomotic ulcer has become apparent after this operation also. This again applies to duodenal and not to gastric ulcer cases, and for the same reason.

The cause is often to be found in technical inadequacy of the operation, apart from obvious mistakes such as the use of unabsorbable suture material for the anastomosis. Insufficient removal of the acid-secreting area of the stomach is the most common error. Another is failure to abolish entirely the mucosa of the pyloric antrum, although local difficulties may have forced the surgeon to do this. The persistence of the hormonal secretory mechanism in the remaining antral mucosa continues to maintain acid secretion in the gastric remnant after the operation, with a consequent tendency to further ulceration. So much is this the case that when the surgeon feels he has no alternative to dividing the stomach
proximal to the pylorus, he should later carry out
a further operation for the removal of the pylorus
and the residual portion of the antrum. The Bill-
roth I method of anastomosis between the stump
of the stomach and duodenum also appears to be
inadvisable. This technique is excellent for
gastric lesions, but appears to carry an increased
risk of stomal ulcer if it is used for duodenal cases,
even though the ulcer has been completely re-
moved. The addition of an enteroanastomosis
between the jejunal loops at the stoma is as unwise
in partial gastrectomy as it is with gastro-entero-
stomy. It should never be necessary. Although
the bulk of the acid-secreting mucosa may have
been removed, it is asking too much of the resis-
tance of the jejunum to divert the alkaline juices
beyond the stoma altogether.

The basic problem remains with us. We
understand very little of the essential defence
mechanism of the mucosa against erosion. We
know that acid peptic activity is the essential
factor in the eroding force and that the operation
of partial gastrectomy properly performed will,
by reducing the scale of the attack, prevent the
formation of new ulceration in most cases. This
operation, with or without modifications, entails
disabilities which in the present state of our
knowledge we must accept as a necessary and
worthwhile sacrifice to secure freedom from further
ulceration. The disquieting reflection, however,
is that this sacrifice is really necessary in only a
third of the patients, but that all are called upon
to make it, because there is yet no practicable pre-
operative means of selection of cases into those
prone to anastomotic ulcer and those not so
prone.
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A combination of spasm, oedema and cicatrical
deformity in relation to an ulcer near the pyloric
ring produces a temporary or permanent re-
tention of gastric contents accompanied by the
clinical syndrome of loss of weight, vomiting and
dehydration known as pyloric stenosis. The fre-
cquent failure of antispasmodic drugs to relieve the
condition is in part due to inadequate therapy but
is also due to the presence of oedema and scarring.

Physiological Effects

Obstruction at the pyloric ring leads to in-
creasing retention of gastric contents, gastritis and
dilatation of the stomach which eventually leads to
vomiting. The reduction in absorption of food
causes starvation but it is the extrarenal loss of
water, chloride, sodium and potassium, secreted
by the gastric mucosa and then vomited, which is
more serious and lethal. As a result of the
gastritis there is an increased excretion of gastric
mucus which has a high concentration of sodium.
This loss of sodium, which leads to a total body
deficit, largely determines the extent of the de-
hydration present. The chloride loss, from the
gastric juice, is still, however, in excess of that of
the sodium (at least 50 per cent., Gamble, 1950) so
that an alkalosis results which may be severe
enough to cause latent or manifest tetany (Fig. 1).
Whether this tetany is due to a decrease in ioniz-
able calcium in the extracellular fluid or to other
causes has not been clarified. Of equal, if not
more, importance is the development of severe
renal impairment which occurs in alkalosis.
Nazzari (1904) observed calcareous infiltration in
the renal tubules in two patients who died as a
result of pyloric obstruction. Brown, Eusterman,
Hartman and Rowntree (1923) observed at autopsy
calcification in the proximal tubules in four
patients who died with pyloric stenosis. They
tied the pylorus of cats and within 48 hours of
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